


American Community Survey 2005

San Francisco Bay Area Data Summary



**Planning Section
Metropolitan Transportation Commission
101 Eighth Street
Oakland, CA 94607**

July 2007

AMERICAN COMMUNITY SURVEY 2005

SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA DATA SUMMARY

PLANNING SECTION
METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
101 EIGHTH STREET
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94607

JULY 2007

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

MTC Commissioners

Bill Dodd, Chair
Napa County and Cities

Scott Haggerty, Vice Chair
Alameda County

Tom Ammiano
City and County of San Francisco

Tom Azumbrado
U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development

Tom Bates
Cities of Alameda County

Bob Blanchard
Sonoma County and Cities

Dean J. Chu
Cities of Santa Clara County

Dave Cortese
Association of Bay Area Governments

Dorene M. Giacopini
U.S. Department of Transportation

Federal D. Glover
Contra Costa County

Anne W. Halsted
San Francisco Bay Conservation
and Development Commission

Steve Kinsey
Marin County and Cities

Sue Lempert
Cities of San Mateo County

Jon Rubin
San Francisco Mayor's Appointee

Bijan Sartipi
State Business, Transportation
and Housing Agency

James P. Spering
Solano County and Cities

Adrienne J. Tissier
San Mateo County

Amy Worth
Cities of Contra Costa County

Ken Yeager
Santa Clara County

MTC Management Staff

Steve Heminger
Executive Director

Ann Flemer
Deputy Executive Director, Operations

Andrew B. Fremier
Deputy Executive Director,
Bay Area Toll Authority

Therese W. McMillan
Deputy Executive Director, Policy

Project Staff

Shimon Israel
Associate Transportation
Planner/Analyst (Report Author)

Charles L. Purvis
Principal Transportation Planner/Analyst

Kearey Smith
GIS Coordinator

Cary Pierce
GIS Analyst

David Cooper
Graphic Design and Production

Doug Kimsey
Manager, Planning Section

Table of Contents

I. INTRODUCTION	1
A. Background for the American Community Survey	2
B. Sample Methodology	2
C. Sample Universe	7
II. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS	7
A. Household and Population	7
B. Race and Ethnicity	8
C. Social and Economic Characteristics	9
D. Labor Force	11
E. Commuting	11
III. DATA AVAILABILITY AND CONTACT INFORMATION	12
IV. ENDNOTES	13
V. APPENDICES	66
A. Estimates for Elderly, Disabled, and Total Population in Urban Areas, and Estimates of Bay Area and California Counties and Places using ACS, DOF and FSCPE Data Sources ...	66
B. Statistical Significance Testing for 2000 to 2005 Comparisons, Selected Variables	74
C. Bay Area Places by Selected Variables	82
D. Bay Area Public Use Microdata Areas by Selected Variables	103
E. Instructions for Applying Statistical Testing to ACS Data	125

List of Tables

1.0 Household and Population Characteristics

Table 1.1 Housing Units, Households, and Household Population by County and Census- Designated Place of Residence over 65,000 Population: 2000 & 2005	15
Table 1.2 Households by Vehicle Availability by County of Residence: 1960-2005	16
Table 1.3 Household Vehicles Available by County of Residence: 1960-2005	17
Table 1.4 Households with Senior (65+) Householders and Share of Senior Household Population by County of Residence: 1990, 2000, 2005	18
Table 1.5 Households by Household Size by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005	19
Table 1.6 Occupied and Total Dwelling Units by County: Census 2000 & 2005	20
Table 1.7 Housing Unit Vacancy Status by County: 2005	21
Table 1.8 Value of Owner-Occupied Housing Units by County: 2000 & 2005	22
Table 1.9 Tenure by Year Householder Moved into Unit, Owner-Occupied Households by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005	23
Table 1.10 Tenure by Year Householder Moved into Unit, Renter-Occupied Households by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005	24

2.0 Race and Ethnic Characteristics

Table 2.1 Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race for Household Population by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005	25
Table 2.2 Asian Alone Population by Selected Groups for Household Population by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005	26

3.0 Social/Economic Characteristics

Table 3.1 Household Disabled Population by County of Residence: 2005	27
Table 3.2 Household Population by Type of Disability by County of Residence: 2005	28
Table 3.3 School Enrollment for Household Population by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005	29
Table 3.4 Age by School Enrollment for Household Population by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005	30
Table 3.5 Households by Income Level by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005	34
Table 3.6 Mean and Median Household Income by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005	35
Table 3.7 Ratio of Income to Poverty Level for Household Population, by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005	36

4.0 Labor Force Characteristics

Table 4.1.1 - 4.1.10 Sex by Age by Employment Status for the Household Population 16 Years and Over, by County of Residence: 2005	37
Table 4.2 Workers at Work and Total Employment by County of Work: 2000, 2004, 2005	47
Table 4.3 Employed Residents and Weekly Absenteeism from Work for Household Workers by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005	48
Table 4.4 Industry of Household Workers by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005	49
Table 4.5 Industry of Household Workers by County of Work: 2000 & 2005	51
Table 4.6 Occupation of Household Workers by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005	53
Table 4.7 Occupation of Household Workers by County of Work: 2000 & 2005	55
Table 4.8 Class of Household Workers by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005	56
Table 4.9 Class of Household Workers by County of Work: 2000 & 2005	57

5.0 Journey to Work Characteristics

Table 5.1 Means of Transportation to Work for Household Workers by County of Residence and County of Work: 2005	58
Table 5.2 Means of Transportation to Work for Household Workers by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005	59
Table 5.3 Means of Transportation to Work for Household Workers by County of Work: 2000 & 2005	60
Table 5.4 Mean Travel Time to Work by Means of Transportation: Household Workers by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005	61
Table 5.5 Mean Travel Time to Work by Means of Transportation: Household Workers by County of Work: 2000 & 2005	62
Table 5.6 Travel Time to Work for Household Workers by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005	63
Table 5.7 Travel Time to Work for Household Workers by County of Work: 2000 & 2005	64
Table 5.8 Intra-County and Inter-County Household Workers by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005	65

6.0 Appendix Tables

Table A.1 Total Households and Household Population, Share of Household Population 65 and Over, and Share of Disabled Population, by Urban Area: 2000 & 2005	66
Table A.2 Comparison of Household Population by County: American Community Survey 2005 and California Department of Finance 2005/2006	67
Table A.3 Comparison of Household Population by Place over 65,000 Population: American Community Survey 2005 and California Department of Finance 2005/2006	68

Table A.4 Comparison of Household Population by California County: American Community Survey 2005, California Department of Finance 2005/2006, and the Federal State Cooperative Program for Population Estimates 2005	69
Table A.5 Comparison of Household Population by California Place over 65,000 Population: American Community Survey 2005 and California Department of Finance 2005/2006	70
Table B.1 Sampling Error for Industry of the Employed Household Population 16 and Over, Bay Area: 2000 & 2005.....	74
Table B.2 Sampling Error for Occupation of the Employed Household Population 16 and Over, Bay Area: 2000 & 2005	75
Table B.3 Sampling Error for Class of the Employed Household Population 16 and Over, Bay Area: 2000 & 2005	76
Table B.4 Sampling Error for Total Means of Transportation to Work, Household Workers by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005	77
Table B.5 Sampling Error for Share of Means of Transportation to Work, Household Workers by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005.....	78
Table B.6 Sampling Error for Mean Travel Time by Means of Transportation to Work, Household Workers by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005.....	79
Table B.7 Sampling Error for Travel Time to Work, Household Workers by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005.....	80
Table B.8 Sampling Error for Share of Travel Time to Work, Household Workers by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005.....	81
Table C.1 Occupied and Total Dwelling Units by Place over 65,000 Population: 2000 & 2005..	82
Table C.2 Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race for Household Population by Place of Residence over 65,000 Population: 2000 & 2005	84
Table C.3 Households by Vehicle Availability by Place of Residence over 65,000 Population: 2000 & 2005	88
Table C.4 Tenure by Year Householder Moved into Unit, Owner-Occupied Households by Place of Residence over 65,000 Population: 2000 & 2005.....	91
Table C.5 Tenure by Year Householder Moved into Unit, Renter-Occupied Households by Place of Residence over 65,000 Population: 2000 & 2005.....	94
Table C.6 Means of Transportation to Work for Household Workers by Place of Residence over 65,000 Population: 2000 & 2005.....	97
Table C.7 Means of Transportation to Work for Household Workers by Place of Work over 65,000 Population: 2000 & 2005	100
Table D.1 Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race, Household Population by Public Use Microdata Area of Residence: 2000 & 2005.....	103
Table D.2 Household Vehicle Availability by Public Use Microdata Area of Residence: 2000 & 2005	112
Table D.3 Means of Transportation to Work for Household Workers by Public Use Microdata Area of Residence: 2000 & 2005	118

List of Figures

Figure 1 Map of Bay Area Counties.....	129
Figure 2 Map of Bay Area Census-Designated Places over 65,000 Population.....	130
Figure 3 Map of Bay Area Census-Designated Places between 20,000 and 65,000 Population.	131
Figure 4 Map of Bay Area Public Use Microdata Areas	132

I. INTRODUCTION

This report provides selected tabular summaries of data from the American Community Survey (ACS) 2005. The focus of this data summary is on ACS 2005 data, and on the comparison of ACS 2005 data with that from Census 2000, both data sources produced by the U.S. Census Bureau. For comparison purposes a few other sources are used, including data from 1960-1990 Censuses, the Census 2000 Supplementary Survey, the California Department of Finance (DOF), and the Federal State Cooperative Program for Population Estimates (FSCPE).

ACS 2005 data was released in 4 theme-based waves:

- § Wave 1: Demographic and Social data – released August 15, 2006
- § Wave 2: Economic data – released August 29, 2006
- § Wave 3: Housing data and Narrative Profiles – released October 3, 2006
- § Wave 4: Race, Ethnic and Ancestry data and Selected Population Profiles– released November 14, 2006

The geographic focus for this report is the nine-county San Francisco Bay Area, including the counties of Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Solano and Sonoma. Data in this summary is reported at the region, county, and census-designated place level. Additionally, some appendix data is reported at the state-,urban area- and Public Use Microdata Area (PUMA)-level.

Tables in the data summary are organized by theme:

1. Household and Population Characteristics
2. Race and Ethnic Characteristics
3. Social/Economic Characteristics
4. Labor Force Characteristics
5. Commute Characteristics
6. Appendix Tables

Appendix tables are organized as follows:

Appendix A provides 2000 to 2005 comparisons for Bay Area urban areas by selected variables. Also included in this appendix are comparisons of household population estimates for ACS, DOF, and FSCPE at the county- and place-level for the Bay Area and the State of California.

Appendix B includes statistical analysis (margin of error and statistical significance) of differences between 2000 and 2005 data, for selected variables at the regional and county level.

Appendix C includes 2000 to 2005 comparisons for Bay Area places by selected variables.

Appendix D includes 2000 to 2005 comparisons for Bay Area Public Use Microdata Areas by selected variables.

For more information on previous MTC census data summaries, visit the MTC web page: http://www.mtc.ca.gov/maps_and_data/datamart/census/.

A. Background for the American Community Survey

The Census Bureau has replaced the decennial 1-in-6 “long form” questionnaire with the yearly American Community Survey (ACS). Just like the long form, the ACS provides estimates of household and person characteristics, such as population, household income, employment, disability, and other topics. Unlike the decennial long form, however, the ACS provides data annually.

The yearly ACS will eliminate the need for a decennial long form in the 2010 census. Advantages of the yearly ACS over the long form include more timely information, with annual updates of sampled data. Increased sampling options and flexibility in design and content, a better trained full-time enumerator staff (as opposed to temporary staff hired once every 10 years), and faster data release are also improvements. Disadvantages of the ACS include a smaller sample set than the long form and potentially less-accurate results due to larger sampling error.

The ACS has been in development since the mid 1990s, and began collecting its first pilot samples in 1996 in four test sites. The first year for a nationally-representative sample using ACS methodology was 2000, called the Census 2000 Supplementary Survey. Subsequent national samples are called the Census Supplementary Survey 2001, the ACS 2002, ACS 2003, and ACS 2004. ACS 2005 represents the first year of data release associated with full implementation of the ACS program.

B. Sample Methodology

Full implementation for the ACS began in November 2004, sampling 250,000 addresses in the United States and Puerto Rico every month, totaling 3 million household samples per year. Sampling in 2005 was limited to only the household population, and expansion of the sampling methodology to include group quarters (for example, prisons, college dormitories, etc.) was done for 2006 ACS data, due to be released in fall of 2007. Inclusion of group quarters in the sampling plan for years subsequent to 2006 may be limited, pending continued funding for the ACS program.

Results for ACS 2005 data are available for geographic areas with a population greater than 65,000, including states, census-designated metropolitan areas and places, and for the first time in use with standard tabulations, Public Use Microdata Areas (PUMA). For geographic areas with a population of less than 65,000, it will take 3 to 5 years to accumulate enough samples to provide estimates similar to the quality of the census long form. Areas with a population 20,000 to 65,000 will use a 3-year average of data, while areas of less than 20,000 people will use a 5-year average. The first 3-year average data tabulations from the full ACS implementation (years 2005-2007) are scheduled for release in late 2008. The first 5-year average data from full implementation (years 2005-2009), including census tracts – the smallest geography published in ACS tabulations – are anticipated in late 2010. All areas will be updated every year thereafter.

A listing of the Bay Area geographies with ACS 2005 data is provided on the next page. Additionally, some of these geographies are mapped in Figures 1-4:

- Figure 1: Counties
- Figure 2: Census-designated places over 65,000 population
- Figure 3: Census-designated places between 20,000 and 65,000 population (anticipated to have 3-year average data [years 2005-2007] for the 2008 release)
- Figure 4: Public Use Microdata Areas

Bay Area Geographical Areas with American Community Survey 2005 Data

Counties

Alameda
Contra Costa
Marin
Napa
San Francisco
San Mateo
Santa Clara
Solano
Sonoma

Places

Alameda
Antioch
Berkeley
Concord
Daly City
Fairfield
Fremont
Hayward
Livermore
Mountain View
Napa
Oakland
Pleasanton
Redwood City
Richmond
San Francisco
San Jose
San Leandro
San Mateo
Santa Clara
Santa Rosa
Sunnyvale
Union City
Vacaville
Vallejo

Urbanized Areas

Antioch
Concord
Fairfield
Gilroy--Morgan Hill
Livermore
Napa
San Francisco--Oakland
San Jose
Santa Rosa
Vacaville
Vallejo

Combined Statistical Area

San Jose-San Francisco-Oakland

Metropolitan Statistical Areas

Napa
San Francisco-Oakland-Fremont
San Jose-Sunnyvale-Santa Clara
Santa Rosa-Petaluma
Vallejo-Fairfield

Public Use Microdata Areas

All 54 Public Use Microdata Areas

As described above, the sampling size for the ACS 2005 is smaller than that for Census 2000. While the Census 2000 long form utilized an average sampling rate of about 1 in 6 (approximately 17%) – with a higher rate in rural areas and a lower rate in urban areas – ACS 2005 sample sizes are considerably smaller.

**Share of ACS Completed Household Sample Interviews
Bay Area Counties, 2000-2005**

County	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Alameda	0.35%	0.37%	0.34%	0.37%	0.37%	1.30%
Contra Costa	0.38%	0.38%	0.34%	0.38%	0.37%	1.27%
Marin	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1.30%
Napa	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1.34%
San Francisco	2.07%	1.70%	1.27%	1.36%	1.38%	1.25%
San Mateo	0.37%	0.40%	0.34%	0.38%	0.38%	1.38%
Santa Clara	0.38%	0.39%	0.35%	0.37%	0.38%	1.36%
Solano	0.37%	0.37%	0.33%	0.36%	0.36%	1.34%
Sonoma	0.37%	0.37%	0.33%	0.38%	0.39%	1.44%
Bay Area	0.58%	0.54%	0.44%	0.48%	0.49%	1.32%

ACS completed household sample interviews can be roughly compared to Census 2000 Summary File 3 (SF3) – that is, the long form – values for “percent of population in sample”.

**Percent of Census 2000 SF3 Population in Sample
Bay Area Counties, 2000**

County	Census 2000
Alameda	12.9%
Contra Costa	13.0%
Marin	13.4%
Napa	13.0%
San Francisco	11.7%
San Mateo	13.5%
Santa Clara	12.9%
Solano	12.6%
Sonoma	13.3%
Bay Area	12.9%

Sample sizes vary by county, but region-wide the sampling rate of ACS 2005 is approximately one-tenth that of Census 2000. Due to its smaller samples sizes, the Census Bureau publishes the “margin of error” with ACS estimates to account for errors in the data associated with sampling, collectively called “sampling error”.

Both Census 2000 SF3 and ACS 2005 data are sample estimates (not a 100-percent count, like Census 2000 Summary File 1), and are therefore subject to sampling error. Because of the larger sample size (and reduced sampling error) associated with Census

2000, the Census Bureau chose not to publish sampling error with the estimates for that data set. Sampling error for SF3 data, however, does exist and can be calculated using methods described in the Census 2000 Summary File 3 Technical Documentation, Chapter 8, “Accuracy of the Data”.

Errors in accuracy associated with sampling for Census 2000 and ACS 2005 arise from two sources. The first is non-sampling error, including editing, reviewing or keying data. This type of error is difficult to quantify and the Census Bureau does not attempt to do so. The second source of error, that associated with probability sampling methods used to form sample estimates, relies on statistical sampling and analysis procedures to insure the integrity and representativeness of survey results. As such, this type of error can be measured.

The concept of a “standard error” is utilized to measure the deviation of a particular sample estimate from the average of all possible estimates. The Census Bureau uses sample estimates and their estimated standard error to report a 90-percent margin of error that includes the average result of all possible samples.

The standard error is larger, and the margin of error wider (as a percentage of the estimate), for geographic areas with smaller populations and for characteristics that occur less frequently in the area of interest. For example, sample estimates for San Francisco will have a larger margin of error (again, as a percentage of the estimate) than will the State of California for the same characteristic. Similarly, as a means of transportation to work, bicycling will have a relatively larger margin of error than drive alone, because of its less frequent occurrence in the characteristic of interest.

The margin of error associated with estimates utilizes a “normal curve” in understanding the statistical relationship between a sample estimate and the “true value” of the characteristic of interest. Statistically speaking, the central value, or sample estimate, has a much higher probability of representing the true value of the measured characteristic than do the values at either end of the margin of error. So, while the true value of the measured characteristic lies somewhere within the margin of error, it is more likely to be closer to the central estimate than at one of the ends of the sampling distribution.

In most cases, tabulations in this report have the margins of error excluded for brevity and clarity. However, when comparing sample estimates between years it is important to note that, while values may appear different, only statistical analysis can determine whether these differences are truly meaningful, or “significant”. Statistical significance testing has been performed for selected variables in Appendix B of this report. For an explanation on how to perform significance testing for ACS refer to “Instructions for Applying Statistical Testing to ACS Data”, in Appendix E.

As a final note in this section, it is important to mention that, while ACS data has higher sampling errors than Census 2000, it is widely believed that non-sampling errors (for example, the collection, inputting, and editing of data) are substantially reduced in the ACS program. This is largely due to a full-time enumerator staff, improved sampling instruments, and an improved non-response follow-up procedure associated with ACS.

B. Sample Universe

As explained above, the sampling methodology for ACS 2005 omitted group quarters residents (e.g. residents of college dormitories and senior facilities, prison inmates, etc.) in the sampling universe, only collecting information on the characteristics of members of households. In many instances, this created difficulty in trying to develop an “apples to apples” comparison between data tabulations from ACS 2005 with those from Census 2000 or other data sources, as these data sources often have a sample universe that includes both members of households and group quarters. Whenever possible, comparisons in this report between ACS 2005 and other data sources include household residents only. Often Census 2000 standard tabulations could not be used, and other data sources had to be substituted, including: household-only data from the Census 2000 Transportation Planning Package (CTPP 2000), Census 2000 Supplementary Survey (C2SS), and the 5-percent Census 2000 Public Use Microdata Samples (5% PUMS). All tabulations are annotated with the sample universe and which data set was used.

II. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

A. Households and Population

Total housing units, households, and household population for 2000 and 2005 are presented in Table 1.1. The number of housing units increased in every Bay Area county between 2000 and 2005, with the greatest percentage change found in Solano (9.1%) and Contra Costa (8.1%) counties. Formation of new households was uneven, with some counties showing increases and some decreases. Household population increased overall in the Bay Area between years 2000 and 2005, from 6.64 million to 6.72 million people

Tables 1.2 and 1.3 provide information on household vehicle availability 1960-2005. The total number of Bay Area household vehicles available has increased every survey year, beginning with 1.17 million vehicles in 1960 and ending with 2.50 million vehicles in 2005. It is noteworthy that the number of Bay Area households with 3-or-more vehicles available increased from 19.8% to 21.8%, between years 2000 and 2005.

Information about senior (65 years and above) householders and household population is presented in Table 1.4. The share of Bay Area senior householders remained constant between years 2000 and 2005, at 18.4 percent. During the same period, however, the share of the Bay Area household population comprised by seniors increased from 10.8 percent to 11.3 percent.

Tables 1.5 through 1.10 include data on household size, housing unit tenure and vacancy status, property value, and residential mobility. Noteworthy trends include: (1) households with 5-or-more persons declined between years 2000 and 2005, from 12.6 percent to 10.4 percent of total Bay Area households; (2) an increase in the overall Bay Area vacancy rate, 2000 to 2005, from 3.4 percent to 6.1 percent; and (3) the value of owner-occupied housing units has increased appreciably across all counties. This increase includes a shift from 5.2 percent to 15.8 percent of all Bay Area owner-occupied housing, 2000 to 2005, being valued over 1 million dollars.

B. Race and Ethnicity

Tables 2.1 and 2.2 compare Census 2000 and ACS 2005 household population data for Hispanic/Latino by Race, and Asian Alone Population by Selected Groups, respectively. Between the years of 2000 and 2005, the share of the white alone Bay Area household population declined (51.8 to 46.4 percent), as did the black alone household population (7.2 percent to 6.7 percent). During the same period, 2000 to 2005, the Bay Area Asian alone population increased (18.9 to 21.8 percent), as did Hispanic/Latino population (18.5 to 21.2 percent). Overall racial/ethnic diversity of the Bay Area's household population increased, 2000 to 2005, region-wide and in every county except San Francisco, where it decreased. Diversity in the Bay Area, expressed as a "diversity index", is summarized in the table below.

Racial/Ethnic Diversity in the Bay Area, 2000-2005
Household Population by County of Residence
Census 2000 and American Community Survey 2005

County	2000	2005
Alameda	0.873	0.889
Contra Costa	0.733	0.788
Marin	0.423	0.485
Napa	0.509	0.598
San Francisco	0.801	0.790
San Mateo	0.755	0.776
Santa Clara	0.769	0.790
Solano	0.817	0.867
Sonoma	0.476	0.544
Bay Area	0.789	0.817

The diversity index is based on the use of an "entropy index" that measures diversity in a population. This diversity, or "entropy" index ranges from 0.0 to 1.0.

Values approaching 1.0 imply the most diversity, that is, all groups are equally represented. More information on how to calculate the diversity index is available in Section IV, Endnotes.

With respect to Bay Area Asian diversity, Table 2.2 summarizes the household Asian population by selected groups. Among the selected Asian groups sampled, Asian Indians show the most noteworthy increase between years 2000 and 2005, from 11.3 to 14.0 percent.

The diversity of the Bay Area Asian population was calculated using the diversity index described above (and further explained in Section IV of this report), and is summarized in the table below. While the household population Asian diversity increased in some counties and decreased in others, overall the Bay Area household Asian population became more diverse between 2000 and 2005.

Asian Diversity in the Bay Area, 2000-2005
Household Population by County of Residence
Census 2000 and American Community Survey 2005

County	2000	2005
Alameda	0.846	0.837
Contra Costa	0.865	0.852
Marin	0.929	0.935
Napa	0.778	0.678
San Francisco	0.605	0.656
San Mateo	0.724	0.759
Santa Clara	0.905	0.900
Solano	0.522	0.512
Sonoma	0.959	0.954
Bay Area	0.856	0.867

C. Social and Economic Characteristics

Tables 3.1 and 3.2 provide detailed disability data from ACS 2005, organized by age and type of disability. A six-part disability question was standardized with Census 2000 and has been used in every Census Bureau survey year subsequent to that. Census respondents are asked whether or not one or more of the following disability conditions is present:

1. Sensory disability;
2. Physical disability;
3. Mental disability;
4. Self-care disability;
5. Outside home alone difficulty; and/or
6. Work disability

Historical comparisons between ACS 2005 disability data and those data from Census 2000 are not advisable, given concerns about disability data accuracy from Census 2000. Since 2000, revisions in the survey instrument question format, skip-patterning of questions, and non-response follow-up for disability questions have improved considerably. The disability data from ACS 2005 are considered to have fewer non-sampling errors than data from Census 2000. More information about the differences between Census 2000 and ACS disability measurement in the Bay Area can be found in the MTC disability working paper:

http://www.mtc.ca.gov/maps_and_data/datamart/census/Disability_BayArea_WorkingPaper_Jan2006.pdf

Disability status for ACS 2005 is only tabulated for the civilian, non-institutional population, aged five and over. ACS 2005 shows that approximately 726 thousand Bay Area people, or 11.7 percent, reported some kind of disability. In 2005 fully 37.9 percent of persons aged 65 years or above reported one or more disabilities.

Tables 3.3 and 3.4 compare household-population school enrollment between years 2000 and 2005. The universe for these two tables is members of households, ages 3 and older. Enrollment declined in the Bay Area for elementary/high school (3.6 percent decrease), and college (1.7 percent decrease), though increased for pre-primary grades (6.7 percent increase). Among individual counties, some showed enrollment increases and others a decrease. San Francisco showed noteworthy decreases in both elementary/high school and college enrollment: 89 thousand to 72 thousand (a 19.3 percent decrease); and 80 thousand to 69 thousand (a 13.7 percent decrease), respectively. The total decline in school enrollment in San Francisco, years 2000 to 2005, is 177 thousand to 149 thousand household students (a 15.5 percent decrease). Table 3.4 depicts school enrollment by age by county. Between years 2000 and 2005 nearly every Bay Area county showed an increase in school enrollment for students 18 to 24, with the region as a whole increasing enrolled 18- to 24-year-olds from 265 thousand (47.7 percent of population enrolled) to 288 thousand (53.9 percent of population enrolled).

Households by income level are provided in Table 3.5, and mean and median household income are provided in Table 3.6. Income is reported slightly differently for Census 2000 than for ACS 2005. Census 2000 asks respondents their income earned in 1999, and reports that value for year 2000. ACS 2005 – a rolling, monthly survey – asks respondents their income for the previous 12 months, and the reported values are inflation-adjusted to year-long 2005 dollars using monthly Consumer Price Indices (CPI). Only in Napa County did mean and median household incomes keep pace with inflation. In every other county, and in the Bay Area as a whole, mean and median household incomes rose at slower rate than inflation.

Table 3.7 presents the ratio of incomes to poverty level for Bay Area household residents. The percent of the Bay Area population below the poverty level is also summarized in the table below.

Percent below Poverty Level in the Bay Area, 2000-2005
Household Population by County of Residence
Census 2000 and American Community Survey 2005

County	2000	2005
Alameda	10.6%	11.8%
Contra Costa	7.5%	8.1%
Marin	6.0%	5.9%
Napa	8.1%	7.0%
San Francisco	10.4%	12.2%
San Mateo	5.7%	7.4%
Santa Clara	7.4%	8.3%
Solano	8.2%	9.3%
Sonoma	7.6%	9.1%
Bay Area	8.3%	9.4%

The trend suggests (other than in Marin and Napa Counties) an increase in the number of Bay Area household residents below the federal level for poverty.

D. Labor Force

Tables 4.1 through 4.9 describe the labor force in the Bay Area. Employment status by sex by age in 2005 is summarized in Tables 4.1.1 through 4.1.10. Table 4.2 compares workers at work as measured by Census 2000, the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) 2000 and 2004, and ACS 2005. BEA shows consistently higher counts in every Bay Area county for workers at work. Region-wide BEA shows estimates higher by almost 27 percent when comparing Census 2000 with BEA 2000 workers at work, and BEA 2004 estimates are approximately 24 percent higher than those for ACS 2005 workers at work.

Table 4.3 compares household worker absenteeism rates between Census 2000 and ACS 2005, and Tables 4.4 through 4.9 compare Census 2000 and ACS 2005 industry, occupation, and class of household workers at both the county of residence and the county of work.

Supplementary data comparing the margin-of-error and statistical significance (at a 95-percent confidence interval) of the industry, occupation, and class of household workers for 2000 and 2005 is located in the Appendix, Tables B.1 through B.3. Between 2000 and 2005, total household employment declined significantly in the Bay Area, as did employment subtotals within many individual employment categories (see Tables B.1 through B.3).

Between 2000 and 2005, household employment increased significantly in very few employment categories, notably real estate and educational, health, and social service professions.

E. Commuting

Comparisons of commute characteristics for household workers by county of residence and county of work, 2000 to 2005, are provided in Tables 5.1 through 5.8. As with the Labor Force data, some supplementary tables summarizing the margin-of-error and statistical significance for 2000-2005 commuting comparisons are located in the Appendix, in Tables B.4 through B.8.

Total household commuters decreased significantly region-wide between 2000 and 2005 (from 3.28 million to 3.16 million), with many individual counties also showing significant decreases, including: Alameda (674 thousand to 652 thousand); Marin (126 thousand to 115 thousand); San Francisco (414 thousand to 382 thousand); San Mateo (353 thousand to 322 thousand); and Santa Clara (823 thousand to 777 thousand) (Tables 5.2 and B.4). Contra Costa and Napa counties, by contrast, showed significant increases in total household commuting. Between years 2000 and 2005, Contra County household

commuters increased from 441 thousand to 458 thousand, and Napa County increased from 57 thousand to 63 thousand household commuters.

Both the total and share of carpooling (2-person and 3+-person) among Bay Area household commuters decreased significantly between 2000 and 2005, declining from 423 thousand (12.9 percent) to 353 thousand (11.2 percent), respectively.

The total number of household transit commuters within the Bay Area also dropped significantly, 2000 to 2005, from 314 thousand to 298 thousand. Additionally, the share of transit commuters declined significantly, 2000 to 2005, in Marin (10.0 percent to 7.6 percent) and San Francisco (35.5 percent to 34.7 percent) counties.

Mean travel time to work for household commuters decreased, 2000 to 2005, for every county and for every mode (Table 5.4). At the regional level, the mean travel time for household commuters declined significantly for total commuters and for drive-alone commuters.

The number of household commuters traveling longer than 45 minutes to work decreased significantly between 2000 to 2005, while the number traveling less than 30 minutes increased significantly (Table 5.6).

Tables 5.1, 5.3, 5.5, and 5.7 present household commuter data summarized by the county of work. Trends associated with these tables are similar to those for the county-of-residence summaries: overall declines in total commuting, transit commuting, and carpooling; decreases in average commuting time; and a decline in the number of commuters traveling more than 45 minutes to get to work.

Table 5.8 compares intra- and inter-county household workers, 2000 to 2005. The share of household workers living and working in the same county remained fundamentally the same between years 2000 and 2005. Marin County is the only exception, showing an increase, 2000 to 2005, of household workers living and working in the same county (61.9 percent to 65.9 percent).

III. DATA AVAILABILITY AND CONTACT INFORMATION

This data summary was written in Microsoft WORD, version 2000. The data tables are Microsoft EXCEL workbooks, also version 2000. These WORD and EXCEL files are available for downloading from MTC's Internet site, as are additional electronic appendices:

ftp://ftp.abag.ca.gov/pub/mtc/census2000/ACS/ACS2005_Summary

The general Census 2000 Internet site maintained by MTC and the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) is available at:

<http://www.bayareacensus.ca.gov/>

These sites are updated on an ongoing basis to include various maps, databases, profile summary reports and other items of interest to the census data user.

The data user also may want to investigate the Census Bureau's American FactFinder to find other detailed Census 2000 and American Community Survey 2005 results:

<http://factfinder.census.gov/>

Additionally, The Census Bureau has produced several-page profile reports for the American Community Survey 2005 by selected geographies:

http://factfinder.census.gov/servlet/ADPGeoSearchByListServlet?ds_name=ACS_2005_EST_G00_&_lang=en&_ts=201997376671

For more information on this data summary or other American Community Survey 2005 or Census 2000 issues, please contact the report author, Shimon Israel, at 510-817-5839, or e-mail: sisrael@mtc.ca.gov.

IV. ENDNOTES

The “diversity index” included in this data summary is an “entropy index”. The measure is taken from Cal State Northridge geographers James Allen and Gene Turner, used in their book, “The Ethnic Quilt: Population Diversity in Southern California” (1997). Allen and Turner use the entropy index discussed by Michael White in the article “Segregation and Diversity Measure in Population Distribution”, in “Population Index” 52:2, Summer, 1986, pp. 198-221.

There are two diversity indices included in this data summary. One is based on five racial/ethnic categories: white; black or African American; Asian or Pacific Islander; Hispanic/Latino; and other races (American Indian, Alaskan Native, some other race, and two-or-more races). The other index is one measuring Asian diversity, and is based on seven categories: Asian Indian; Chinese; Filipino; Japanese; Korean; Vietnamese; and other Asian.

In calculating the racial/ethnic diversity index, the proportion of each of the five groups is first calculated. A perfectly diverse community would have 20% in each group, or a proportion of 0.20. Similarly, a perfectly diverse Asian community would have 1/7th the population associated with each group, or a proportion of about 0.14.

Next, multiply the proportion by the natural logarithm of that proportion, for example, if the proportion is 0.15, then $0.15 * \ln(0.15)$ is -0.284567 .

Note that the natural log of zero is undefined, so would need to catch this in a conditional statement in Excel: $A2=\text{if}(A1=0,0,A1*\ln(A1))$. (If the proportion in A1 is zero, then zero, else multiply A1 by the natural log of A1.)

Sum these products up. An example is:

15% white= $0.15 \cdot \ln(0.15) = -0.28457$
 25% black= $0.25 \cdot \ln(0.25) = -0.34657$
 30% Hispanic= $0.30 \cdot \ln(0.30) = -0.36119$
 25% Asian/PI= $0.25 \cdot \ln(0.25) = -0.34657$
 5% other= $0.05 \cdot \ln(0.05) = -0.14979$
 sum=-1.48869

Now, divide this sum by -1.609. For this example, a diversity index of 0.925 is calculated.

The -1.609 denominator is based on $5 \cdot 0.20 \cdot \ln(0.20)$.

A diversity index denominator based on *seven* categories (as in the Asian diversity index calculated for this report) would be: $7 \cdot 0.14286 \cdot \ln(0.14286) = -1.9459$

There are other measures of diversity, but this measure is preferable because it ranges from an index of 0.0 (100 percent in one race category) to 1.0 (equal share among all groups).

Table 1.1
Housing Units, Households, Household Population
County and Place of Residence over 65,000 Population: 2000 & 2005

County or Place	Housing Units			Households			HH Population		
	2000	2005	% Change	2000	2005	% Change	2000	2005	% Change
Alameda County	540,183	556,474	3.0%	523,366	521,380	-0.4%	1,416,006	1,421,308	0.4%
Contra Costa County	354,577	383,328	8.1%	344,129	362,102	5.2%	937,479	1,006,486	7.4%
Marin County	104,990	107,423	2.3%	100,650	102,393	1.7%	235,803	235,609	-0.1%
Napa County	48,554	52,167	7.4%	45,402	48,202	6.2%	119,046	127,445	7.1%
San Francisco County	346,527	354,963	2.4%	329,700	322,399	-2.2%	756,976	719,077	-5.0%
San Mateo County	260,576	266,154	2.1%	254,103	254,227	0.0%	696,711	689,271	-1.1%
Santa Clara County	579,329	605,121	4.5%	565,863	580,130	2.5%	1,652,871	1,669,890	1.0%
Solano County	134,513	146,724	9.1%	130,403	134,624	3.2%	378,568	395,426	4.5%
Sonoma County	183,153	193,353	5.6%	172,403	177,212	2.8%	447,512	453,850	1.4%
Bay Area Total	2,552,402	2,665,707	4.4%	2,466,019	2,502,669	1.5%	6,640,972	6,718,362	1.2%
Alameda city	31,644	32,445	2.5%	30,226	29,706	-1.7%	71,182	77,058	8.3%
Antioch city	30,116	34,130	13.3%	29,338	32,575	11.0%	90,116	103,339	14.7%
Berkeley city	46,875	46,481	-0.8%	44,955	44,260	-1.5%	96,921	90,432	-6.7%
Concord city	45,083	45,709	1.4%	44,020	42,828	-2.7%	120,426	116,782	-3.0%
Daly City city	31,311	32,950	5.2%	30,775	30,911	0.4%	102,831	93,513	-9.1%
Fairfield city	31,792	36,762	15.6%	30,870	32,991	6.9%	91,949	102,642	11.6%
Fremont city	69,452	70,141	1.0%	68,237	68,287	0.1%	201,654	210,387	4.3%
Hayward city	45,922	47,468	3.4%	44,804	44,255	-1.2%	137,892	135,474	-1.8%
Livermore city	26,610	30,612	15.0%	26,123	29,250	12.0%	73,144	87,054	19.0%
Mountain View city	32,432	33,962	4.7%	31,242	32,015	2.5%	70,204	69,427	-1.1%
Napa city	27,776	30,213	8.8%	26,978	28,617	6.1%	71,126	73,085	2.8%
Oakland city	157,508	161,419	2.5%	150,790	146,282	-3.0%	392,309	373,910	-4.7%
Pleasanton city	23,968	24,026	0.2%	23,311	23,272	-0.2%	63,419	67,018	5.7%
Redwood City city	28,921	29,799	3.0%	28,060	28,454	1.4%	73,475	81,195	10.5%
Richmond city	36,044	37,806	4.9%	34,625	33,603	-3.0%	97,588	96,648	-1.0%
San Francisco city	346,527	354,963	2.4%	329,700	322,399	-2.2%	756,976	719,077	-5.0%
San Jose city	281,841	299,650	6.3%	276,598	288,339	4.2%	884,079	887,330	0.4%
San Leandro city	31,334	30,471	-2.8%	30,642	28,889	-5.7%	78,625	77,631	-1.3%
San Mateo city	38,249	39,436	3.1%	37,338	37,861	1.4%	91,166	93,481	2.5%
Santa Clara city	39,630	40,096	1.2%	38,526	39,246	1.9%	99,574	102,204	2.6%
Santa Rosa city	57,578	62,522	8.6%	56,036	59,485	6.2%	143,789	146,500	1.9%
Sunnyvale city	53,753	54,789	1.9%	52,539	52,135	-0.8%	130,885	132,725	1.4%
Union City city	18,877	19,500	3.3%	18,642	18,850	1.1%	66,527	65,239	-1.9%
Vacaville city	28,696	31,191	8.7%	28,105	28,999	3.2%	79,407	81,117	2.2%
Vallejo city	41,219	44,427	7.8%	39,601	40,196	1.5%	115,015	115,657	0.6%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Summary File 1 (Tables H1, P15, and P16), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Tables B25001, B11012, and B01003).

Table 1.2
Households by Vehicle Availability by County of Residence: 1960-2005

County	Year	No Vehicle	% of Total	One Vehicle	% of Total	Two Vehicles	% of Total	Three-Plus Vehicles	% of Total	Total Households
Alameda	1960	54,827	18.6%	162,752	55.1%	68,373	23.1%	9,415	3.2%	295,367
	1970	60,997	16.7%	170,535	46.7%	111,578	30.6%	21,983	6.0%	365,093
	1980	60,955	14.3%	161,652	37.9%	129,851	30.5%	73,634	17.3%	426,092
	1990	58,711	12.2%	165,050	34.4%	165,918	34.6%	89,839	18.7%	479,518
	2000	57,287	10.9%	182,586	34.9%	189,075	36.1%	94,418	18.0%	523,366
	2005	46,594	8.9%	173,883	33.4%	193,139	37.0%	107,764	20.7%	521,380
Contra Costa	1960	10,262	8.7%	63,862	54.2%	38,885	33.0%	4,849	4.1%	117,858
	1970	13,116	7.6%	72,007	41.6%	71,768	41.5%	16,060	9.3%	172,951
	1980	16,271	6.7%	77,498	32.1%	91,406	37.8%	56,359	23.3%	241,534
	1990	19,129	6.4%	87,817	29.2%	123,621	41.2%	69,721	23.2%	300,288
	2000	22,353	6.5%	104,978	30.5%	140,655	40.9%	76,143	22.1%	344,129
	2005	20,117	5.6%	100,466	27.7%	149,968	41.4%	91,551	25.3%	362,102
Marin	1960	3,967	9.0%	24,877	56.3%	14,083	31.9%	1,268	2.9%	44,195
	1970	4,619	6.9%	29,647	44.1%	27,780	41.3%	5,220	7.8%	67,266
	1980	4,819	5.4%	32,722	36.9%	34,063	38.4%	17,119	19.3%	88,723
	1990	4,879	5.1%	31,404	33.1%	39,311	41.4%	19,412	20.4%	95,006
	2000	5,094	5.1%	35,175	34.9%	42,530	42.3%	17,851	17.7%	100,650
	2005	4,983	4.9%	33,593	32.8%	42,669	41.7%	21,148	20.7%	102,393
Napa	1960	1,819	9.6%	11,029	58.5%	5,465	29.0%	554	2.9%	18,867
	1970	2,550	10.2%	10,938	43.6%	9,313	37.1%	2,297	9.2%	25,098
	1980	2,564	7.0%	11,744	32.1%	12,374	33.8%	9,942	27.1%	36,624
	1990	2,741	6.6%	12,780	30.9%	16,366	39.6%	9,425	22.8%	41,312
	2000	2,803	6.2%	14,688	32.4%	18,052	39.8%	9,859	21.7%	45,402
	2005	2,029	4.2%	14,049	29.1%	19,858	41.2%	12,266	25.4%	48,202
San Francisco	1960	122,847	42.1%	136,689	46.8%	27,263	9.3%	5,171	1.8%	291,970
	1970	116,816	39.6%	133,633	45.3%	38,371	13.0%	6,354	2.2%	295,174
	1980	103,462	34.6%	127,131	42.5%	51,869	17.4%	16,494	5.5%	298,956
	1990	93,806	30.7%	127,271	41.6%	64,379	21.1%	20,128	6.6%	305,584
	2000	94,178	28.6%	138,526	42.0%	73,017	22.1%	23,979	7.3%	329,700
	2005	100,886	31.3%	129,923	40.3%	70,144	21.8%	21,446	6.7%	322,399
San Mateo	1960	10,723	7.9%	75,382	55.8%	43,807	32.4%	5,259	3.9%	135,171
	1970	13,871	7.5%	83,622	45.2%	71,859	38.8%	15,676	8.5%	185,028
	1980	14,338	6.4%	82,065	36.4%	82,946	36.8%	45,852	20.4%	225,201
	1990	14,683	6.1%	78,396	32.4%	93,527	38.7%	55,308	22.9%	241,914
	2000	15,507	6.1%	80,879	31.8%	101,478	39.9%	56,239	22.1%	254,103
	2005	15,407	6.1%	81,287	32.0%	95,370	37.5%	62,163	24.5%	254,227
Santa Clara	1960	16,216	8.8%	99,770	54.0%	59,952	32.4%	8,976	4.9%	184,914
	1970	22,224	6.9%	129,631	40.2%	139,888	43.3%	31,039	9.6%	322,782
	1980	25,213	5.5%	146,886	32.0%	171,326	37.4%	115,094	25.1%	458,519
	1990	27,326	5.3%	147,789	28.4%	210,612	40.5%	134,453	25.8%	520,180
	2000	31,978	5.7%	164,212	29.0%	231,806	41.0%	137,867	24.4%	565,863
	2005	28,027	4.8%	172,031	29.7%	235,885	40.7%	144,187	24.9%	580,130
Solano	1960	4,260	11.1%	24,238	63.0%	8,776	22.8%	1,179	3.1%	38,453
	1970	4,505	8.8%	25,602	50.1%	17,588	34.4%	3,425	6.7%	51,120
	1980	5,398	6.7%	26,915	33.5%	29,148	36.2%	18,965	23.6%	80,426
	1990	6,516	5.7%	32,190	28.4%	47,208	41.6%	27,515	24.3%	113,429
	2000	8,566	6.6%	37,921	29.1%	52,087	39.9%	31,829	24.4%	130,403
	2005	8,522	6.3%	33,092	24.6%	53,071	39.4%	39,939	29.7%	134,624
Sonoma	1960	6,832	14.5%	25,732	54.5%	12,767	27.1%	1,860	3.9%	47,191
	1970	7,189	10.6%	32,183	47.4%	23,094	34.0%	5,395	8.0%	67,861
	1980	7,725	6.7%	38,997	34.1%	39,039	34.1%	28,713	25.1%	114,474
	1990	8,741	5.9%	46,602	31.3%	59,959	40.2%	33,709	22.6%	149,011
	2000	9,921	5.8%	54,446	31.6%	69,169	40.1%	38,867	22.5%	172,403
	2005	10,543	5.9%	54,144	30.6%	67,701	38.2%	44,824	25.3%	177,212
Bay Area	1960	231,753	19.7%	624,331	53.2%	279,371	23.8%	38,531	3.3%	1,173,986
	1970	245,887	15.8%	687,798	44.3%	511,239	32.9%	107,449	6.9%	1,552,373
	1980	240,745	12.2%	705,610	35.8%	642,022	32.6%	382,172	19.4%	1,970,549
	1990	236,532	10.5%	729,299	32.5%	820,901	36.5%	459,510	20.5%	2,246,242
	2000	247,687	10.0%	813,411	33.0%	917,869	37.2%	487,052	19.8%	2,466,019
	2005	237,108	9.5%	792,468	31.7%	927,805	37.1%	545,288	21.8%	2,502,669

Sources: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Decennial Censuses – 1960-2000, and the American Community Survey 2005 (Tables B25008, B25044 and B25046)

Table 1.3
Household Vehicles Available by County of Residence: 1960-2005

County	Year	Average, 3+ V/HH	Total Vehicles	Total Households	Vehicles per Household	Household Population	Vehicles per Capita	Persons per HH
Alameda	1960	3.450	331,980	295,367	1.12	875,899	0.38	2.97
	1970	3.450	469,532	365,093	1.29	1,036,706	0.45	2.84
	1980	3.454	675,686	426,092	1.59	1,077,339	0.63	2.53
	1990	3.422	804,327	479,518	1.68	1,242,068	0.65	2.59
	2000	3.447	886,195	523,366	1.69	1,416,006	0.63	2.71
	2005	3.422	928,882	521,380	1.78	1,421,308	0.65	2.73
Contra Costa	1960	3.450	158,361	117,858	1.34	404,230	0.39	3.43
	1970	3.450	270,950	172,951	1.57	550,717	0.49	3.18
	1980	3.460	455,312	241,534	1.89	649,595	0.70	2.69
	1990	3.411	572,878	300,288	1.91	793,585	0.72	2.64
	2000	3.416	646,400	344,129	1.88	937,479	0.69	2.72
	2005	3.366	708,586	362,102	1.96	1,006,486	0.70	2.78
Marin	1960	3.400	57,354	44,195	1.30	139,188	0.41	3.15
	1970	3.400	102,955	67,266	1.53	197,645	0.52	2.94
	1980	3.417	159,344	88,723	1.80	215,273	0.74	2.43
	1990	3.365	175,343	95,006	1.85	221,548	0.79	2.33
	2000	3.358	180,184	100,650	1.79	235,803	0.76	2.34
	2005	3.384	190,503	102,393	1.86	235,609	0.81	2.30
Napa	1960	3.450	23,870	18,867	1.27	57,996	0.41	3.07
	1970	3.450	37,489	25,098	1.49	73,212	0.51	2.92
	1980	3.467	70,961	36,624	1.94	93,361	0.76	2.55
	1990	3.490	78,407	41,312	1.90	105,085	0.75	2.54
	2000	3.480	85,105	45,402	1.87	119,046	0.71	2.62
	2005	3.442	95,984	48,202	1.99	127,445	0.75	2.64
San Francisco	1960	3.340	208,486	291,970	0.71	711,222	0.29	2.44
	1970	3.340	231,597	295,174	0.78	689,337	0.34	2.34
	1980	3.343	286,008	298,956	0.96	654,511	0.44	2.19
	1990	3.342	323,304	305,584	1.06	699,330	0.46	2.29
	2000	3.444	367,151	329,700	1.11	756,976	0.49	2.30
	2005	3.400	343,135	322,399	1.06	719,077	0.48	2.23
San Mateo	1960	3.350	180,614	135,171	1.34	437,705	0.41	3.24
	1970	3.350	279,855	185,028	1.51	548,513	0.51	2.96
	1980	3.398	403,762	225,201	1.79	580,517	0.70	2.58
	1990	3.438	455,620	241,914	1.88	637,628	0.71	2.64
	2000	3.465	478,731	254,103	1.88	696,711	0.69	2.74
	2005	3.427	485,036	254,227	1.91	689,271	0.70	2.71
Santa Clara	1960	3.450	250,641	184,914	1.36	621,610	0.40	3.36
	1970	3.450	516,492	322,782	1.60	1,039,685	0.50	3.22
	1980	3.560	899,273	458,519	1.96	1,267,671	0.71	2.76
	1990	3.486	1,037,689	520,180	1.99	1,463,219	0.71	2.81
	2000	3.529	1,114,422	565,863	1.97	1,652,871	0.67	2.92
	2005	3.482	1,145,821	580,130	1.98	1,669,890	0.69	2.88
Solano	1960	3.300	45,681	38,453	1.19	125,389	0.36	3.26
	1970	3.300	72,081	51,120	1.41	160,344	0.45	3.14
	1980	3.312	148,023	80,426	1.84	226,954	0.65	2.82
	1990	3.402	220,208	113,429	1.94	326,662	0.67	2.88
	2000	3.453	252,004	130,403	1.93	378,568	0.67	2.90
	2005	3.445	276,836	134,624	2.06	395,426	0.70	2.94
Sonoma	1960	3.450	57,683	47,191	1.22	142,247	0.41	3.01
	1970	3.450	96,984	67,861	1.43	198,078	0.49	2.92
	1980	3.544	218,834	114,474	1.91	293,392	0.75	2.56
	1990	3.439	282,450	149,011	1.90	380,558	0.74	2.55
	2000	3.462	327,333	172,403	1.90	447,512	0.73	2.60
	2005	3.474	345,265	177,212	1.95	453,850	0.76	2.56
Bay Area	1960	3.415	1,314,670	1,173,986	1.12	3,515,486	0.37	2.99
	1970	3.422	2,077,935	1,552,373	1.34	4,494,237	0.46	2.90
	1980	3.474	3,317,203	1,970,549	1.68	5,058,613	0.66	2.57
	1990	3.437	3,950,226	2,246,242	1.76	5,869,683	0.67	2.61
	2000	3.467	4,337,525	2,466,019	1.76	6,640,972	0.65	2.69
	2005	3.433	4,520,048	2,502,669	1.81	6,718,362	0.67	2.68

Sources: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Decennial Censuses – 1960-2000, and the American Community Survey 2005 (Tables B25008, B25044 and B25046)
MTC estimates of averages for 3+ vehicle household category for 1960-1980.

Table 1.4**Households with Senior (65+) Householders and Share of Senior Household Population
By County of Residence: 1990-2005****Households with Senior (65+) Householders and Senior (65+) Population**

County	Aged 65+ Householders			Aged 65+ Household Population		
	Census 1990	Census 2000	ACS 2005	Census 1990	Census 2000	ACS 2005
Alameda	88,839	88,608	86,253	128,700	139,883	144,255
Contra Costa	56,695	65,921	68,268	83,969	102,348	110,646
Marin	18,983	21,606	23,097	27,006	31,342	33,477
Napa	11,378	11,531	10,645	16,097	17,042	16,687
San Francisco	70,595	65,867	66,499	99,838	102,016	105,176
San Mateo	50,578	51,971	53,610	76,254	83,787	86,631
Santa Clara	78,908	89,568	94,150	122,921	153,050	169,440
Solano	17,324	21,739	22,865	26,801	35,139	40,180
Sonoma	35,019	37,263	36,226	50,476	55,209	55,387
Bay Area	428,319	454,074	461,613	632,062	719,816	761,879

Total Householders and Total Household Population

County	Total Householders			Total Household Population		
	Census 1990	Census 2000	ACS 2005	Census 1990	Census 2000	ACS 2005
Alameda	479,518	523,366	521,380	1,242,348	1,416,006	1,421,308
Contra Costa	300,288	344,129	362,102	793,756	937,479	1,006,486
Marin	95,006	100,650	102,393	221,465	235,803	235,609
Napa	41,312	45,402	48,202	105,191	119,046	127,445
San Francisco	305,584	329,700	322,399	699,680	756,976	719,077
San Mateo	241,914	254,103	254,227	638,166	696,711	689,271
Santa Clara	520,180	565,863	580,130	1,464,363	1,652,871	1,669,890
Solano	113,429	130,403	134,624	326,620	378,568	395,426
Sonoma	149,011	172,403	177,212	380,900	447,512	453,850
Bay Area	2,246,242	2,466,019	2,502,669	5,872,489	6,640,972	6,718,362

Share of Households with Senior (65+) Householders and Share of Senior (65+) Population

County	65+ Householder Share of Households			65+ Share of Household Population		
	Census 1990	Census 2000	ACS 2005	Census 1990	Census 2000	ACS 2005
Alameda	18.5%	16.9%	16.5%	10.4%	9.9%	10.1%
Contra Costa	18.9%	19.2%	18.9%	10.6%	10.9%	11.0%
Marin	20.0%	21.5%	22.6%	12.2%	13.3%	14.2%
Napa	27.5%	25.4%	22.1%	15.3%	14.3%	13.1%
San Francisco	23.1%	20.0%	20.6%	14.3%	13.5%	14.6%
San Mateo	20.9%	20.5%	21.1%	11.9%	12.0%	12.6%
Santa Clara	15.2%	15.8%	16.2%	8.4%	9.3%	10.1%
Solano	15.3%	16.7%	17.0%	8.2%	9.3%	10.2%
Sonoma	23.5%	21.6%	20.4%	13.3%	12.3%	12.2%
Bay Area	19.1%	18.4%	18.4%	10.8%	10.8%	11.3%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on Census 1990 (Tables H013, P013, and P041), Census 2000 (Tables H16 and PCT13), and ACS 2005 (Tables B25007 and B01001)

Table 1.5
Households by Household Size by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005

County		1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5-or-More Person	TOTAL
Alameda	2000	136,066	157,553	87,461	75,234	67,052	523,366
	% of Tot.	26.0%	30.1%	16.7%	14.4%	12.8%	100.0%
	2005	138,023	158,682	84,858	83,292	56,525	521,380
Contra Costa	% of Tot.	26.5%	30.4%	16.3%	16.0%	10.8%	100.0%
	2000	78,759	110,311	57,935	54,796	42,328	344,129
	% of Tot.	22.9%	32.1%	16.8%	15.9%	12.3%	100.0%
Marin	2005	86,591	117,955	62,441	57,665	37,450	362,102
	% of Tot.	23.9%	32.6%	17.2%	15.9%	10.3%	100.0%
	2000	30,041	36,452	15,371	11,961	6,825	100,650
Napa	% of Tot.	29.8%	36.2%	15.3%	11.9%	6.8%	100.0%
	2005	30,247	37,125	14,124	15,408	5,489	102,393
	% of Tot.	29.5%	36.3%	13.8%	15.0%	5.4%	100.0%
San Francisco	2000	11,733	15,693	6,696	5,890	5,390	45,402
	% of Tot.	25.8%	34.6%	14.7%	13.0%	11.9%	100.0%
	2005	13,619	16,347	6,699	6,469	5,068	48,202
San Mateo	% of Tot.	28.3%	33.9%	13.9%	13.4%	10.5%	100.0%
	2000	127,376	102,564	41,725	28,451	29,584	329,700
	% of Tot.	38.6%	31.1%	12.7%	8.6%	9.0%	100.0%
Santa Clara	2005	135,391	102,717	37,105	26,327	20,859	322,399
	% of Tot.	42.0%	31.9%	11.5%	8.2%	6.5%	100.0%
	2000	62,626	80,949	41,161	36,526	32,841	254,103
Solano	% of Tot.	24.6%	31.9%	16.2%	14.4%	12.9%	100.0%
	2005	64,287	80,142	43,252	41,237	25,309	254,227
	% of Tot.	25.3%	31.5%	17.0%	16.2%	10.0%	100.0%
Sonoma	2000	121,109	171,848	95,999	89,161	87,746	565,863
	% of Tot.	21.4%	30.4%	17.0%	15.8%	15.5%	100.0%
	2005	138,519	167,536	101,650	100,383	72,042	580,130
Bay Area	% of Tot.	23.9%	28.9%	17.5%	17.3%	12.4%	100.0%
	2000	25,525	38,952	23,734	22,339	19,853	130,403
	% of Tot.	19.6%	29.9%	18.2%	17.1%	15.2%	100.0%
Sonoma	2005	27,870	40,804	25,748	22,074	18,128	134,624
	% of Tot.	20.7%	30.3%	19.1%	16.4%	13.5%	100.0%
	2000	44,340	58,827	27,250	23,699	18,287	172,403
Bay Area	% of Tot.	25.7%	34.1%	15.8%	13.7%	10.6%	100.0%
	2005	48,213	59,038	28,744	23,010	18,207	177,212
	% of Tot.	27.2%	33.3%	16.2%	13.0%	10.3%	100.0%
Bay Area	2000	637,575	773,149	397,332	348,057	309,906	2,466,019
	% of Tot.	25.9%	31.4%	16.1%	14.1%	12.6%	100.0%
	2005	682,760	780,346	404,621	375,865	259,077	2,502,669
	% of Tot.	27.3%	31.2%	16.2%	15.0%	10.4%	100.0%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 (Table P26), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table B25009).

Table 1.6
Occupied and Total Dwelling Units by County: 2000 & 2005

County	Year				Vacancy	Vacancy	Owner- Occupied Dwelling Units	Renter- Occupied Dwelling Units	Overall Vacancy Rate
		Total Housing Units	Occupied Housing Units	Vacant Housing Units	Rate, Owner- Occupied Units	Rate, Renter- Occupied Units			
Alameda	Census 2000	540,183	523,366	16,817	0.7%	2.5%	286,277	237,089	3.1%
	C2SS 2000	540,183	514,705	25,478	0.3%	4.0%	282,070	232,635	4.7%
	ACS 2005	556,474	521,380	35,094	0.6%	7.5%	298,774	222,606	6.3%
Contra Costa	Census 2000	354,577	344,129	10,448	0.8%	2.7%	238,449	105,680	2.9%
	C2SS 2000	354,577	341,927	12,650	0.5%	4.1%	235,410	106,517	3.6%
	ACS 2005	383,328	362,102	21,226	1.2%	7.0%	256,205	105,897	5.5%
Marin	Census 2000	104,990	100,650	4,340	0.7%	2.2%	64,024	36,626	4.1%
	C2SS 2000	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	ACS 2005	107,423	102,393	5,030	0.1%	3.9%	67,253	35,140	4.7%
Napa	Census 2000	48,554	45,402	3,152	1.3%	2.8%	29,554	15,848	6.5%
	C2SS 2000	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	ACS 2005	52,167	48,202	3,965	1.6%	6.4%	32,323	15,879	7.6%
San Francisco	Census 2000	346,527	329,700	16,827	0.8%	2.5%	115,391	214,309	4.9%
	C2SS 2000	346,527	325,657	20,870	0.8%	2.9%	113,600	212,057	6.0%
	ACS 2005	354,963	322,399	32,564	0.7%	5.8%	122,603	199,796	9.2%
San Mateo	Census 2000	260,576	254,103	6,473	0.5%	1.8%	156,133	97,970	2.5%
	C2SS 2000	260,576	249,229	11,347	0.1%	4.3%	150,556	98,673	4.4%
	ACS 2005	266,154	254,227	11,927	0.9%	3.2%	158,672	95,555	4.5%
Santa Clara	Census 2000	579,329	565,863	13,466	0.5%	1.8%	338,661	227,202	2.3%
	C2SS 2000	579,329	557,532	21,797	0.3%	1.1%	325,668	231,864	3.8%
	ACS 2005	605,121	580,130	24,991	0.7%	4.4%	349,388	230,742	4.1%
Solano	Census 2000	134,513	130,403	4,110	0.9%	3.7%	84,994	45,409	3.1%
	C2SS 2000	134,513	131,987	2,526	n/a	n/a	85,853	46,134	1.9%
	ACS 2005	146,724	134,624	12,100	1.4%	6.4%	90,074	44,550	8.2%
Sonoma	Census 2000	183,153	172,403	10,750	0.8%	2.4%	110,475	61,928	5.9%
	C2SS 2000	183,153	171,697	11,456	0.0%	4.2%	113,060	58,637	6.3%
	ACS 2005	193,353	177,212	16,141	1.7%	5.0%	113,484	63,728	8.3%
Bay Area	Census 2000	2,552,402	2,466,019	86,383	0.7%	2.3%	1,423,958	1,042,061	3.4%
	C2SS 2000	2,551,197	2,436,601	114,596	0.4%	2.8%	1,394,340	1,042,261	4.5%
	ACS 2005	2,665,707	2,502,669	163,038	0.9%	5.7%	1,488,776	1,013,893	6.1%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Summary File 3 (Tables H4, H6 and H8), Census 2000 Supplementary Survey (Tables H2, H3, and H4), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Tables B25002, B25003 and B25004).

Vacancy rate for owner-occupied dwelling units is computed using housing units "for sale" divided by housing units "for sale" plus owner-occupied dwelling units.

Vacancy rate for renter-occupied dwelling units is computed using housing units "for rent" divided by housing units "for rent" plus renter-occupied dwelling units.

Overall vacancy rate is computed using vacant units divided by total units.

Table 1.7
Housing Unit Vacancy Status by County: 2005

County		For Rent	Rented, Not Occupied	For Sale Only	Sold, Not Occupied	For Seasonal, Recreational, or Occasional Use	For Migrant Workers	Other Vacant	TOTAL
Alameda	Value	18,128	1,430	1,798	1,535	1,868	0	10,335	35,094
	% of Tot.	51.7%	4.1%	5.1%	4.4%	5.3%	0.0%	29.4%	100.0%
Contra	Value	8,006	712	3,072	2,944	2,116	0	4,376	21,226
Costa	% of Tot.	37.7%	3.4%	14.5%	13.9%	10.0%	0.0%	20.6%	100.0%
Marin	Value	~1,450	~353	~77	~190	~1,669	~0	~1,291	~5,030
	% of Tot.	28.8%	7.0%	1.5%	3.8%	33.2%	0.0%	25.7%	100.0%
Napa	Value	1,084	0	521	417	1,583	0	360	3,965
	% of Tot.	27.3%	0.0%	13.1%	10.5%	39.9%	0.0%	9.1%	100.0%
San Francisco	Value	12,422	2,638	885	321	5,822	0	10,476	32,564
	% of Tot.	38.1%	8.1%	2.7%	1.0%	17.9%	0.0%	32.2%	100.0%
San Mateo	Value	3,274	2,079	1,470	808	1,541	0	2,755	11,927
	% of Tot.	27.5%	17.4%	12.3%	6.8%	12.9%	0.0%	23.1%	100.0%
Santa Clara	Value	10,714	2,564	2,589	2,713	1,044	0	5,367	24,991
	% of Tot.	42.9%	10.3%	10.4%	10.9%	4.2%	0.0%	21.5%	100.0%
Solano	Value	3,098	896	1,348	1,730	813	0	4,215	12,100
	% of Tot.	25.6%	7.4%	11.1%	14.3%	6.7%	0.0%	34.8%	100.0%
Sonoma	Value	3,435	1,257	1,965	247	5,675	126	3,436	16,141
	% of Tot.	21.3%	7.8%	12.2%	1.5%	35.2%	0.8%	21.3%	100.0%
Bay Area	Value	61,611	11,929	13,725	10,905	22,131	126	42,611	163,038
	% of Tot.	37.8%	7.3%	8.4%	6.7%	13.6%	0.1%	26.1%	100.0%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from ACS 2005 (B25004).

~ACS 2005 Marin County data for this table not tabulated. It was derived by subtracting constituent county values from the San Francisco-Oakland-Fremont Metropolitan Statistical Area.

Table 1.8**Value of Owner-Occupied Housing Units, by County: 2000 & 2005**

County		\$100,000 \$200,000 \$300,000 \$400,000 \$500,000 \$750,000								TOTAL
		Less than \$100,000	to \$199,999	to \$299,999	to \$399,000	to \$499,000	to \$749,000	to \$999,000	\$1,000,00 0 or more	
Alameda	2000	15,874	53,185	80,864	60,807	36,271	26,563	7,815	4,927	286,306
	% of Tot.	5.5%	18.6%	28.2%	21.2%	12.7%	9.3%	2.7%	1.7%	100.0%
	2005	10,663	5,966	10,757	27,228	47,689	120,479	47,732	28,260	298,774
Contra Costa	% of Tot.	3.6%	2.0%	3.6%	9.1%	16.0%	40.3%	16.0%	9.5%	100.0%
	2000	15,607	66,885	60,486	33,952	22,159	26,334	8,031	4,959	238,413
	% of Tot.	6.5%	28.1%	25.4%	14.2%	9.3%	11.0%	3.4%	2.1%	100.0%
Marin	2005	7,682	5,945	11,145	27,206	43,811	89,541	39,742	31,133	256,205
	% of Tot.	3.0%	2.3%	4.4%	10.6%	17.1%	34.9%	15.5%	12.2%	100.0%
	2000	1,668	2,310	6,038	10,890	11,900	16,063	7,076	8,073	64,018
Napa	% of Tot.	2.6%	3.6%	9.4%	17.0%	18.6%	25.1%	11.1%	12.6%	100.0%
	2005	1,662	1,105	905	1,729	2,639	16,945	18,271	23,997	67,253
	% of Tot.	2.5%	1.6%	1.3%	2.6%	3.9%	25.2%	27.2%	35.7%	100.0%
San Francisco	2000	3,381	7,460	8,193	3,746	2,260	2,270	834	1,420	29,564
	% of Tot.	11.4%	25.2%	27.7%	12.7%	7.6%	7.7%	2.8%	4.8%	100.0%
	2005	2,908	725	743	1,493	5,261	12,109	4,350	4,734	32,323
San Mateo	% of Tot.	9.0%	2.2%	2.3%	4.6%	16.3%	37.5%	13.5%	14.6%	100.0%
	2000	5,885	4,682	17,345	25,189	20,035	22,252	10,032	9,895	115,315
	% of Tot.	5.1%	4.1%	15.0%	21.8%	17.4%	19.3%	8.7%	8.6%	100.0%
Santa Clara	2005	4,456	950	2,173	4,036	8,778	45,116	28,959	28,135	122,603
	% of Tot.	3.6%	0.8%	1.8%	3.3%	7.2%	36.8%	23.6%	22.9%	100.0%
Solano	2000	4,996	5,795	18,422	35,048	27,813	33,998	14,487	15,705	156,264
	% of Tot.	3.2%	3.7%	11.8%	22.4%	17.8%	21.8%	9.3%	10.1%	100.0%
	2005	4,309	2,035	2,781	4,330	8,428	55,201	42,840	38,748	158,672
Sonoma	% of Tot.	2.7%	1.3%	1.8%	2.7%	5.3%	34.8%	27.0%	24.4%	100.0%
	2000	19,410	15,807	46,010	74,364	60,619	68,403	27,059	26,964	338,636
	% of Tot.	5.7%	4.7%	13.6%	22.0%	17.9%	20.2%	8.0%	8.0%	100.0%
Bay Area	2005	20,095	7,906	8,582	12,512	27,290	135,237	74,332	63,434	349,388
	% of Tot.	5.8%	2.3%	2.5%	3.6%	7.8%	38.7%	21.3%	18.2%	100.0%
Solano	2000	7,793	45,945	21,800	6,176	1,797	906	253	327	84,997
	% of Tot.	9.2%	54.1%	25.6%	7.3%	2.1%	1.1%	0.3%	0.4%	100.0%
	2005	2,862	2,477	5,957	19,077	26,853	24,451	5,546	2,851	90,074
Sonoma	% of Tot.	3.2%	2.7%	6.6%	21.2%	29.8%	27.1%	6.2%	3.2%	100.0%
	2000	8,880	20,903	37,376	20,857	9,543	7,921	2,601	2,430	110,511
	% of Tot.	8.0%	18.9%	33.8%	18.9%	8.6%	7.2%	2.4%	2.2%	100.0%
Bay Area	2005	7,545	2,688	3,419	8,667	16,493	44,090	17,205	13,377	113,484
	% of Tot.	6.6%	2.4%	3.0%	7.6%	14.5%	38.9%	15.2%	11.8%	100.0%
Bay Area	2000	83,494	222,972	296,534	271,029	192,397	204,710	78,188	74,700	1,424,024
	% of Tot.	5.9%	15.7%	20.8%	19.0%	13.5%	14.4%	5.5%	5.2%	100.0%
	2005	62,182	29,797	46,462	106,278	187,242	543,169	278,977	234,669	1,488,776
Bay Area	% of Tot.	4.2%	2.0%	3.1%	7.1%	12.6%	36.5%	18.7%	15.8%	100.0%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 (H48) and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table B25075).

Table 1.9
Tenure by Year Householder Moved into Unit
Owner-Occupied Households by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005

County		2000 or Later	¹ 1995 to 1999	1990 to 1994	1980 to 1989	1970 to 1979	1969 or Earlier	TOTAL
Alameda	2000	-	98,429	48,104	56,494	41,340	41,939	286,306
	% of Tot.	-	34.4%	16.8%	19.7%	14.4%	14.6%	100.0%
	2005	94,485	56,440	35,669	48,294	33,462	30,424	298,774
	% of Tot.	31.6%	18.9%	11.9%	16.2%	11.2%	10.2%	100.0%
Contra Costa	2000	-	86,506	43,667	49,394	31,771	27,075	238,413
	% of Tot.	-	36.3%	18.3%	20.7%	13.3%	11.4%	100.0%
	2005	99,147	44,355	28,696	40,285	24,732	18,990	256,205
	% of Tot.	38.7%	17.3%	11.2%	15.7%	9.7%	7.4%	100.0%
Marin	2000	-	19,253	10,598	13,555	11,021	9,591	64,018
	% of Tot.	-	30.1%	16.6%	21.2%	17.2%	15.0%	100.0%
	2005	20,590	12,358	7,275	11,098	7,753	8,179	67,253
	% of Tot.	30.6%	18.4%	10.8%	16.5%	11.5%	12.2%	100.0%
Napa	2000	-	10,099	5,092	6,100	4,486	3,787	29,564
	% of Tot.	-	34.2%	17.2%	20.6%	15.2%	12.8%	100.0%
	2005	12,705	4,927	3,578	4,833	4,158	2,122	32,323
	% of Tot.	39.3%	15.2%	11.1%	15.0%	12.9%	6.6%	100.0%
San Francisco	2000	-	34,143	18,334	21,941	17,752	23,145	115,315
	% of Tot.	-	29.6%	15.9%	19.0%	15.4%	20.1%	100.0%
	2005	35,419	21,060	14,975	19,248	15,382	16,519	122,603
	% of Tot.	28.9%	17.2%	12.2%	15.7%	12.5%	13.5%	100.0%
San Mateo	2000	-	47,105	24,204	33,030	26,168	25,757	156,264
	% of Tot.	-	30.1%	15.5%	21.1%	16.7%	16.5%	100.0%
	2005	42,902	24,715	18,579	30,513	23,386	18,577	158,672
	% of Tot.	27.0%	15.6%	11.7%	19.2%	14.7%	11.7%	100.0%
Santa Clara	2000	-	116,827	55,583	70,214	51,825	44,187	338,636
	% of Tot.	-	34.5%	16.4%	20.7%	15.3%	13.0%	100.0%
	2005	113,779	66,895	37,342	58,737	40,213	32,422	349,388
	% of Tot.	32.6%	19.1%	10.7%	16.8%	11.5%	9.3%	100.0%
Solano	2000	-	31,204	17,261	19,105	10,552	6,875	84,997
	% of Tot.	-	36.7%	20.3%	22.5%	12.4%	8.1%	100.0%
	2005	33,518	15,288	11,399	16,312	8,464	5,093	90,074
	% of Tot.	37.2%	17.0%	12.7%	18.1%	9.4%	5.7%	100.0%
Sonoma	2000	-	38,959	21,150	26,136	15,446	8,820	110,511
	% of Tot.	-	35.3%	19.1%	23.7%	14.0%	8.0%	100.0%
	2005	39,913	22,792	14,578	19,382	11,361	5,458	113,484
	% of Tot.	35.2%	20.1%	12.8%	17.1%	10.0%	4.8%	100.0%
Bay Area	2000	-	482,525	243,993	295,969	210,361	191,176	1,424,024
	% of Tot.	-	33.9%	17.1%	20.8%	14.8%	13.4%	100.0%
	2005	492,458	268,830	172,091	248,702	168,911	137,784	1,488,776
	% of Tot.	33.1%	18.1%	11.6%	16.7%	11.3%	9.3%	100.0%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Summary File 3 (Table H38), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table B25038).

¹ The Census 2000 range for this column is 1995 to March 2000. For ACS 2005, the range is 1995 to 1999.

Table 1.10
Tenure by Year Householder Moved into Unit
Renter-Occupied Households by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005

County		2000 or Later	¹ 1995 to 1999	1990 to 1994	1980 to 1989	1970 to 1979	1969 or Earlier	TOTAL
Alameda	2000	-	172,499	34,312	20,285	6,868	3,096	237,060
	% of Tot.	-	72.8%	14.5%	8.6%	2.9%	1.3%	100.0%
	2005	164,843	28,815	12,255	10,860	3,261	2,572	222,606
	% of Tot.	74.1%	12.9%	5.5%	4.9%	1.5%	1.2%	100.0%
Contra Costa	2000	-	80,647	14,801	7,239	2,144	885	105,716
	% of Tot.	-	76.3%	14.0%	6.8%	2.0%	0.8%	100.0%
	2005	83,213	13,476	3,815	4,026	958	409	105,897
	% of Tot.	78.6%	12.7%	3.6%	3.8%	0.9%	0.4%	100.0%
Marin	2000	-	26,156	5,906	3,223	1,016	331	36,632
	% of Tot.	-	71.4%	16.1%	8.8%	2.8%	0.9%	100.0%
	2005	26,292	4,309	2,070	1,706	710	53	35,140
	% of Tot.	74.8%	12.3%	5.9%	4.9%	2.0%	0.2%	100.0%
Napa	2000	-	11,759	2,261	1,251	374	193	15,838
	% of Tot.	-	74.2%	14.3%	7.9%	2.4%	1.2%	100.0%
	2005	13,902	1,215	409	213	140	0	15,879
	% of Tot.	87.5%	7.7%	2.6%	1.3%	0.9%	0.0%	100.0%
San Francisco	2000	-	129,604	39,102	26,749	13,044	5,886	214,385
	% of Tot.	-	60.5%	18.2%	12.5%	6.1%	2.7%	100.0%
	2005	116,969	30,840	21,764	18,571	8,269	3,383	199,796
	% of Tot.	58.5%	15.4%	10.9%	9.3%	4.1%	1.7%	100.0%
San Mateo	2000	-	69,319	15,074	9,494	2,698	1,254	97,839
	% of Tot.	-	70.9%	15.4%	9.7%	2.8%	1.3%	100.0%
	2005	71,926	12,163	5,670	3,984	1,283	529	95,555
	% of Tot.	75.3%	12.7%	5.9%	4.2%	1.3%	0.6%	100.0%
Santa Clara	2000	-	173,204	31,515	16,281	4,617	1,610	227,227
	% of Tot.	-	76.2%	13.9%	7.2%	2.0%	0.7%	100.0%
	2005	184,515	24,104	10,233	7,617	3,170	1,103	230,742
	% of Tot.	80.0%	10.4%	4.4%	3.3%	1.4%	0.5%	100.0%
Solano	2000	-	36,446	5,309	2,711	656	284	45,406
	% of Tot.	-	80.3%	11.7%	6.0%	1.4%	0.6%	100.0%
	2005	35,953	4,819	2,469	1,120	70	119	44,550
	% of Tot.	80.7%	10.8%	5.5%	2.5%	0.2%	0.3%	100.0%
Sonoma	2000	-	45,887	9,172	5,091	1,282	460	61,892
	% of Tot.	-	74.1%	14.8%	8.2%	2.1%	0.7%	100.0%
	2005	49,865	6,627	3,935	2,459	777	65	63,728
	% of Tot.	78.2%	10.4%	6.2%	3.9%	1.2%	0.1%	100.0%
Bay Area	2000	-	745,521	20,885	11,381	2,785	928	781,501
	% of Tot.	-	95.4%	2.7%	1.5%	0.4%	0.1%	100.0%
	2005	747,478	126,368	62,620	50,556	18,638	8,233	1,013,893
	% of Tot.	73.7%	12.5%	6.2%	5.0%	1.8%	0.8%	100.0%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Summary File 3 (Table H38), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table B25038).

¹ The date range for Census 2000 data in this field is 1995 to March 2000. For ACS 2005, the range is 1995 to 1999.

Table 2.1

Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race for Household Population by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005

County		Not Hispanic or Latino							Total Not Hispanic or Latino	Hispanic or Latino	TOTAL
		White Alone	Black or African American Alone	American Indian and Alaska Native Alone	Asian Alone	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone	Some Other Race Alone	Two or More Races			
Alameda	2000	604,279	205,785	5,137	286,931	8,560	3,724	44,563	1,158,979	257,027	1,416,006
	% of Tot.	42.7%	14.5%	0.4%	20.3%	0.6%	0.3%	3.1%	81.8%	18.2%	100.0%
	2005	533,065	182,796	5,073	344,060	9,448	8,754	40,900	1,124,096	297,212	1,421,308
Contra Costa	% of Tot.	37.5%	12.9%	0.4%	24.2%	0.7%	0.6%	2.9%	79.1%	20.9%	100.0%
	2000	560,847	86,092	3,709	100,917	3,212	1,988	24,314	781,079	156,400	937,479
	% of Tot.	59.8%	9.2%	0.4%	10.8%	0.3%	0.2%	2.6%	83.3%	16.7%	100.0%
Marin	2005	532,280	92,085	2,671	131,646	3,448	2,722	28,407	793,259	213,227	1,006,486
	% of Tot.	52.9%	9.1%	0.3%	13.1%	0.3%	0.3%	2.8%	78.8%	21.2%	100.0%
	2000	192,916	3,988	539	9,990	215	442	3,858	211,948	23,855	235,803
Napa	% of Tot.	81.8%	1.7%	0.2%	4.2%	0.1%	0.2%	1.6%	89.9%	10.1%	100.0%
	2005	183,332	4,540	181	11,944	507	196	5,687	206,387	29,222	235,609
	% of Tot.	77.8%	1.9%	0.1%	5.1%	0.2%	0.1%	2.4%	87.6%	12.4%	100.0%
San Francisco	2000	83,969	1,103	622	3,146	256	178	2,020	91,294	27,752	119,046
	% of Tot.	70.5%	0.9%	0.5%	2.6%	0.2%	0.1%	1.7%	76.7%	23.3%	100.0%
	2005	79,576	1,655	723	6,333	0	27	3,098	91,412	36,033	127,445
San Mateo	% of Tot.	62.4%	1.3%	0.6%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	71.7%	28.3%	100.0%
	2000	340,040	54,667	1,784	233,363	3,378	2,070	19,029	654,331	102,645	756,976
	% of Tot.	44.9%	7.2%	0.2%	30.8%	0.4%	0.3%	2.5%	86.4%	13.6%	100.0%
Santa Clara	2005	315,374	45,808	1,836	237,638	2,726	1,676	15,128	620,186	98,891	719,077
	% of Tot.	43.9%	6.4%	0.3%	33.0%	0.4%	0.2%	2.1%	86.2%	13.8%	100.0%
	2000	359,257	22,954	1,442	138,523	8,867	1,741	17,501	550,285	146,426	696,711
Solano	% of Tot.	51.6%	3.3%	0.2%	19.9%	1.3%	0.2%	2.5%	79.0%	21.0%	100.0%
	2005	322,721	19,343	1,045	159,633	8,867	4,529	17,169	533,307	155,964	689,271
	% of Tot.	46.8%	2.8%	0.2%	23.2%	1.3%	0.7%	2.5%	77.4%	22.6%	100.0%
Sonoma	2000	759,886	43,484	5,112	419,922	4,801	2,579	37,281	1,273,065	379,806	1,652,871
	% of Tot.	46.0%	2.6%	0.3%	25.4%	0.3%	0.2%	2.3%	77.0%	23.0%	100.0%
	2005	657,355	39,985	5,404	499,133	6,221	9,371	35,761	1,253,230	416,660	1,669,890
Bay Area	% of Tot.	39.4%	2.4%	0.3%	29.9%	0.4%	0.6%	2.1%	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%
	2000	196,008	55,121	2,240	47,741	3,052	693	12,131	316,986	61,582	378,568
	% of Tot.	51.8%	14.6%	0.6%	12.6%	0.8%	0.2%	3.2%	83.7%	16.3%	100.0%
San Francisco	2005	176,872	55,959	1,661	56,824	2,988	2,100	14,901	311,305	84,121	395,426
	% of Tot.	44.7%	14.2%	0.4%	14.4%	0.8%	0.5%	3.8%	78.7%	21.3%	100.0%
San Mateo	2000	342,336	5,498	3,437	12,760	808	806	9,274	374,919	72,593	447,512
	% of Tot.	76.5%	1.2%	0.8%	2.9%	0.2%	0.2%	2.1%	83.8%	16.2%	100.0%
	2005	318,648	5,987	3,137	16,930	1,245	1,512	10,367	357,826	96,024	453,850
Sonoma	% of Tot.	70.2%	1.3%	0.7%	3.7%	0.3%	0.3%	2.3%	78.8%	21.2%	100.0%
	2000	3,439,538	478,692	24,022	1,253,293	33,149	14,221	169,971	5,412,886	1,228,086	6,640,972
	% of Tot.	51.8%	7.2%	0.4%	18.9%	0.5%	0.2%	2.6%	81.5%	18.5%	100.0%
Bay Area	2005	3,119,223	448,158	21,731	1,464,141	35,450	30,887	171,418	5,291,008	1,427,354	6,718,362
	% of Tot.	46.4%	6.7%	0.3%	21.8%	0.5%	0.5%	2.6%	78.8%	21.2%	100.0%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Summary File 2 (Table PCT7), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table C03002).

Table 2.2**Asian Alone Population by Selected Groups for Household Population by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005**

County		Asian Indian	Chinese	Filipino	Japanese	Korean	Vietnamese	Other Asian	TOTAL
Alameda	2000	42,362	111,170	67,491	12,300	13,455	23,459	18,735	288,972
	% of Tot.	14.7%	38.5%	23.4%	4.3%	4.7%	8.1%	6.5%	100.0%
	2005	60,860	134,872	76,411	14,577	12,129	23,659	25,200	347,708
Contra Costa	% of Tot.	17.5%	38.8%	22.0%	4.2%	3.5%	6.8%	7.2%	100.0%
	2000	11,784	29,346	33,622	8,071	4,763	4,988	9,299	101,873
	% of Tot.	11.6%	28.8%	33.0%	7.9%	4.7%	4.9%	9.1%	100.0%
Marin	2005	17,605	33,990	48,338	7,433	7,535	4,245	14,905	134,051
	% of Tot.	13.1%	25.4%	36.1%	5.5%	5.6%	3.2%	11.1%	100.0%
	2000	1,314	3,390	1,081	1,516	914	1,168	685	10,068
Napa	% of Tot.	13.1%	33.7%	10.7%	15.1%	9.1%	11.6%	6.8%	100.0%
	2005	2,052	3,058	999	2,691	1,512	1,339	498	12,149
	% of Tot.	16.9%	25.2%	8.2%	22.1%	12.4%	11.0%	4.1%	100.0%
San Francisco	2000	270	461	1,631	339	199	98	180	3,178
	% of Tot.	8.5%	14.5%	51.3%	10.7%	6.3%	3.1%	5.7%	100.0%
	2005	132	1,339	3,621	666	78	88	778	6,702
San Mateo	% of Tot.	2.0%	20.0%	54.0%	9.9%	1.2%	1.3%	11.6%	100.0%
	2000	5,198	152,594	38,802	10,524	7,074	10,309	9,953	234,454
	% of Tot.	2.2%	65.1%	16.5%	4.5%	3.0%	4.4%	4.2%	100.0%
Santa Clara	2005	7,150	148,095	35,961	12,255	9,344	14,162	11,166	238,133
	% of Tot.	3.0%	62.2%	15.1%	5.1%	3.9%	5.9%	4.7%	100.0%
	2000	10,567	49,510	58,098	9,567	4,315	2,193	5,294	139,544
Solano	% of Tot.	7.6%	35.5%	41.6%	6.9%	3.1%	1.6%	3.8%	100.0%
	2005	14,827	56,924	61,780	10,503	6,847	3,645	6,036	160,562
	% of Tot.	9.2%	35.5%	38.5%	6.5%	4.3%	2.3%	3.8%	100.0%
Sonoma	2000	66,677	114,160	74,384	27,249	20,505	99,001	20,421	422,397
	% of Tot.	15.8%	27.0%	17.6%	6.5%	4.9%	23.4%	4.8%	100.0%
	2005	95,693	144,259	78,357	28,841	23,700	106,893	25,762	503,505
Bay Area	% of Tot.	19.0%	28.7%	15.6%	5.7%	4.7%	21.2%	5.1%	100.0%
	2000	2,843	3,342	35,843	1,705	891	1,403	2,396	48,423
	% of Tot.	5.9%	6.9%	74.0%	3.5%	1.8%	2.9%	4.9%	100.0%
Sonoma	2005	6,404	1,769	42,999	2,071	1,491	1,550	1,984	58,268
	% of Tot.	11.0%	3.0%	73.8%	3.6%	2.6%	2.7%	3.4%	100.0%
	2000	1,477	2,853	2,403	1,480	818	1,269	2,723	13,023
Sonoma	% of Tot.	11.3%	21.9%	18.5%	11.4%	6.3%	9.7%	20.9%	100.0%
	2005	1,983	4,503	2,139	1,535	1,212	2,428	3,595	17,395
	% of Tot.	11.4%	25.9%	12.3%	8.8%	7.0%	14.0%	20.7%	100.0%
Bay Area	2000	142,492	466,826	313,355	72,751	52,934	143,888	69,686	1,261,932
	% of Tot.	11.3%	37.0%	24.8%	5.8%	4.2%	11.4%	5.5%	100.0%
	2005	206,706	528,809	350,605	80,572	63,848	158,009	89,924	1,478,473
	% of Tot.	14.0%	35.8%	23.7%	5.4%	4.3%	10.7%	6.1%	100.0%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Summary File 2 (Table PCT7), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table C02006).

Table 3.1
Household Disabled Population by County of Residence: 2005

County		Persons, Age 5-20	Persons, Age 21-64	Persons, 65 Years and Older	Persons, 5 Years and Older
Alameda	With a Disability	12,920	83,894	55,282	152,096
	Total Persons	302,435	866,652	144,255	1,313,342
	% with a Disability	4.3%	9.7%	38.3%	11.6%
Contra Costa	With a Disability	12,485	67,285	40,558	120,328
	Total Persons	230,879	595,973	110,646	937,498
	% with a Disability	5.4%	11.3%	36.7%	12.8%
Marin	With a Disability	2,557	12,470	9,285	24,312
	Total Persons	42,065	145,718	33,477	221,260
	% with a Disability	6.1%	8.6%	27.7%	11.0%
Napa	With a Disability	691	6,897	6,252	13,840
	Total Persons	26,951	75,707	16,687	119,345
	% with a Disability	2.6%	9.1%	37.5%	11.6%
San Francisco	With a Disability	2,634	49,794	45,474	97,902
	Total Persons	85,266	488,575	105,176	679,017
	% with a Disability	3.1%	10.2%	43.2%	14.4%
San Mateo	With a Disability	4,626	31,078	30,316	66,020
	Total Persons	134,531	418,199	86,631	639,361
	% with a Disability	3.4%	7.4%	35.0%	10.3%
Santa Clara	With a Disability	12,317	68,153	63,476	143,946
	Total Persons	349,545	1,018,387	169,440	1,537,372
	% with a Disability	3.5%	6.7%	37.5%	9.4%
Solano	With a Disability	5,906	26,432	16,725	49,063
	Total Persons	98,504	222,515	40,180	361,199
	% with a Disability	6.0%	11.9%	41.6%	13.6%
Sonoma	With a Disability	4,684	32,407	21,704	58,795
	Total Persons	97,522	271,807	55,387	424,716
	% with a Disability	4.8%	11.9%	39.2%	13.8%
Bay Area	With a Disability	58,820	378,410	289,072	726,302
	Total Persons	1,367,698	4,103,533	761,879	6,233,110
	% with a Disability	4.3%	9.2%	37.9%	11.7%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from American Community Survey 2005 (Table B18002).

Disability status is asked of the civilian, noninstitutional population.

People 5 years and over are considered to have a disability if they have one or more of the following:

- a) sensory disability; b) physical disability; c) mental disability
- d) self-care disability; e) go-outside-home difficulty; or f) employment disability

Table 3.2**Household Population by Type of Disability by County of Residence: 2005**

County		Sensory Disability, Persons 5 Years and Older	Physical Disability, Persons 5 Years and Older	Mental Disability, Persons 5 Years and Older	Self-Care Disability, Persons 5 Years and Older	Go-Outside- Home Disability, Persons 16 Years and Older	Employment Disability, Persons 16- 64	Disability of Any Type, Persons 5 Years and Older
Alameda	With a Disability	38,776	100,428	54,248	30,989	47,698	46,982	152,096
	Total Persons	1,313,342	1,313,342	1,313,342	1,313,342	1,097,200	952,945	1,313,342
	% with a Disability	3.0%	7.6%	4.1%	2.4%	4.3%	4.9%	11.6%
Contra Costa	With a Disability	31,418	72,044	46,294	21,239	34,408	36,990	120,328
	Total Persons	937,498	937,498	937,498	937,498	772,655	662,009	937,498
	% with a Disability	3.4%	7.7%	4.9%	2.3%	4.5%	5.6%	12.8%
Marin	With a Disability	5,944	15,590	8,524	4,899	6,859	6,903	24,312
	Total Persons	221,260	221,260	221,260	221,260	190,343	156,866	221,260
	% with a Disability	2.7%	7.0%	3.9%	2.2%	3.6%	4.4%	11.0%
Napa	With a Disability	4,235	8,979	4,694	2,863	4,057	3,579	13,840
	Total Persons	119,345	119,345	119,345	119,345	99,635	82,948	119,345
	% with a Disability	3.5%	7.5%	3.9%	2.4%	4.1%	4.3%	11.6%
San Francisco	With a Disability	28,814	63,749	39,108	20,233	33,577	30,989	97,902
	Total Persons	679,017	679,017	679,017	679,017	620,072	514,896	679,017
	% with a Disability	4.2%	9.4%	5.8%	3.0%	5.4%	6.0%	14.4%
San Mateo	With a Disability	18,391	43,309	23,046	12,263	20,690	19,050	66,020
	Total Persons	639,361	639,361	639,361	639,361	542,746	456,115	639,361
	% with a Disability	2.9%	6.8%	3.6%	1.9%	3.8%	4.2%	10.3%
Santa Clara	With a Disability	42,966	85,329	52,940	27,235	46,342	38,351	143,946
	Total Persons	1,537,372	1,537,372	1,537,372	1,537,372	1,286,818	1,117,378	1,537,372
	% with a Disability	2.8%	5.6%	3.4%	1.8%	3.6%	3.4%	9.4%
Solano	With a Disability	13,091	30,800	15,533	10,187	13,919	16,015	49,063
	Total Persons	361,199	361,199	361,199	361,199	291,281	251,101	361,199
	% with a Disability	3.6%	8.5%	4.3%	2.8%	4.8%	6.4%	13.6%
Sonoma	With a Disability	18,002	37,462	20,461	14,504	18,408	19,336	58,795
	Total Persons	424,716	424,716	424,716	424,716	358,515	303,128	424,716
	% with a Disability	4.2%	8.8%	4.8%	3.4%	5.1%	6.4%	13.8%
Bay Area	With a Disability	201,637	457,690	264,848	144,412	225,958	218,195	726,302
	Total Persons	6,233,110	6,233,110	6,233,110	6,233,110	5,259,265	4,497,386	6,233,110
	% with a Disability	3.2%	7.3%	4.2%	2.3%	4.3%	4.9%	11.7%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from American Community Survey 2005 (Tables B18002, C18003-C18008).

Disability status is asked of the civilian, noninstitutional population.

Table 3.3**School Enrollment for Household Population by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005**

County	Year	Pre-primary	Elementary/High School	College	TOTAL
Alameda	2000	24,960	264,923	120,292	410,175
	2005	28,051	251,917	116,083	396,051
	% Change	12.4%	-4.9%	-3.5%	-3.4%
Contra Costa	2000	19,488	187,967	59,825	267,280
	2005	19,554	197,447	67,772	284,773
	% Change	0.3%	5.0%	13.3%	6.5%
Marin	2000	4,634	37,537	13,952	56,123
	2005	5,179	36,466	12,045	53,690
	% Change	11.8%	-2.9%	-13.7%	-4.3%
Napa	2000	1,675	23,725	6,812	32,212
	2005	2,142	22,778	7,182	32,102
	% Change	27.9%	-4.0%	5.4%	-0.3%
San Francisco	2000	8,416	88,780	79,628	176,824
	2005	9,006	71,668	68,725	149,399
	% Change	7.0%	-19.3%	-13.7%	-15.5%
San Mateo	2000	13,575	121,153	51,460	186,188
	2005	16,160	116,132	45,875	178,167
	% Change	19.0%	-4.1%	-10.9%	-4.3%
Santa Clara	2000	29,332	305,411	132,488	467,231
	2005	31,382	295,213	134,297	460,892
	% Change	7.0%	-3.3%	1.4%	-1.4%
Solano	2000	6,035	83,725	24,424	114,184
	2005	4,595	84,780	28,895	118,270
	% Change	-23.9%	1.3%	18.3%	3.6%
Sonoma	2000	7,488	85,662	32,118	125,268
	2005	7,254	79,880	31,104	118,238
	% Change	-3.1%	-6.7%	-3.2%	-5.6%
Bay Area	2000	115,603	1,198,883	520,999	1,835,485
	2005	123,323	1,156,281	511,978	1,791,582
	% Change	6.7%	-3.6%	-1.7%	-2.4%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Public Use Microdata Samples (5% PUMS) and the American Community Survey (Table B14001).

School enrollment is tabulated for the population aged 3 and older.

Table 3.4**Age by School Enrollment for Household Population by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005****Alameda County**

Age	Census 2000			ACS 2005		
	Enrolled	Not Enrolled	Share Enrolled	Enrolled	Not Enrolled	Share Enrolled
3 to 4	21,450	17,444	55.1%	22,771	20,190	53.0%
5 to 9	101,339	3,262	96.9%	98,945	5,244	95.0%
10 to 14	96,779	1,204	98.8%	91,980	1,496	98.4%
15 to 17	50,818	2,265	95.7%	55,141	1,955	96.6%
18 to 19	23,047	11,348	67.0%	22,827	9,195	71.3%
20 to 24	40,900	54,909	42.7%	41,744	44,014	48.7%
25 to 34	38,330	195,352	16.4%	30,342	170,661	15.1%
35 and Above	37,512	660,915	5.4%	32,301	708,555	4.4%
Total	410,175	946,699	30.2%	396,051	961,310	29.2%

Contra Costa County

Age	Census 2000			ACS 2005		
	Enrolled	Not Enrolled	Share Enrolled	Enrolled	Not Enrolled	Share Enrolled
3 to 4	15,444	12,376	55.5%	17,306	11,436	60.2%
5 to 9	72,051	2,277	96.9%	71,588	3,078	95.9%
10 to 14	70,279	784	98.9%	72,925	644	99.1%
15 to 17	37,871	1,292	96.7%	45,002	1,310	97.2%
18 to 19	14,433	7,055	67.2%	18,414	6,094	75.1%
20 to 24	18,746	30,365	38.2%	25,736	34,543	42.7%
25 to 34	15,944	105,640	13.1%	15,334	108,232	12.4%
35 and Above	22,512	470,764	4.6%	18,468	516,858	3.4%
Total	267,280	630,553	29.8%	284,773	682,195	29.5%

Marin County

Age	Census 2000			ACS 2005		
	Enrolled	Not Enrolled	Share Enrolled	Enrolled	Not Enrolled	Share Enrolled
3 to 4	3,831	1,359	73.8%	4,270	1,478	74.3%
5 to 9	13,811	288	98.0%	12,141	605	95.3%
10 to 14	14,509	32	99.8%	14,714	181	98.8%
15 to 17	7,827	225	97.2%	8,068	273	96.7%
18 to 19	2,834	1,092	72.2%	3,046	873	77.7%
20 to 24	3,337	4,722	41.4%	4,237	5,598	43.1%
25 to 34	3,617	26,355	12.1%	2,524	19,745	11.3%
35 and Above	6,357	138,624	4.4%	4,690	144,959	3.1%
Total	56,123	172,697	24.5%	53,690	173,712	23.6%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Public Use Microdata Samples (5% PUMS), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table C14003).

Table 3.4 (continued)**Age by School Enrollment for Household Population by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005****Napa County**

Age	Census 2000			ACS 2005		
	Enrolled	Not Enrolled	Share Enrolled	Enrolled	Not Enrolled	Share Enrolled
3 to 4	1,334	1,512	46.9%	1,820	1,669	52.2%
5 to 9	8,933	245	97.3%	8,675	362	96.0%
10 to 14	8,248	0	100.0%	8,596	0	100.0%
15 to 17	4,921	174	96.6%	5,172	110	97.9%
18 to 19	2,417	1,224	66.4%	1,346	1,648	45.0%
20 to 24	1,782	4,589	28.0%	2,202	6,249	26.1%
25 to 34	1,685	13,237	11.3%	1,588	13,346	10.6%
35 and Above	2,892	62,561	4.4%	2,703	67,348	3.9%
Total	32,212	83,542	27.8%	32,102	90,732	26.1%

San Francisco County

Age	Census 2000			ACS 2005		
	Enrolled	Not Enrolled	Share Enrolled	Enrolled	Not Enrolled	Share Enrolled
3 to 4	7,285	4,180	63.5%	8,740	6,577	57.1%
5 to 9	30,276	1,070	96.6%	22,679	1,076	95.5%
10 to 14	31,284	576	98.2%	28,430	726	97.5%
15 to 17	17,288	608	96.6%	15,531	510	96.8%
18 to 19	9,962	3,551	73.7%	8,155	1,560	83.9%
20 to 24	22,194	28,176	44.1%	21,733	17,191	55.8%
25 to 34	31,344	148,465	17.4%	21,929	111,402	16.4%
35 and Above	27,191	378,472	6.7%	22,202	406,235	5.2%
Total	176,824	565,098	23.8%	149,399	545,277	21.5%

San Mateo County

Age	Census 2000			ACS 2005		
	Enrolled	Not Enrolled	Share Enrolled	Enrolled	Not Enrolled	Share Enrolled
3 to 4	11,522	6,629	63.5%	14,059	6,368	68.8%
5 to 9	45,330	1,346	97.1%	42,798	3,909	91.6%
10 to 14	45,406	651	98.6%	41,647	102	99.8%
15 to 17	23,124	932	96.1%	24,565	1,003	96.1%
18 to 19	11,274	4,432	71.8%	12,182	2,515	82.9%
20 to 24	15,861	23,522	40.3%	16,545	18,642	47.0%
25 to 34	15,190	92,787	14.1%	10,636	74,106	12.6%
35 and Above	18,457	352,947	5.0%	15,735	375,089	4.0%
Total	186,164	483,246	27.8%	178,167	481,734	27.0%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Public Use Microdata Samples (5% PUMS), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table C14003).

Table 3.4 (continued)**Age by School Enrollment for Household Population by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005****Santa Clara County**

Age	Census 2000			ACS 2005		
	Enrolled	Not Enrolled	Share Enrolled	Enrolled	Not Enrolled	Share Enrolled
3 to 4	24,555	22,840	51.8%	27,510	25,142	52.2%
5 to 9	116,173	5,119	95.8%	108,788	3,951	96.5%
10 to 14	112,632	1,223	98.9%	115,143	2,040	98.3%
15 to 17	59,355	2,687	95.7%	62,382	2,353	96.4%
18 to 19	26,612	12,552	68.0%	27,692	7,647	78.4%
20 to 24	41,301	61,860	40.0%	47,740	47,317	50.2%
25 to 34	45,840	249,836	15.5%	37,312	206,178	15.3%
35 and Above	40,676	763,913	5.1%	34,325	835,104	3.9%
Total	467,144	1,120,030	29.4%	460,892	1,129,732	29.0%

Solano County

Age	Census 2000			ACS 2005		
	Enrolled	Not Enrolled	Share Enrolled	Enrolled	Not Enrolled	Share Enrolled
3 to 4	4,798	6,530	42.4%	3,918	6,567	37.4%
5 to 9	30,973	1,487	95.4%	29,120	451	98.5%
10 to 14	32,203	300	99.1%	32,880	739	97.8%
15 to 17	17,171	539	97.0%	19,830	448	97.8%
18 to 19	6,385	4,400	59.2%	7,529	3,159	70.4%
20 to 24	7,297	16,569	30.6%	7,866	17,160	31.4%
25 to 34	6,552	45,349	12.6%	8,036	41,837	16.1%
35 and Above	8,805	174,389	4.8%	9,091	187,602	4.6%
Total	114,184	249,563	31.4%	118,270	257,963	31.4%

Sonoma County

Age	Census 2000			ACS 2005		
	Enrolled	Not Enrolled	Share Enrolled	Enrolled	Not Enrolled	Share Enrolled
3 to 4	6,173	5,237	54.1%	5,562	6,725	45.3%
5 to 9	30,906	1,336	95.9%	23,597	1,457	94.2%
10 to 14	33,275	364	98.9%	34,963	171	99.5%
15 to 17	17,781	996	94.7%	18,956	1,160	94.2%
18 to 19	6,941	4,244	62.1%	7,781	2,857	73.1%
20 to 24	9,725	16,482	37.1%	10,804	19,614	35.5%
25 to 34	7,317	47,909	13.2%	5,960	46,935	11.3%
35 and Above	13,150	231,066	5.4%	10,615	240,115	4.2%
Total	125,268	307,634	28.9%	118,238	319,034	27.0%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Public Use Microdata Samples (5% PUMS), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table C14003).

Table 3.4 (continued)**Age by School Enrollment for Household Population by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005****San Francisco Bay Area**

Age	Census 2000			ACS 2005		
	Enrolled	Not Enrolled	Share Enrolled	Enrolled	Not Enrolled	Share Enrolled
3 to 4	96,392	78,107	55.2%	105,956	86,152	55.2%
5 to 9	449,792	16,430	96.5%	418,331	20,133	95.4%
10 to 14	444,615	5,134	98.9%	441,278	6,099	98.6%
15 to 17	236,156	9,718	96.0%	254,647	9,122	96.5%
18 to 19	103,905	49,898	67.6%	108,972	35,548	75.4%
20 to 24	161,143	241,194	40.1%	178,607	210,328	45.9%
25 to 34	165,819	924,930	15.2%	133,661	792,442	14.4%
35 and Above	177,552	3,233,651	5.2%	150,130	3,481,865	4.1%
Total	1,835,374	4,559,062	28.7%	1,791,582	4,641,689	27.8%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Public Use Microdata Samples (5% PUMS), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table C14003).

Table 3.5**Households by Income Level by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005**

		Less than	\$10,000 to	\$15,000 to	\$25,000 to	\$35,000 to	\$50,000 to	\$75,000 to	\$100,000 to	\$150,000 to	\$200,000 or more	TOTAL
County		\$10,000	\$14,999	\$24,999	\$34,999	\$49,999	\$74,999	\$99,999	\$149,999	\$199,999	or more	
Alameda	2000	41,257	23,184	46,511	49,604	72,380	103,553	70,947	70,692	24,782	20,877	523,787
	% of Tot.	7.9%	4.4%	8.9%	9.5%	13.8%	19.8%	13.5%	13.5%	4.7%	4.0%	100.0%
	2005	39,505	22,853	46,561	39,071	70,434	87,202	68,299	82,563	36,281	28,611	521,380
	% of Tot.	7.6%	4.4%	8.9%	7.5%	13.5%	16.7%	13.1%	15.8%	7.0%	5.5%	100.0%
Contra Costa	2000	17,656	12,393	25,908	29,345	46,316	69,476	51,287	52,442	19,385	20,214	344,422
	% of Tot.	5.1%	3.6%	7.5%	8.5%	13.4%	20.2%	14.9%	15.2%	5.6%	5.9%	100.0%
	2005	16,452	11,565	28,508	28,755	41,697	68,436	48,093	65,017	24,824	28,755	362,102
	% of Tot.	4.5%	3.2%	7.9%	7.9%	11.5%	18.9%	13.3%	18.0%	6.9%	7.9%	100.0%
Marin	2000	4,884	2,927	6,854	7,399	12,151	18,240	12,947	16,128	7,049	12,157	100,736
	% of Tot.	4.8%	2.9%	6.8%	7.3%	12.1%	18.1%	12.9%	16.0%	7.0%	12.1%	100.0%
	2005	4,587	3,310	6,535	5,155	12,671	16,039	13,658	15,894	8,816	15,728	102,393
	% of Tot.	4.5%	3.2%	6.4%	5.0%	12.4%	15.7%	13.3%	15.5%	8.6%	15.4%	100.0%
Napa	2000	2,533	1,864	4,825	5,247	7,331	9,147	6,022	5,062	1,570	1,794	45,395
	% of Tot.	5.6%	4.1%	10.6%	11.6%	16.1%	20.1%	13.3%	11.2%	3.5%	4.0%	100.0%
	2005	1,861	1,547	4,255	4,127	6,587	10,197	6,027	8,450	2,274	2,877	48,202
	% of Tot.	3.9%	3.2%	8.8%	8.6%	13.7%	21.2%	12.5%	17.5%	4.7%	6.0%	100.0%
San Francisco	2000	32,261	16,394	28,142	29,596	43,784	58,297	39,969	43,534	17,613	20,260	329,850
	% of Tot.	9.8%	5.0%	8.5%	9.0%	13.3%	17.7%	12.1%	13.2%	5.3%	6.1%	100.0%
	2005	33,435	19,306	29,867	23,579	35,635	53,420	37,626	46,496	19,639	23,396	322,399
	% of Tot.	10.4%	6.0%	9.3%	7.3%	11.1%	16.6%	11.7%	14.4%	6.1%	7.3%	100.0%
San Mateo	2000	10,441	7,526	16,438	19,254	31,588	49,266	37,665	43,104	16,965	21,972	254,219
	% of Tot.	4.1%	3.0%	6.5%	7.6%	12.4%	19.4%	14.8%	17.0%	6.7%	8.6%	100.0%
	2005	9,789	9,982	19,403	17,470	27,967	43,331	36,496	43,246	20,294	26,249	254,227
	% of Tot.	3.9%	3.9%	7.6%	6.9%	11.0%	17.0%	14.4%	17.0%	8.0%	10.3%	100.0%
Santa Clara	2000	25,418	16,344	34,094	39,417	63,431	106,536	85,163	105,937	45,940	44,205	566,485
	% of Tot.	4.5%	2.9%	6.0%	7.0%	11.2%	18.8%	15.0%	18.7%	8.1%	7.8%	100.0%
	2005	30,436	18,765	42,562	37,932	62,911	92,015	74,847	110,345	52,254	58,063	580,130
	% of Tot.	5.2%	3.2%	7.3%	6.5%	10.8%	15.9%	12.9%	19.0%	9.0%	10.0%	100.0%
Solano	2000	7,036	5,318	12,148	14,168	20,630	29,610	20,035	15,647	3,600	2,248	130,440
	% of Tot.	5.4%	4.1%	9.3%	10.9%	15.8%	22.7%	15.4%	12.0%	2.8%	1.7%	100.0%
	2005	7,236	5,163	10,281	13,213	18,861	25,834	22,250	21,217	6,650	3,919	134,624
	% of Tot.	5.4%	3.8%	7.6%	9.8%	14.0%	19.2%	16.5%	15.8%	4.9%	2.9%	100.0%
Sonoma	2000	10,040	7,735	16,423	18,620	27,222	38,103	23,321	20,364	5,517	5,345	172,690
	% of Tot.	5.8%	4.5%	9.5%	10.8%	15.8%	22.1%	13.5%	11.8%	3.2%	3.1%	100.0%
	2005	8,639	9,835	16,901	14,653	23,716	37,959	23,954	25,127	8,811	7,617	177,212
	% of Tot.	4.9%	5.5%	9.5%	8.3%	13.4%	21.4%	13.5%	14.2%	5.0%	4.3%	100.0%
Bay Area	2000	151,526	93,685	191,343	212,650	324,833	482,228	347,356	372,910	142,421	149,072	2,468,024
	% of Tot.	6.1%	3.8%	7.8%	8.6%	13.2%	19.5%	14.1%	15.1%	5.8%	6.0%	100.0%
	2005	151,940	102,326	204,873	183,955	300,479	434,433	331,250	418,355	179,843	195,215	2,502,669
	% of Tot.	6.1%	4.1%	8.2%	7.4%	12.0%	17.4%	13.2%	16.7%	7.2%	7.8%	100.0%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Summary File 3 (Table P52), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table C19001).

Table 3.6
Mean and Median Household Income by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005

County		Year 2000	Year 2000 (in Year 2005 \$)	Year 2005	% Change, 2000- 2005
Alameda	Mean	\$72,629	\$85,339	\$80,791	-5.3%
	Median	\$55,946	\$65,737	\$61,014	-7.2%
Contra Costa	Mean	\$83,675	\$98,318	\$91,202	-7.2%
	Median	\$63,675	\$74,818	\$69,487	-7.1%
Marin	Mean	\$108,756	\$127,788	\$121,259	-5.1%
	Median	\$71,306	\$83,785	\$78,919	-5.8%
Napa	Mean	\$70,985	\$83,407	\$87,079	4.4%
	Median	\$51,738	\$60,792	\$65,260	7.3%
San Francisco	Mean	\$80,325	\$94,382	\$83,417	-11.6%
	Median	\$55,221	\$64,885	\$57,496	-11.4%
San Mateo	Mean	\$98,874	\$116,178	\$101,524	-12.6%
	Median	\$70,819	\$83,212	\$74,546	-10.4%
Santa Clara	Mean	\$96,187	\$113,020	\$99,548	-11.9%
	Median	\$74,335	\$87,344	\$76,810	-12.1%
Solano	Mean	\$64,228	\$75,468	\$73,275	-2.9%
	Median	\$54,099	\$63,566	\$62,213	-2.1%
Sonoma	Mean	\$67,258	\$79,029	\$74,919	-5.2%
	Median	\$53,076	\$62,364	\$58,330	-6.5%
Bay Area	Mean	\$83,934	\$98,623	\$90,046	-8.7%
	Median	~\$62,024	~\$72,878	~\$66,657	-8.5%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Summary File 3 (Tables P13, P53, and P54) , and the American Community Survey 2005 (Tables B11001, B19013, and B19025).

2000 Census household income values are inflated to ACS 2005 values by using the ratio of the Consumer Price indices (CPI-U) for the San Francisco Bay Area, 2005 to 1999 ($623.1 / 530.2 = 1.175$).

~Year 2000 value is the median income for the ten-county CMSA, including the nine-county Bay Area and Santa Cruz County. The year 2005 value is the median income for the eleven-county CSA, including the nine-county Bay Area and Santa Cruz and San Benito Counties.

Table 3.7**Ratio of Income to Poverty Level for Household Population, by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005**

County		Under 50%	50 to 100%	100 to 150%	150 to 200%	Over 200%	TOTAL
Alameda	2000	76,860	72,764	92,798	91,355	1,074,639	1,408,416
	% of Tot.	5.5%	5.2%	6.6%	6.5%	76.3%	100.0%
	2005	78,411	89,238	98,844	88,642	1,061,218	1,416,353
	% of Tot.	5.5%	6.3%	7.0%	6.3%	74.9%	100.0%
Contra Costa	2000	32,537	37,666	50,403	52,552	756,816	929,974
	% of Tot.	3.5%	4.1%	5.4%	5.7%	81.4%	100.0%
	2005	31,801	49,789	54,008	61,162	806,328	1,003,088
	% of Tot.	3.2%	5.0%	5.4%	6.1%	80.4%	100.0%
Marin	2000	7,131	6,963	12,126	10,163	199,456	235,839
	% of Tot.	3.0%	3.0%	5.1%	4.3%	84.6%	100.0%
	2005	7,571	6,405	10,061	12,891	198,325	235,253
	% of Tot.	3.2%	2.7%	4.3%	5.5%	84.3%	100.0%
Napa	2000	4,677	4,975	7,592	10,967	90,912	119,123
	% of Tot.	3.9%	4.2%	6.4%	9.2%	76.3%	100.0%
	2005	2,894	5,919	7,306	7,863	102,686	126,668
	% of Tot.	2.3%	4.7%	5.8%	6.2%	81.1%	100.0%
San Francisco	2000	41,076	37,709	58,278	53,922	566,093	757,078
	% of Tot.	5.4%	5.0%	7.7%	7.1%	74.8%	100.0%
	2005	36,281	51,542	63,070	46,395	521,207	718,495
	% of Tot.	5.0%	7.2%	8.8%	6.5%	72.5%	100.0%
San Mateo	2000	20,916	18,425	32,735	33,917	586,654	692,647
	% of Tot.	3.0%	2.7%	4.7%	4.9%	84.7%	100.0%
	2005	20,403	30,222	41,437	46,725	546,285	685,072
	% of Tot.	3.0%	4.4%	6.0%	6.8%	79.7%	100.0%
Santa Clara	2000	60,731	60,560	80,782	88,765	1,358,017	1,648,855
	% of Tot.	3.7%	3.7%	4.9%	5.4%	82.4%	100.0%
	2005	65,430	73,432	107,175	111,816	1,307,548	1,665,401
	% of Tot.	3.9%	4.4%	6.4%	6.7%	78.5%	100.0%
Solano	2000	15,020	15,862	24,202	29,170	293,444	377,698
	% of Tot.	4.0%	4.2%	6.4%	7.7%	77.7%	100.0%
	2005	16,696	19,962	26,187	30,444	300,607	393,896
	% of Tot.	4.2%	5.1%	6.6%	7.7%	76.3%	100.0%
Sonoma	2000	14,914	19,121	29,129	32,381	349,705	445,250
	% of Tot.	3.3%	4.3%	6.5%	7.3%	78.5%	100.0%
	2005	19,082	22,123	32,572	39,445	339,344	452,566
	% of Tot.	4.2%	4.9%	7.2%	8.7%	75.0%	100.0%
Bay Area	2000	273,862	274,045	388,045	403,192	5,275,736	6,614,880
	% of Tot.	4.1%	4.1%	5.9%	6.1%	79.8%	100.0%
	2005	278,569	348,632	440,660	445,383	5,183,548	6,696,792
	% of Tot.	4.2%	5.2%	6.6%	6.7%	77.4%	100.0%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Public Use Microdata (5% PUMS), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table B17002).

Table 4.1.1

Sex by Age by Employment Status, Household Population 16 Years and Over, Alameda County: 2005

Age		Male	Female	Total		% Male	% Female	% Total
16 to 19	Employed	9,298	11,596	20,894	(1)	69.2%	78.7%	74.1%
	Unemployed	4,147	3,147	7,294	(2)	30.8%	21.3%	25.9%
	In Labor Force	13,445	14,743	28,188	(3)	37.2%	42.7%	39.9%
	Total	36,109	34,532	70,641				
20 to 24	Employed	26,847	25,892	52,739	(1)	81.8%	87.6%	84.5%
	Unemployed	5,960	3,678	9,638	(2)	18.2%	12.4%	15.5%
	In Labor Force	32,807	29,570	62,377	(3)	75.3%	70.1%	72.7%
	Total	43,584	42,174	85,758				
25 to 29	Employed	36,567	31,651	68,218	(1)	92.6%	91.8%	92.2%
	Unemployed	2,942	2,844	5,786	(2)	7.4%	8.2%	7.8%
	In Labor Force	39,509	34,495	74,004	(3)	85.8%	74.2%	80.0%
	Total	46,023	46,483	92,506				
30 to 34	Employed	46,623	35,090	81,713	(1)	93.5%	91.6%	92.7%
	Unemployed	3,251	3,212	6,463	(2)	6.5%	8.4%	7.3%
	In Labor Force	49,874	38,302	88,176	(3)	91.0%	71.3%	81.3%
	Total	54,814	53,683	108,497				
35 to 44	Employed	97,687	77,110	174,797	(1)	92.7%	91.6%	92.2%
	Unemployed	7,677	7,093	14,770	(2)	7.3%	8.4%	7.8%
	In Labor Force	105,364	84,203	189,567	(3)	90.8%	71.4%	81.0%
	Total	116,023	117,923	233,946				
45 to 54	Employed	86,511	77,348	163,859	(1)	94.4%	94.2%	94.3%
	Unemployed	5,113	4,763	9,876	(2)	5.6%	5.8%	5.7%
	In Labor Force	91,624	82,111	173,735	(3)	87.3%	75.4%	81.3%
	Total	104,932	108,850	213,782				
55 to 59	Employed	29,460	30,453	59,913	(1)	90.7%	94.3%	92.5%
	Unemployed	3,023	1,831	4,854	(2)	9.3%	5.7%	7.5%
	In Labor Force	32,483	32,284	64,767	(3)	78.4%	67.9%	72.8%
	Total	41,457	47,522	88,979				
60 to 64	Employed	16,921	13,886	30,807	(1)	97.9%	95.6%	96.8%
	Unemployed	370	632	1,002	(2)	2.1%	4.4%	3.2%
	In Labor Force	17,291	14,518	31,809	(3)	57.7%	48.5%	53.1%
	Total	29,975	29,919	59,894				
65+	Employed	11,167	10,636	21,803	(1)	95.1%	97.8%	96.4%
	Unemployed	573	243	816	(2)	4.9%	2.2%	3.6%
	In Labor Force	11,740	10,879	22,619	(3)	19.3%	13.1%	15.7%
	Total	60,896	83,359	144,255				
Total (16+)	Employed	361,081	313,662	674,743	(1)	91.6%	92.0%	91.8%
	Unemployed	33,056	27,443	60,499	(2)	8.4%	8.0%	8.2%
	In Labor Force	394,137	341,105	735,242	(3)	73.8%	60.4%	66.9%
	Total	533,813	564,445	1,098,258				

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from the American Community Survey 2005 (Table B23001).

(1) Employment Rate (Employed/Labor Force)

(2) Unemployment Rate (Unemployed/Labor Force)

(3) Labor Force Participation Rate (Labor Force/Total)

Table 4.1.2

Sex by Age by Employment Status, Household Population 16 Years and Over, Contra Costa County: 2005

Age		Male	Female	Total		% Male	% Female	% Total
16 to 19	Employed	8,716	8,246	16,962	(1)	81.1%	79.8%	80.5%
	Unemployed	2,028	2,089	4,117	(2)	18.9%	20.2%	19.5%
	In Labor Force	10,744	10,335	21,079	(3)	38.5%	39.3%	38.9%
	Total	27,902	26,310	54,212				
20 to 24	Employed	19,923	20,066	39,989	(1)	84.0%	88.2%	86.1%
	Unemployed	3,793	2,685	6,478	(2)	16.0%	11.8%	13.9%
	In Labor Force	23,716	22,751	46,467	(3)	79.7%	74.6%	77.1%
	Total	29,770	30,509	60,279				
25 to 29	Employed	25,527	18,465	43,992	(1)	95.2%	91.2%	93.5%
	Unemployed	1,279	1,774	3,053	(2)	4.8%	8.8%	6.5%
	In Labor Force	26,806	20,239	47,045	(3)	88.1%	70.7%	79.7%
	Total	30,415	28,625	59,040				
30 to 34	Employed	28,444	18,914	47,358	(1)	94.1%	93.8%	94.0%
	Unemployed	1,794	1,243	3,037	(2)	5.9%	6.2%	6.0%
	In Labor Force	30,238	20,157	50,395	(3)	94.9%	61.7%	78.1%
	Total	31,872	32,654	64,526				
35 to 44	Employed	65,290	49,398	114,688	(1)	93.6%	94.5%	94.0%
	Unemployed	4,436	2,870	7,306	(2)	6.4%	5.5%	6.0%
	In Labor Force	69,726	52,268	121,994	(3)	92.3%	66.0%	78.8%
	Total	75,565	79,172	154,737				
45 to 54	Employed	64,095	57,307	121,402	(1)	96.2%	96.3%	96.2%
	Unemployed	2,545	2,189	4,734	(2)	3.8%	3.7%	3.8%
	In Labor Force	66,640	59,496	126,136	(3)	87.4%	74.5%	80.8%
	Total	76,259	79,823	156,082				
55 to 59	Employed	25,186	21,877	47,063	(1)	96.5%	96.4%	96.4%
	Unemployed	923	811	1,734	(2)	3.5%	3.6%	3.6%
	In Labor Force	26,109	22,688	48,797	(3)	81.4%	67.9%	74.5%
	Total	32,080	33,391	65,471				
60 to 64	Employed	13,775	11,300	25,075	(1)	92.4%	97.2%	94.5%
	Unemployed	1,127	323	1,450	(2)	7.6%	2.8%	5.5%
	In Labor Force	14,902	11,623	26,525	(3)	64.7%	45.9%	54.8%
	Total	23,043	25,347	48,390				
65+	Employed	9,822	6,703	16,525	(1)	96.8%	93.5%	95.5%
	Unemployed	322	464	786	(2)	3.2%	6.5%	4.5%
	In Labor Force	10,144	7,167	17,311	(3)	21.2%	11.4%	15.6%
	Total	47,827	62,819	110,646				
Total (16+)	Employed	260,778	212,276	473,054	(1)	93.5%	93.6%	93.5%
	Unemployed	18,247	14,448	32,695	(2)	6.5%	6.4%	6.5%
	In Labor Force	279,025	226,724	505,749	(3)	74.5%	56.9%	65.4%
	Total	374,733	398,650	773,383				

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from the American Community Survey 2005 (Table B23001).

(1) Employment Rate (Employed/Labor Force)

(2) Unemployment Rate (Unemployed/Labor Force)

(3) Labor Force Participation Rate (Labor Force/Total)

Table 4.1.3**Sex by Age by Employment Status, Household Population 16 Years and Over, Marin County: 2005**

Age		Male	Female	Total		% Male	% Female	% Total
16 to 19	Employed	1,308	1,227	2,535	(1)	76.0%	100.0%	86.0%
	Unemployed	413	0	413	(2)	24.0%	0.0%	14.0%
	In Labor Force	1,721	1,227	2,948	(3)	36.6%	28.6%	32.8%
	Total	4,697	4,287	8,984				
20 to 24	Employed	2,964	2,822	5,786	(1)	89.4%	95.5%	92.3%
	Unemployed	352	133	485	(2)	10.6%	4.5%	7.7%
	In Labor Force	3,316	2,955	6,271	(3)	68.4%	59.3%	63.8%
	Total	4,848	4,987	9,835				
25 to 29	Employed	4,438	3,625	8,063	(1)	91.6%	91.7%	91.7%
	Unemployed	405	329	734	(2)	8.4%	8.3%	8.3%
	In Labor Force	4,843	3,954	8,797	(3)	94.5%	88.0%	91.4%
	Total	5,126	4,494	9,620				
30 to 34	Employed	4,957	5,373	10,330	(1)	90.4%	97.5%	94.0%
	Unemployed	527	138	665	(2)	9.6%	2.5%	6.0%
	In Labor Force	5,484	5,511	10,995	(3)	95.1%	80.1%	86.9%
	Total	5,765	6,884	12,649				
35 to 44	Employed	15,712	12,441	28,153	(1)	96.5%	96.4%	96.4%
	Unemployed	577	464	1,041	(2)	3.5%	3.6%	3.6%
	In Labor Force	16,289	12,905	29,194	(3)	94.5%	68.5%	80.9%
	Total	17,244	18,845	36,089				
45 to 54	Employed	17,779	16,603	34,382	(1)	93.7%	96.8%	95.1%
	Unemployed	1,198	556	1,754	(2)	6.3%	3.2%	4.9%
	In Labor Force	18,977	17,159	36,136	(3)	93.4%	76.3%	84.4%
	Total	20,320	22,499	42,819				
55 to 59	Employed	7,310	7,331	14,641	(1)	95.9%	96.4%	96.1%
	Unemployed	311	276	587	(2)	4.1%	3.6%	3.9%
	In Labor Force	7,621	7,607	15,228	(3)	80.8%	63.4%	71.1%
	Total	9,437	11,992	21,429				
60 to 64	Employed	5,226	3,632	8,858	(1)	95.8%	93.9%	95.0%
	Unemployed	231	238	469	(2)	4.2%	6.1%	5.0%
	In Labor Force	5,457	3,870	9,327	(3)	68.1%	49.5%	58.9%
	Total	8,018	7,817	15,835				
65+	Employed	4,269	2,613	6,882	(1)	98.8%	92.5%	96.3%
	Unemployed	54	213	267	(2)	1.2%	7.5%	3.7%
	In Labor Force	4,323	2,826	7,149	(3)	29.2%	15.1%	21.4%
	Total	14,795	18,682	33,477				
Total (16+)	Employed	63,963	55,667	119,630	(1)	94.0%	96.0%	94.9%
	Unemployed	4,068	2,347	6,415	(2)	6.0%	4.0%	5.1%
	In Labor Force	68,031	58,014	126,045	(3)	75.4%	57.7%	66.1%
	Total	90,250	100,487	190,737				

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from the American Community Survey 2005 (Table B23001).

(1) Employment Rate (Employed/Labor Force)

(2) Unemployment Rate (Unemployed/Labor Force)

(3) Labor Force Participation Rate (Labor Force/Total)

Table 4.1.4**Sex by Age by Employment Status, Household Population 16 Years and Over, Napa County: 2005**

Age		Male	Female	Total		% Male	% Female	% Total
16 to 19	Employed	1,248	1,220	2,468	(1)	86.8%	70.7%	78.0%
	Unemployed	190	506	696	(2)	13.2%	29.3%	22.0%
	In Labor Force	1,438	1,726	3,164	(3)	49.1%	52.8%	51.0%
	Total	2,928	3,271	6,199				
20 to 24	Employed	3,772	3,090	6,862	(1)	87.0%	85.8%	86.4%
	Unemployed	566	510	1,076	(2)	13.0%	14.2%	13.6%
	In Labor Force	4,338	3,600	7,938	(3)	97.7%	89.7%	93.9%
	Total	4,439	4,012	8,451				
25 to 29	Employed	3,581	2,754	6,335	(1)	97.8%	100.0%	98.7%
	Unemployed	81	0	81	(2)	2.2%	0.0%	1.3%
	In Labor Force	3,662	2,754	6,416	(3)	91.2%	91.4%	91.3%
	Total	4,017	3,012	7,029				
30 to 34	Employed	3,501	2,339	5,840	(1)	100.0%	78.3%	90.0%
	Unemployed	0	650	650	(2)	0.0%	21.7%	10.0%
	In Labor Force	3,501	2,989	6,490	(3)	83.8%	80.2%	82.1%
	Total	4,180	3,725	7,905				
35 to 44	Employed	8,072	6,634	14,706	(1)	93.1%	91.9%	92.6%
	Unemployed	594	588	1,182	(2)	6.9%	8.1%	7.4%
	In Labor Force	8,666	7,222	15,888	(3)	91.9%	76.4%	84.1%
	Total	9,428	9,458	18,886				
45 to 54	Employed	8,127	6,733	14,860	(1)	97.1%	93.0%	95.2%
	Unemployed	239	504	743	(2)	2.9%	7.0%	4.8%
	In Labor Force	8,366	7,237	15,603	(3)	92.6%	74.6%	83.3%
	Total	9,033	9,697	18,730				
55 to 59	Employed	3,021	3,353	6,374	(1)	96.5%	98.0%	97.3%
	Unemployed	110	68	178	(2)	3.5%	2.0%	2.7%
	In Labor Force	3,131	3,421	6,552	(3)	87.8%	70.1%	77.6%
	Total	3,565	4,879	8,444				
60 to 64	Employed	2,555	1,881	4,436	(1)	96.3%	100.0%	97.8%
	Unemployed	98	0	98	(2)	3.7%	0.0%	2.2%
	In Labor Force	2,653	1,881	4,534	(3)	67.3%	55.9%	62.1%
	Total	3,942	3,362	7,304				
65+	Employed	1,867	1,167	3,034	(1)	96.9%	100.0%	98.1%
	Unemployed	59	0	59	(2)	3.1%	0.0%	1.9%
	In Labor Force	1,926	1,167	3,093	(3)	28.0%	11.9%	18.5%
	Total	6,870	9,817	16,687				
Total (16+)	Employed	35,744	29,171	64,915	(1)	94.9%	91.2%	93.2%
	Unemployed	1,937	2,826	4,763	(2)	5.1%	8.8%	6.8%
	In Labor Force	37,681	31,997	69,678	(3)	77.9%	62.5%	69.9%
	Total	48,402	51,233	99,635				

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from the American Community Survey 2005 (Table B23001).

(1) Employment Rate (Employed/Labor Force)

(2) Unemployment Rate (Unemployed/Labor Force)

(3) Labor Force Participation Rate (Labor Force/Total)

Table 4.1.5**Sex by Age by Employment Status, Household Population 16 Years and Over, San Francisco County: 2005**

Age		Male	Female	Total		% Male	% Female	% Total
16 to 19	Employed	2,015	2,397	4,412	(1)	73.4%	82.0%	77.8%
	Unemployed	731	526	1,257	(2)	26.6%	18.0%	22.2%
	In Labor Force	2,746	2,923	5,669	(3)	29.6%	27.9%	28.7%
	Total	9,262	10,460	19,722				
20 to 24	Employed	12,147	12,686	24,833	(1)	87.6%	88.0%	87.8%
	Unemployed	1,727	1,731	3,458	(2)	12.4%	12.0%	12.2%
	In Labor Force	13,874	14,417	28,291	(3)	72.8%	72.5%	72.7%
	Total	19,049	19,875	38,924				
25 to 29	Employed	21,926	21,466	43,392	(1)	92.8%	92.6%	92.7%
	Unemployed	1,691	1,721	3,412	(2)	7.2%	7.4%	7.3%
	In Labor Force	23,617	23,187	46,804	(3)	87.0%	83.9%	85.4%
	Total	27,152	27,625	54,777				
30 to 34	Employed	34,486	30,241	64,727	(1)	91.1%	94.6%	92.7%
	Unemployed	3,389	1,718	5,107	(2)	8.9%	5.4%	7.3%
	In Labor Force	37,875	31,959	69,834	(3)	92.6%	84.9%	88.9%
	Total	40,899	37,655	78,554				
35 to 44	Employed	63,674	49,935	113,609	(1)	93.5%	96.8%	94.9%
	Unemployed	4,425	1,649	6,074	(2)	6.5%	3.2%	5.1%
	In Labor Force	68,099	51,584	119,683	(3)	87.9%	81.4%	85.0%
	Total	77,430	63,345	140,775				
45 to 54	Employed	44,476	37,389	81,865	(1)	94.7%	94.2%	94.5%
	Unemployed	2,505	2,295	4,800	(2)	5.3%	5.8%	5.5%
	In Labor Force	46,981	39,684	86,665	(3)	84.5%	78.9%	81.8%
	Total	55,629	50,267	105,896				
55 to 59	Employed	14,210	14,793	29,003	(1)	92.9%	93.4%	93.1%
	Unemployed	1,094	1,042	2,136	(2)	7.1%	6.6%	6.9%
	In Labor Force	15,304	15,835	31,139	(3)	71.6%	73.1%	72.3%
	Total	21,383	21,668	43,051				
60 to 64	Employed	7,989	8,614	16,603	(1)	89.7%	95.9%	92.8%
	Unemployed	921	365	1,286	(2)	10.3%	4.1%	7.2%
	In Labor Force	8,910	8,979	17,889	(3)	54.0%	52.7%	53.3%
	Total	16,507	17,032	33,539				
65+	Employed	7,994	5,857	13,851	(1)	95.9%	99.0%	97.2%
	Unemployed	340	62	402	(2)	4.1%	1.0%	2.8%
	In Labor Force	8,334	5,919	14,253	(3)	18.8%	9.7%	13.6%
	Total	44,430	60,746	105,176				
Total (16+)	Employed	208,917	183,378	392,295	(1)	92.5%	94.3%	93.4%
	Unemployed	16,823	11,109	27,932	(2)	7.5%	5.7%	6.6%
	In Labor Force	225,740	194,487	420,227	(3)	72.4%	63.0%	67.7%
	Total	311,741	308,673	620,414				

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from the American Community Survey 2005 (Table B23001).

(1) Employment Rate (Employed/Labor Force)

(2) Unemployment Rate (Unemployed/Labor Force)

(3) Labor Force Participation Rate (Labor Force/Total)

Table 4.1.6**Sex by Age by Employment Status, Household Population 16 Years and Over, San Mateo County: 2005**

Age		Male	Female	Total		% Male	% Female	% Total
16 to 19	Employed	4,279	4,879	9,158	(1)	70.2%	83.7%	76.8%
	Unemployed	1,820	947	2,767	(2)	29.8%	16.3%	23.2%
	In Labor Force	6,099	5,826	11,925	(3)	35.2%	39.4%	37.1%
	Total	17,321	14,785	32,106				
20 to 24	Employed	12,890	9,943	22,833	(1)	88.8%	86.5%	87.8%
	Unemployed	1,619	1,550	3,169	(2)	11.2%	13.5%	12.2%
	In Labor Force	14,509	11,493	26,002	(3)	77.0%	70.3%	73.9%
	Total	18,845	16,342	35,187				
25 to 29	Employed	15,465	11,893	27,358	(1)	92.8%	90.3%	91.7%
	Unemployed	1,208	1,284	2,492	(2)	7.2%	9.7%	8.3%
	In Labor Force	16,673	13,177	29,850	(3)	89.1%	76.8%	83.2%
	Total	18,705	17,164	35,869				
30 to 34	Employed	21,861	17,039	38,900	(1)	97.1%	95.0%	96.2%
	Unemployed	652	903	1,555	(2)	2.9%	5.0%	3.8%
	In Labor Force	22,513	17,942	40,455	(3)	89.4%	75.7%	82.8%
	Total	25,181	23,692	48,873				
35 to 44	Employed	49,334	37,011	86,345	(1)	95.3%	92.2%	94.0%
	Unemployed	2,420	3,130	5,550	(2)	4.7%	7.8%	6.0%
	In Labor Force	51,754	40,141	91,895	(3)	90.9%	70.4%	80.7%
	Total	56,922	56,998	113,920				
45 to 54	Employed	44,464	37,495	81,959	(1)	92.2%	95.6%	93.7%
	Unemployed	3,764	1,727	5,491	(2)	7.8%	4.4%	6.3%
	In Labor Force	48,228	39,222	87,450	(3)	89.4%	71.7%	80.5%
	Total	53,927	54,741	108,668				
55 to 59	Employed	17,813	16,991	34,804	(1)	98.3%	93.9%	96.1%
	Unemployed	313	1,101	1,414	(2)	1.7%	6.1%	3.9%
	In Labor Force	18,126	18,092	36,218	(3)	76.4%	70.6%	73.4%
	Total	23,711	25,610	49,321				
60 to 64	Employed	10,316	7,612	17,928	(1)	96.1%	94.4%	95.4%
	Unemployed	415	453	868	(2)	3.9%	5.6%	4.6%
	In Labor Force	10,731	8,065	18,796	(3)	67.0%	49.6%	58.2%
	Total	16,020	16,264	32,284				
65+	Employed	6,815	5,558	12,373	(1)	96.2%	97.4%	96.7%
	Unemployed	270	148	418	(2)	3.8%	2.6%	3.3%
	In Labor Force	7,085	5,706	12,791	(3)	19.2%	11.5%	14.8%
	Total	36,888	49,743	86,631				
Total (16+)	Employed	183,237	148,421	331,658	(1)	93.6%	93.0%	93.3%
	Unemployed	12,481	11,243	23,724	(2)	6.4%	7.0%	6.7%
	In Labor Force	195,718	159,664	355,382	(3)	73.2%	58.0%	65.5%
	Total	267,520	275,339	542,859				

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from the American Community Survey 2005 (Table B23001).

(1) Employment Rate (Employed/Labor Force)

(2) Unemployment Rate (Unemployed/Labor Force)

(3) Labor Force Participation Rate (Labor Force/Total)

Table 4.1.7

Sex by Age by Employment Status, Household Population 16 Years and Over, Santa Clara County: 2005

Age		Male	Female	Total		% Male	% Female	% Total
16 to 19	Employed	10,212	9,032	19,244	(1)	71.2%	81.3%	75.6%
	Unemployed	4,136	2,084	6,220	(2)	28.8%	18.7%	24.4%
	In Labor Force	14,348	11,116	25,464	(3)	34.0%	29.9%	32.1%
	Total	42,226	37,216	79,442				
20 to 24	Employed	33,807	27,573	61,380	(1)	87.0%	93.1%	89.6%
	Unemployed	5,073	2,039	7,112	(2)	13.0%	6.9%	10.4%
	In Labor Force	38,880	29,612	68,492	(3)	77.5%	66.0%	72.1%
	Total	50,166	44,891	95,057				
25 to 29	Employed	44,190	31,199	75,389	(1)	90.3%	92.8%	91.3%
	Unemployed	4,757	2,405	7,162	(2)	9.7%	7.2%	8.7%
	In Labor Force	48,947	33,604	82,551	(3)	87.4%	70.1%	79.4%
	Total	55,989	47,949	103,938				
30 to 34	Employed	66,039	40,987	107,026	(1)	96.3%	93.7%	95.3%
	Unemployed	2,506	2,777	5,283	(2)	3.7%	6.3%	4.7%
	In Labor Force	68,545	43,764	112,309	(3)	92.5%	66.9%	80.5%
	Total	74,112	65,440	139,552				
35 to 44	Employed	134,133	87,592	221,725	(1)	96.1%	93.1%	94.9%
	Unemployed	5,493	6,488	11,981	(2)	3.9%	6.9%	5.1%
	In Labor Force	139,626	94,080	233,706	(3)	91.2%	69.1%	80.8%
	Total	153,040	136,157	289,197				
45 to 54	Employed	105,015	82,138	187,153	(1)	94.4%	94.1%	94.2%
	Unemployed	6,247	5,176	11,423	(2)	5.6%	5.9%	5.8%
	In Labor Force	111,262	87,314	198,576	(3)	89.4%	73.1%	81.4%
	Total	124,412	119,510	243,922				
55 to 59	Employed	36,045	32,686	68,731	(1)	93.4%	94.5%	93.9%
	Unemployed	2,542	1,907	4,449	(2)	6.6%	5.5%	6.1%
	In Labor Force	38,587	34,593	73,180	(3)	83.6%	66.1%	74.3%
	Total	46,135	52,354	98,489				
60 to 64	Employed	20,867	15,150	36,017	(1)	93.2%	93.8%	93.5%
	Unemployed	1,525	997	2,522	(2)	6.8%	6.2%	6.5%
	In Labor Force	22,392	16,147	38,539	(3)	64.7%	47.8%	56.4%
	Total	34,619	33,762	68,381				
65+	Employed	15,476	10,109	25,585	(1)	95.8%	93.4%	94.9%
	Unemployed	677	709	1,386	(2)	4.2%	6.6%	5.1%
	In Labor Force	16,153	10,818	26,971	(3)	21.9%	11.3%	15.9%
	Total	73,908	95,532	169,440				
Total (16+)	Employed	465,784	336,466	802,250	(1)	93.4%	93.2%	93.3%
	Unemployed	32,956	24,582	57,538	(2)	6.6%	6.8%	6.7%
	In Labor Force	498,740	361,048	859,788	(3)	76.2%	57.1%	66.8%
	Total	654,607	632,811	1,287,418				

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from the American Community Survey 2005 (Table B23001).

(1) Employment Rate (Employed/Labor Force)

(2) Unemployment Rate (Unemployed/Labor Force)

(3) Labor Force Participation Rate (Labor Force/Total)

Table 4.1.8**Sex by Age by Employment Status, Household Population 16 Years and Over, Solano County: 2005**

Age		Male	Female	Total		% Male	% Female	% Total
16 to 19	Employed	4,065	3,730	7,795	(1)	69.1%	80.1%	73.9%
	Unemployed	1,820	926	2,746	(2)	30.9%	19.9%	26.1%
	In Labor Force	5,885	4,656	10,541	(3)	47.7%	39.1%	43.5%
	Total	12,338	11,900	24,238				
20 to 24	Employed	9,897	7,091	16,988	(1)	91.2%	85.1%	88.6%
	Unemployed	951	1,242	2,193	(2)	8.8%	14.9%	11.4%
	In Labor Force	10,848	8,333	19,181	(3)	89.0%	64.9%	76.6%
	Total	12,188	12,838	25,026				
25 to 29	Employed	11,148	8,562	19,710	(1)	96.0%	95.7%	95.9%
	Unemployed	459	389	848	(2)	4.0%	4.3%	4.1%
	In Labor Force	11,607	8,951	20,558	(3)	91.6%	73.3%	82.6%
	Total	12,675	12,213	24,888				
30 to 34	Employed	10,962	6,910	17,872	(1)	97.0%	94.3%	95.9%
	Unemployed	339	420	759	(2)	3.0%	5.7%	4.1%
	In Labor Force	11,301	7,330	18,631	(3)	93.8%	56.6%	74.6%
	Total	12,043	12,942	24,985				
35 to 44	Employed	24,161	21,095	45,256	(1)	95.7%	94.0%	94.9%
	Unemployed	1,084	1,349	2,433	(2)	4.3%	6.0%	5.1%
	In Labor Force	25,245	22,444	47,689	(3)	89.9%	76.9%	83.3%
	Total	28,081	29,191	57,272				
45 to 54	Employed	25,124	21,937	47,061	(1)	95.6%	96.6%	96.1%
	Unemployed	1,149	776	1,925	(2)	4.4%	3.4%	3.9%
	In Labor Force	26,273	22,713	48,986	(3)	89.2%	73.1%	81.0%
	Total	29,455	31,051	60,506				
55 to 59	Employed	7,835	7,505	15,340	(1)	93.2%	94.7%	93.9%
	Unemployed	572	416	988	(2)	6.8%	5.3%	6.1%
	In Labor Force	8,407	7,921	16,328	(3)	71.0%	64.9%	67.9%
	Total	11,846	12,213	24,059				
60 to 64	Employed	3,191	3,288	6,479	(1)	92.8%	98.7%	95.7%
	Unemployed	248	43	291	(2)	7.2%	1.3%	4.3%
	In Labor Force	3,439	3,331	6,770	(3)	48.1%	44.2%	46.1%
	Total	7,144	7,532	14,676				
65+	Employed	2,800	2,658	5,458	(1)	98.0%	98.4%	98.2%
	Unemployed	56	44	100	(2)	2.0%	1.6%	1.8%
	In Labor Force	2,856	2,702	5,558	(3)	16.9%	11.6%	13.8%
	Total	16,928	23,252	40,180				
Total (16+)	Employed	99,183	82,776	181,959	(1)	93.7%	93.7%	93.7%
	Unemployed	6,678	5,605	12,283	(2)	6.3%	6.3%	6.3%
	In Labor Force	105,861	88,381	194,242	(3)	74.2%	57.7%	65.7%
	Total	142,698	153,132	295,830				

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from the American Community Survey 2005 (Table B23001).

(1) Employment Rate (Employed/Labor Force)

(2) Unemployment Rate (Unemployed/Labor Force)

(3) Labor Force Participation Rate (Labor Force/Total)

Table 4.1.9**Sex by Age by Employment Status, Household Population 16 Years and Over, Sonoma County: 2005**

Age		Male	Female	Total		% Male	% Female	% Total
16 to 19	Employed	4,017	4,530	8,547	(1)	76.2%	75.6%	75.9%
	Unemployed	1,257	1,463	2,720	(2)	23.8%	24.4%	24.1%
	In Labor Force	5,274	5,993	11,267	(3)	40.8%	50.8%	45.5%
	Total	12,933	11,808	24,741				
20 to 24	Employed	11,436	10,433	21,869	(1)	85.1%	92.0%	88.3%
	Unemployed	1,997	911	2,908	(2)	14.9%	8.0%	11.7%
	In Labor Force	13,433	11,344	24,777	(3)	86.8%	75.9%	81.5%
	Total	15,474	14,944	30,418				
25 to 29	Employed	12,481	8,281	20,762	(1)	93.8%	97.6%	95.3%
	Unemployed	825	200	1,025	(2)	6.2%	2.4%	4.7%
	In Labor Force	13,306	8,481	21,787	(3)	89.9%	67.5%	79.6%
	Total	14,805	12,564	27,369				
30 to 34	Employed	11,918	7,958	19,876	(1)	96.0%	96.5%	96.2%
	Unemployed	497	287	784	(2)	4.0%	3.5%	3.8%
	In Labor Force	12,415	8,245	20,660	(3)	93.6%	67.2%	80.9%
	Total	13,262	12,264	25,526				
35 to 44	Employed	28,154	21,718	49,872	(1)	95.4%	94.5%	95.0%
	Unemployed	1,358	1,263	2,621	(2)	4.6%	5.5%	5.0%
	In Labor Force	29,512	22,981	52,493	(3)	89.7%	70.3%	80.0%
	Total	32,901	32,696	65,597				
45 to 54	Employed	30,135	28,199	58,334	(1)	95.8%	97.2%	96.5%
	Unemployed	1,307	802	2,109	(2)	4.2%	2.8%	3.5%
	In Labor Force	31,442	29,001	60,443	(3)	89.0%	75.2%	81.8%
	Total	35,310	38,554	73,864				
55 to 59	Employed	11,158	11,398	22,556	(1)	94.3%	97.6%	96.0%
	Unemployed	671	280	951	(2)	5.7%	2.4%	4.0%
	In Labor Force	11,829	11,678	23,507	(3)	73.8%	66.5%	70.0%
	Total	16,037	17,568	33,605				
60 to 64	Employed	7,176	4,946	12,122	(1)	97.7%	93.0%	95.8%
	Unemployed	167	370	537	(2)	2.3%	7.0%	4.2%
	In Labor Force	7,343	5,316	12,659	(3)	65.7%	47.9%	56.8%
	Total	11,177	11,100	22,277				
65+	Employed	4,555	3,401	7,956	(1)	96.5%	95.5%	96.1%
	Unemployed	163	159	322	(2)	3.5%	4.5%	3.9%
	In Labor Force	4,718	3,560	8,278	(3)	20.2%	11.1%	14.9%
	Total	23,393	31,994	55,387				
Total (16+)	Employed	121,030	100,864	221,894	(1)	93.6%	94.6%	94.1%
	Unemployed	8,242	5,735	13,977	(2)	6.4%	5.4%	5.9%
	In Labor Force	129,272	106,599	235,871	(3)	73.7%	58.1%	65.7%
	Total	175,292	183,492	358,784				

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from the American Community Survey 2005 (Table B23001).

(1) Employment Rate (Employed/Labor Force)

(2) Unemployment Rate (Unemployed/Labor Force)

(3) Labor Force Participation Rate (Labor Force/Total)

Table 4.1.10**Sex by Age by Employment Status, Household Population 16 Years and Over, San Francisco Bay Area: 2005**

Age		Male	Female	Total		% Male	% Female	% Total
16 to 19	Employed	45,158	46,857	92,015	(1)	73.2%	80.0%	76.5%
	Unemployed	16,542	11,688	28,230	(2)	26.8%	20.0%	23.5%
	In Labor Force	61,700	58,545	120,245	(3)	37.2%	37.9%	37.5%
	Total	165,716	154,569	320,285				
20 to 24	Employed	133,683	119,596	253,279	(1)	85.8%	89.2%	87.4%
	Unemployed	22,038	14,479	36,517	(2)	14.2%	10.8%	12.6%
	In Labor Force	155,721	134,075	289,796	(3)	78.5%	70.4%	74.5%
	Total	198,363	190,572	388,935				
25 to 29	Employed	175,323	137,896	313,219	(1)	92.8%	92.6%	92.7%
	Unemployed	13,647	10,946	24,593	(2)	7.2%	7.4%	7.3%
	In Labor Force	188,970	148,842	337,812	(3)	87.9%	74.4%	81.4%
	Total	214,907	200,129	415,036				
30 to 34	Employed	228,791	164,851	393,642	(1)	94.6%	93.6%	94.2%
	Unemployed	12,955	11,348	24,303	(2)	5.4%	6.4%	5.8%
	In Labor Force	241,746	176,199	417,945	(3)	92.2%	70.8%	81.8%
	Total	262,128	248,939	511,067				
35 to 44	Employed	486,217	362,934	849,151	(1)	94.5%	93.6%	94.1%
	Unemployed	28,064	24,894	52,958	(2)	5.5%	6.4%	5.9%
	In Labor Force	514,281	387,828	902,109	(3)	90.8%	71.3%	81.2%
	Total	566,634	543,785	1,110,419				
45 to 54	Employed	425,726	365,149	790,875	(1)	94.6%	95.1%	94.9%
	Unemployed	24,067	18,788	42,855	(2)	5.4%	4.9%	5.1%
	In Labor Force	449,793	383,937	833,730	(3)	88.3%	74.6%	81.4%
	Total	509,277	514,992	1,024,269				
55 to 59	Employed	152,038	146,387	298,425	(1)	94.1%	95.0%	94.5%
	Unemployed	9,559	7,732	17,291	(2)	5.9%	5.0%	5.5%
	In Labor Force	161,597	154,119	315,716	(3)	78.6%	67.8%	72.9%
	Total	205,651	227,197	432,848				
60 to 64	Employed	88,016	70,309	158,325	(1)	94.5%	95.4%	94.9%
	Unemployed	5,102	3,421	8,523	(2)	5.5%	4.6%	5.1%
	In Labor Force	93,118	73,730	166,848	(3)	61.9%	48.5%	55.1%
	Total	150,445	152,135	302,580				
65+	Employed	64,765	48,702	113,467	(1)	96.3%	96.0%	96.1%
	Unemployed	2,514	2,042	4,556	(2)	3.7%	4.0%	3.9%
	In Labor Force	67,279	50,744	118,023	(3)	20.6%	11.6%	15.5%
	Total	325,935	435,944	761,879				
Total (16+)	Employed	1,799,717	1,462,681	3,262,398	(1)	93.0%	93.3%	93.2%
	Unemployed	134,488	105,338	239,826	(2)	7.0%	6.7%	6.8%
	In Labor Force	1,934,205	1,568,019	3,502,224	(3)	74.4%	58.8%	66.5%
	Total	2,599,056	2,668,262	5,267,318				

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from the American Community Survey 2005 (Table B23001).

(1) Employment Rate (Employed/Labor Force)

(2) Unemployment Rate (Unemployed/Labor Force)

(3) Labor Force Participation Rate (Labor Force/Total)

Table 4.2**Workers at Work and Total Employment by County of Work: 2000, 2004, 2005**

County	BEA 2000	BEA 2004	Census		Census/BEA 2000	ACS/BEA 2004/05	Census %	
			2000	ACS 2005			BEA % Change, 2000-2004	Change, 2000- 2005
Alameda	899,999	881,858	679,335	675,013	-24.5%	-23.5%	-2.0%	-0.6%
Contra Costa	477,646	491,195	336,990	356,262	-29.4%	-27.5%	2.8%	5.7%
Marin	178,591	181,705	121,625	115,620	-31.9%	-36.4%	1.7%	-4.9%
Napa	83,967	88,195	59,035	68,820	-29.7%	-22.0%	5.0%	16.6%
San Francisco	767,987	688,285	582,810	539,500	-24.1%	-21.6%	-10.4%	-7.4%
San Mateo	503,671	455,076	351,925	323,790	-30.1%	-28.8%	-9.6%	-8.0%
Santa Clara	1,282,671	1,091,361	940,430	869,026	-26.7%	-20.4%	-14.9%	-7.6%
Solano	160,396	173,658	121,145	128,688	-24.5%	-25.9%	8.3%	6.2%
Sonoma	273,645	276,050	197,745	196,308	-27.7%	-28.9%	0.9%	-0.7%
Bay Area	4,628,573	4,327,383	3,391,040	3,273,027	-26.7%	-24.4%	-6.5%	-3.5%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) Regional Economic Accounts (Tables CA25 and CA25N), Census 2000 Transportation Planning Package (CTPP 2-35), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table C08406).

Table 4.3

**Employed Residents and Weekly Absenteeism from Work for Household Workers
By County of Residence: 2000 & 2005**

County		Employed Residents	Workers at Work	Absenteeism Rate
Alameda	Census 2000	688,847	673,576	2.3%
	ACS 2005	674,743	651,547	3.6%
Contra Costa	Census 2000	449,216	438,748	2.4%
	ACS 2005	473,054	458,117	3.3%
Marin	Census 2000	128,088	126,193	1.5%
	ACS 2005	119,630	114,736	4.3%
Napa	Census 2000	58,823	57,796	1.8%
	ACS 2005	64,915	63,078	2.9%
San Francisco	Census 2000	423,140	414,253	2.1%
	ACS 2005	392,295	381,922	2.7%
San Mateo	Census 2000	358,381	350,595	2.2%
	ACS 2005	331,658	322,263	2.9%
Santa Clara	Census 2000	840,604	825,241	1.9%
	ACS 2005	802,250	777,259	3.2%
Solano	Census 2000	178,212	174,007	2.4%
	ACS 2005	181,959	174,139	4.5%
Sonoma	Census 2000	227,447	222,123	2.4%
	ACS 2005	221,894	216,208	2.6%
Bay Area	Census 2000	3,352,758	3,282,532	2.1%
	ACS 2005	3,262,398	3,159,269	3.3%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000
Public Use Microdata Sample (5% PUMS) and ACS 2005 (Tables B08006 and B23001).

Table 4.4

Industry of Household Workers by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005

County		Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting, and Mining	Construc- tion	Manufac- turing	Wholesale Trade	Retail Trade	Transportation and Ware- housing, and Utilities	Informa- tion	Finance and Insurance, and Real Estate and Rental and Leasing
Alameda	2000	1,192	32,273	100,707	28,433	74,857	44,195	37,838	53,452
	% of Tot.	0.2%	4.5%	14.1%	4.0%	10.5%	6.2%	5.3%	7.5%
	2005	971	42,463	81,653	28,636	71,192	32,291	19,033	54,178
Contra Costa	% of Tot.	0.1%	6.3%	12.1%	4.2%	10.6%	4.8%	2.8%	8.0%
	2000	5,748	38,857	35,392	19,767	59,009	25,262	25,847	48,667
	% of Tot.	1.2%	8.1%	7.4%	4.1%	12.4%	5.3%	5.4%	10.2%
Marin	2005	1,410	43,974	31,738	15,367	53,698	25,836	13,238	50,515
	% of Tot.	0.3%	9.3%	6.7%	3.2%	11.4%	5.5%	2.8%	10.7%
	2000	~0	~8,038	~7,436	~2,871	~8,885	~5,051	~6,201	~16,732
Napa	% of Tot.	0.0%	6.3%	5.8%	2.2%	6.9%	3.9%	4.8%	13.1%
	2005	442	6,988	6,177	2,829	12,303	3,227	5,039	16,340
	% of Tot.	0.4%	5.8%	5.2%	2.4%	10.3%	2.7%	4.2%	13.7%
San Francisco	2000	~1,768	~7,498	~4,539	~2,613	~5,739	~1,213	~889	~2,164
	% of Tot.	3.0%	12.8%	7.8%	4.5%	9.8%	2.1%	1.5%	3.7%
	2005	4,139	7,195	7,130	2,069	6,515	1,854	572	6,087
San Mateo	% of Tot.	6.4%	11.1%	11.0%	3.2%	10.0%	2.9%	0.9%	9.4%
	2000	744	15,882	31,413	11,940	43,467	20,070	31,890	44,573
	% of Tot.	0.2%	3.7%	7.2%	2.8%	10.0%	4.6%	7.3%	10.3%
Santa Clara	2005	730	17,284	21,676	11,088	36,235	18,456	19,233	37,196
	% of Tot.	0.2%	4.4%	5.5%	2.8%	9.2%	4.7%	4.9%	9.5%
	2000	3,408	23,682	33,711	12,882	47,672	26,127	18,212	31,041
Solano	% of Tot.	0.9%	6.4%	9.1%	3.5%	12.9%	7.1%	4.9%	8.4%
	2005	1,666	21,026	31,103	12,904	38,177	21,472	10,047	30,053
	% of Tot.	0.5%	6.3%	9.4%	3.9%	11.5%	6.5%	3.0%	9.1%
Sonoma	2000	5,579	38,580	203,928	24,444	93,200	19,620	45,784	40,196
	% of Tot.	0.6%	4.5%	23.6%	2.8%	10.8%	2.3%	5.3%	4.7%
	2005	1,964	47,231	174,456	24,859	82,173	24,986	25,842	45,121
Bay Area	% of Tot.	0.2%	5.9%	21.7%	3.1%	10.2%	3.1%	3.2%	5.6%
	2000	2,801	15,312	23,604	6,929	16,316	11,110	5,891	11,996
	% of Tot.	1.5%	8.4%	13.0%	3.8%	9.0%	6.1%	3.2%	6.6%
Sonoma	2005	2,124	16,531	16,469	5,402	19,968	11,567	3,621	14,352
	% of Tot.	1.2%	9.1%	9.1%	3.0%	11.0%	6.4%	2.0%	7.9%
	2000	6,016	23,586	30,077	7,235	27,033	7,000	4,830	17,592
Bay Area	% of Tot.	2.6%	10.1%	12.9%	3.1%	11.6%	3.0%	2.1%	7.6%
	2005	4,514	20,566	25,447	6,163	24,847	8,978	4,722	17,360
	% of Tot.	2.0%	9.3%	11.5%	2.8%	11.2%	4.0%	2.1%	7.8%
Bay Area	2000	27,256	203,708	470,807	117,114	376,178	159,648	177,382	266,413
	% of Tot.	0.8%	5.9%	13.6%	3.4%	10.9%	4.6%	5.1%	7.7%
	2005	17,960	223,258	395,849	109,317	345,108	148,667	101,347	271,202
	% of Tot.	0.6%	6.8%	12.1%	3.4%	10.6%	4.6%	3.1%	8.3%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Supplementary Survey (Tables P066 and PCT047), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Tables C24030 and B23001).

~Census 2000 Supplementary Survey data was not published for Marin and Napa Counties. It was instead derived by subtracting constituent county data from Primary Metropolitan Statistical Area (PMSA) data.

Table 4.4 (continued)

Industry of Household Workers by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005

		Scientific, and Management, and Educational Administrative Services, and and Waste Health Care Management and Social Services Assistance	Art, Entertainment, and Recreation, and Accommodation and Food Services	Other Services (Except Public Admin- istration)	Public Admin- istration	Armed Forces	TOTAL	
Alameda	2000	101,536	127,088	55,764	27,421	28,204	191	713,151
	% of Tot.	14.2%	17.8%	7.8%	3.8%	4.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	2005	101,918	136,654	46,960	33,025	24,711	1,058	674,743
	% of Tot.	15.1%	20.3%	7.0%	4.9%	3.7%	0.2%	100.0%
Contra Costa	2000	59,110	80,613	31,641	22,651	24,223	183	476,970
	% of Tot.	12.4%	16.9%	6.6%	4.7%	5.1%	0.0%	100.0%
	2005	67,328	91,067	35,234	22,770	20,151	728	473,054
	% of Tot.	14.2%	19.3%	7.4%	4.8%	4.3%	0.2%	100.0%
Marin	2000	~26,120	~21,349	~11,410	~9,332	~4,308	~146	~127,879
	% of Tot.	20.4%	16.7%	8.9%	7.3%	3.4%	0.1%	100.0%
	2005	20,786	22,515	11,475	7,850	3,265	394	119,630
	% of Tot.	17.4%	18.8%	9.6%	6.6%	2.7%	0.3%	100.0%
Napa	2000	~4,321	~13,182	~8,300	~3,082	~3,118	~73	~58,499
	% of Tot.	7.4%	22.5%	14.2%	5.3%	5.3%	0.1%	100.0%
	2005	5,148	13,059	6,289	1,978	2,880	0	64,915
	% of Tot.	7.9%	20.1%	9.7%	3.0%	4.4%	0.0%	100.0%
San Francisco	2000	82,218	63,460	47,135	23,601	17,512	179	434,084
	% of Tot.	18.9%	14.6%	10.9%	5.4%	4.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	2005	76,440	75,151	44,039	21,787	12,638	342	392,295
	% of Tot.	19.5%	19.2%	11.2%	5.6%	3.2%	0.1%	100.0%
San Mateo	2000	54,905	64,340	26,858	13,910	11,541	226	368,515
	% of Tot.	14.9%	17.5%	7.3%	3.8%	3.1%	0.1%	100.0%
	2005	53,025	58,341	26,313	14,151	13,267	113	331,658
	% of Tot.	16.0%	17.6%	7.9%	4.3%	4.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Santa Clara	2000	157,322	121,752	53,282	35,658	23,328	450	863,123
	% of Tot.	18.2%	14.1%	6.2%	4.1%	2.7%	0.1%	100.0%
	2005	132,145	129,916	57,010	35,149	20,798	600	802,250
	% of Tot.	16.5%	16.2%	7.1%	4.4%	2.6%	0.1%	100.0%
Solano	2000	18,640	33,903	10,676	8,756	11,894	4,063	181,891
	% of Tot.	10.2%	18.6%	5.9%	4.8%	6.5%	2.2%	100.0%
	2005	18,541	34,029	12,461	9,026	13,319	4,549	181,959
	% of Tot.	10.2%	18.7%	6.8%	5.0%	7.3%	2.5%	100.0%
Sonoma	2000	23,978	43,880	19,942	13,952	7,567	285	232,973
	% of Tot.	10.3%	18.8%	8.6%	6.0%	3.2%	0.1%	100.0%
	2005	24,070	43,677	22,354	10,372	8,555	269	221,894
	% of Tot.	10.8%	19.7%	10.1%	4.7%	3.9%	0.1%	100.0%
Bay Area	2000	528,150	569,567	265,008	158,363	131,695	5,796	3,457,085
	% of Tot.	15.3%	16.5%	7.7%	4.6%	3.8%	0.2%	100.0%
	2005	499,401	604,409	262,135	156,108	119,584	8,053	3,262,398
	% of Tot.	15.3%	18.5%	8.0%	4.8%	3.7%	0.2%	100.0%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Supplementary Survey (Tables P066 and PCT047), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Tables C24030 and B23001).

~Census 2000 Supplementary Survey data was not published for Marin and Napa Counties. It was instead derived by subtracting constituent county data from Primary Metropolitan Statistical Area (PMSA) data.

Table 4.5
Industry of Household Workers by County of Work: 2000 & 2005

County		Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting, and Mining	Construc- tion	Manufac- turing	Wholesale Trade	Retail Trade	Transportation and Ware- housing, and Utilities	Informa- tion	Finance and Insurance, and Real Estate and Rental and Leasing
Alameda	2000	1,750	43,932	99,739	32,175	75,574	43,123	26,060	38,907
	% of Tot.	0.3%	6.5%	14.8%	4.8%	11.2%	6.4%	3.9%	5.8%
	2005	1,502	49,165	86,289	31,643	71,186	41,478	19,334	46,221
Contra Costa	% of Tot.	0.2%	7.3%	12.8%	4.7%	10.5%	6.1%	2.9%	6.8%
	2000	2,180	25,222	26,194	9,828	40,858	13,887	17,220	35,964
	% of Tot.	0.7%	7.6%	7.9%	3.0%	12.3%	4.2%	5.2%	10.8%
Marin	2005	1,423	29,698	23,066	10,107	44,007	12,766	12,884	42,851
	% of Tot.	0.4%	8.3%	6.5%	2.8%	12.4%	3.6%	3.6%	12.0%
	2000	695	10,264	5,704	3,585	15,975	3,347	6,581	11,573
Napa	% of Tot.	0.6%	8.3%	4.6%	2.9%	12.9%	2.7%	5.3%	9.4%
	2005	342	10,960	5,564	2,043	13,044	3,417	3,395	14,048
	% of Tot.	0.3%	9.5%	4.8%	1.8%	11.3%	3.0%	2.9%	12.2%
San Francisco	2000	2,970	5,173	10,164	1,892	6,277	1,640	1,192	2,551
	% of Tot.	4.9%	8.4%	16.6%	3.1%	10.3%	2.7%	1.9%	4.2%
	2005	~4,309	~8,278	~9,335	~2,496	~6,044	~2,314	~511	~3,728
San Mateo	% of Tot.	6.3%	12.0%	13.6%	3.6%	8.8%	3.4%	0.7%	5.4%
	2000	1,033	29,086	27,182	13,320	51,369	29,693	40,727	76,494
	% of Tot.	0.2%	5.0%	4.7%	2.3%	8.9%	5.1%	7.0%	13.2%
Santa Clara	2005	1,387	30,680	20,305	15,202	49,416	27,344	23,685	68,007
	% of Tot.	0.3%	5.7%	3.8%	2.8%	9.2%	5.1%	4.4%	12.6%
	2000	1,624	22,977	39,604	13,365	40,642	32,230	17,561	27,825
Solano	% of Tot.	0.5%	6.5%	11.3%	3.8%	11.6%	9.2%	5.0%	7.9%
	2005	1,605	20,903	34,434	12,155	36,463	28,135	8,544	26,305
	% of Tot.	0.5%	6.5%	10.6%	3.8%	11.3%	8.7%	2.6%	8.1%
Sonoma	2000	4,061	49,000	257,180	27,908	90,366	26,900	45,038	41,187
	% of Tot.	0.4%	5.2%	27.4%	3.0%	9.6%	2.9%	4.8%	4.4%
	2005	1,738	54,909	191,190	27,071	86,695	24,154	29,129	46,538
Bay Area	% of Tot.	0.2%	6.3%	22.0%	3.1%	10.0%	2.8%	3.4%	5.4%
	2000	1,462	9,815	11,035	4,232	16,218	5,244	2,037	8,226
	% of Tot.	1.2%	7.8%	8.8%	3.4%	13.0%	4.2%	1.6%	6.6%
Bay Area	2005	2,200	11,007	10,543	4,224	15,666	5,894	1,417	8,592
	% of Tot.	1.7%	8.6%	8.2%	3.3%	12.2%	4.6%	1.1%	6.7%
Bay Area	2000	5,180	14,227	28,051	6,629	25,141	7,021	5,686	14,526
	% of Tot.	2.6%	7.2%	14.2%	3.3%	12.7%	3.5%	2.9%	7.3%
	2005	4,162	15,276	24,811	5,563	24,205	6,495	4,671	15,818
Bay Area	% of Tot.	2.1%	7.8%	12.6%	2.8%	12.3%	3.3%	2.4%	8.1%
	2000	20,955	209,696	504,853	112,934	362,420	163,085	162,102	257,253
	% of Tot.	0.6%	6.2%	14.9%	3.3%	10.7%	4.8%	4.8%	7.6%
Bay Area	2005	18,668	230,876	405,537	110,504	346,726	151,997	103,570	272,108
	% of Tot.	0.6%	7.1%	12.4%	3.4%	10.6%	4.6%	3.2%	8.3%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Public Use Microdata (5% PUMS), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table C08526).

Values indicate industry for household workers at work. Employees absent from work for vacation, sick leave or other reasons are excluded.

~ACS 2005 industry by workplace geography data was not published for Napa County. It was instead derived by subtracting constituent Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) and county data from the 11-county Bay Area Combined Statistical Area (CSA).

Table 4.5 (continued)

Industry of Household Workers by County of Work: 2000 & 2005

County		Scientific, and Management, and Administrative and Waste Management Services	Educational Services, and Health Care and Social Assistance	Art, Entertainment, and Recreation, and Accommodation and Food Services	Other Services (Except Public Admin- istration)	Public Admin- istration	Armed Forces	TOTAL
Alameda	2000	90,693	125,747	40,226	28,982	26,531	1,647	675,086
	% of Tot.	13.4%	18.6%	6.0%	4.3%	3.9%	0.2%	100.0%
	2005	90,007	132,531	41,708	34,116	28,082	1,751	675,013
Contra Costa	% of Tot.	13.3%	19.6%	6.2%	5.1%	4.2%	0.3%	100.0%
	2000	45,297	62,402	23,554	18,492	11,192	230	332,520
	% of Tot.	13.6%	18.8%	7.1%	5.6%	3.4%	0.1%	100.0%
Marin	2005	50,995	71,643	28,467	16,776	11,579	0	356,262
	% of Tot.	14.3%	20.1%	8.0%	4.7%	3.3%	0.0%	100.0%
	2000	21,517	21,738	9,750	7,681	4,871	211	123,492
Napa	% of Tot.	17.4%	17.6%	7.9%	6.2%	3.9%	0.2%	100.0%
	2005	18,825	21,725	9,920	8,131	4,140	66	115,620
	% of Tot.	16.3%	18.8%	8.6%	7.0%	3.6%	0.1%	100.0%
San Francisco	2000	4,935	12,192	6,836	2,972	2,341	86	61,221
	% of Tot.	8.1%	19.9%	11.2%	4.9%	3.8%	0.1%	100.0%
	2005	~6,780	~14,165	~6,750	~2,316	~1,794	~0	~68,820
San Mateo	% of Tot.	9.9%	20.6%	9.8%	3.4%	2.6%	0.0%	100.0%
	2000	111,521	87,311	58,710	26,737	26,588	328	580,099
	% of Tot.	19.2%	15.1%	10.1%	4.6%	4.6%	0.1%	100.0%
Santa Clara	2005	97,796	95,897	55,540	28,819	24,747	675	539,500
	% of Tot.	18.1%	17.8%	10.3%	5.3%	4.6%	0.1%	100.0%
	2000	58,456	46,156	23,740	16,396	10,447	376	351,399
Solano	% of Tot.	16.6%	13.1%	6.8%	4.7%	3.0%	0.1%	100.0%
	2005	63,321	43,495	23,985	13,685	10,535	225	323,790
	% of Tot.	19.6%	13.4%	7.4%	4.2%	3.3%	0.1%	100.0%
Sonoma	2000	147,191	137,655	54,580	32,426	24,605	713	938,810
	% of Tot.	15.7%	14.7%	5.8%	3.5%	2.6%	0.1%	100.0%
	2005	144,933	143,085	59,307	35,713	23,891	673	869,026
Bay Area	% of Tot.	16.7%	16.5%	6.8%	4.1%	2.7%	0.1%	100.0%
	2000	9,187	27,397	9,878	6,409	9,220	4,674	125,034
	% of Tot.	7.3%	21.9%	7.9%	5.1%	7.4%	3.7%	100.0%
Sonoma	2005	10,982	24,715	11,347	7,239	10,460	4,402	128,688
	% of Tot.	8.5%	19.2%	8.8%	5.6%	8.1%	3.4%	100.0%
	2000	20,824	37,592	16,144	10,728	6,068	389	198,206
Bay Area	% of Tot.	10.5%	19.0%	8.1%	5.4%	3.1%	0.2%	100.0%
	2005	19,941	38,071	20,977	9,509	6,661	148	196,308
	% of Tot.	10.2%	19.4%	10.7%	4.8%	3.4%	0.1%	100.0%
Bay Area	2000	509,621	558,190	243,418	150,823	121,863	8,654	3,385,867
	% of Tot.	15.1%	16.5%	7.2%	4.5%	3.6%	0.3%	100.0%
	2005	503,580	585,327	258,001	156,304	121,889	7,940	3,273,027
	% of Tot.	15.4%	17.9%	7.9%	4.8%	3.7%	0.2%	100.0%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Public Use Microdata (5% PUMS), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table C08526).

Values indicate industry for household workers at work. Employees absent from work for vacation, sick leave or other reasons are excluded.

~ACS 2005 industry by workplace geography data was not published for Napa County. It was instead derived by subtracting constituent Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) and county data from the 11-county Bay Area Combined Statistical Area (CSA).

Table 4.6
Occupation of Household Workers by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005

County	Management, Business, and Financial Occupations		Professional and Related Occupations										Service occupations				
	Management Occupations	Business and Financial Operations Occupations	Computer and Mathematical Occupations	Architecture and Engineering Occupations	Physical, Life, and Social Science Occupations	Community and Social Services Occupations	Legal Occupations	Education, Training, and Library Occupations	Arts, Design, Entertainment, Sports, and Media Occupations	Healthcare Practitioner and Technical Occupations	Healthcare Support Occupations	Protective Service Occupations	Food Preparation and Related Occupations	Grounds Cleaning and Maintenance Occupations	Personal Care and Service Occupations		
Alameda	2000	74,180	34,333	41,244	29,161	10,799	13,030	8,559	39,845	18,914	21,876	10,584	13,280	35,392	20,839	17,842	
	% of Tot.	10.4%	4.8%	5.8%	4.1%	1.5%	1.8%	1.2%	5.6%	2.7%	3.1%	1.5%	1.9%	5.0%	2.9%	2.5%	
2005	76,149	40,246	34,699	25,740	13,532	10,160	9,525	39,990	18,247	29,032	11,148	10,648	23,323	22,157	22,057		
	% of Tot.	11.3%	6.0%	5.1%	3.8%	2.0%	1.5%	1.4%	5.9%	2.7%	4.3%	1.7%	1.6%	3.5%	3.3%	3.3%	
Contra Costa	2000	65,811	33,157	17,301	13,499	6,699	5,137	4,675	21,997	6,458	16,530	9,076	8,838	15,195	16,819	13,485	
	% of Tot.	13.8%	7.0%	3.6%	2.8%	1.4%	1.1%	1.0%	4.6%	1.4%	3.5%	1.9%	1.9%	3.2%	3.5%	2.8%	
2005	54,189	28,177	15,931	12,843	7,746	8,040	8,612	26,044	8,807	21,056	8,312	9,389	18,156	17,547	16,687		
	% of Tot.	11.5%	6.0%	3.4%	2.7%	1.6%	1.7%	1.8%	5.5%	1.9%	4.5%	1.8%	2.0%	3.8%	3.7%	3.5%	
Marin	2000	~21,904	~10,952	~5,756	~1,483	~1,503	~1,167	~3,299	~8,837	~6,218	~4,491	~944	~1,547	~7,514	~4,538	~4,119	
	% of Tot.	17.1%	8.6%	4.5%	1.2%	1.2%	0.9%	2.6%	6.9%	4.9%	3.5%	0.7%	1.2%	5.9%	3.5%	3.2%	
2005	18,827	8,993	2,504	2,520	2,243	1,558	3,956	6,764	6,544	7,680	2,040	2,483	5,487	2,460	4,799		
	% of Tot.	15.7%	7.5%	2.1%	2.1%	1.9%	1.3%	3.3%	5.7%	5.5%	6.4%	1.7%	2.1%	4.6%	2.1%	4.0%	
Napa	2000	~5,799	~938	~334	~1,153	~0	~2,488	~112	~3,310	~836	~3,546	~1,010	~379	~8,310	~1,096	~3,510	
	% of Tot.	9.9%	1.6%	0.6%	2.0%	0.0%	4.3%	0.2%	5.7%	1.4%	6.1%	1.7%	0.6%	14.2%	1.9%	6.0%	
2005	7,516	3,525	802	1,145	606	695	271	3,674	1,162	3,865	973	1,318	3,548	3,157	2,226		
	% of Tot.	11.6%	5.4%	1.2%	1.8%	0.9%	1.1%	0.4%	5.7%	1.8%	6.0%	1.5%	2.0%	5.5%	4.9%	3.4%	
San Francisco	2000	57,340	31,213	26,576	9,646	7,061	5,811	12,765	17,344	23,453	15,873	4,902	7,656	23,724	12,520	13,016	
	% of Tot.	17.2%	9.1%	6.1%	2.2%	1.6%	1.3%	2.9%	4.0%	5.4%	3.7%	1.1%	1.8%	5.5%	2.9%	3.0%	
2005	47,730	30,248	16,983	9,119	6,648	6,134	12,289	23,163	24,672	16,730	5,294	5,385	23,368	13,639	14,361		
	% of Tot.	12.2%	7.7%	4.3%	2.3%	1.7%	1.6%	3.1%	5.9%	6.3%	4.3%	1.3%	1.4%	6.0%	3.5%	3.7%	
San Mateo	2000	48,845	20,149	16,809	10,668	7,436	1,561	5,950	16,099	6,446	16,628	4,458	4,832	14,535	9,421	11,551	
	% of Tot.	13.3%	5.5%	4.6%	2.9%	2.0%	0.4%	1.6%	4.4%	1.7%	4.5%	1.2%	1.3%	3.9%	2.6%	3.1%	
2005	41,142	22,815	15,036	8,387	7,593	4,089	5,815	14,071	8,312	14,456	4,381	5,379	14,835	13,622	10,490		
	% of Tot.	12.4%	6.9%	4.5%	2.5%	2.3%	1.2%	1.8%	4.2%	2.5%	4.4%	1.3%	1.6%	4.5%	4.1%	3.2%	
Santa Clara	2000	104,093	54,286	73,759	60,827	14,158	7,846	9,307	38,528	18,698	30,387	5,733	10,240	27,461	33,696	21,675	
	% of Tot.	12.1%	6.3%	8.5%	7.0%	1.6%	0.9%	1.1%	4.5%	2.2%	3.5%	0.7%	1.2%	3.2%	3.9%	2.5%	
2005	105,767	45,239	61,438	53,130	14,867	8,876	9,577	38,782	17,229	28,813	11,786	7,928	32,716	27,825	23,943		
	% of Tot.	13.2%	5.6%	7.7%	6.6%	1.9%	1.1%	1.2%	4.8%	2.1%	3.6%	1.5%	1.0%	4.1%	3.5%	3.0%	
Solano	2000	23,783	6,551	4,232	3,228	1,304	3,047	1,096	6,673	1,187	9,898	4,501	5,479	5,476	5,707	6,045	
	% of Tot.	13.1%	3.6%	2.3%	1.8%	0.7%	1.7%	0.6%	3.7%	0.7%	5.4%	2.5%	3.0%	3.0%	3.1%	3.3%	
2005	16,395	8,626	4,262	2,693	3,543	2,594	1,903	7,754	2,072	9,467	5,067	4,172	6,436	8,517	4,291		
	% of Tot.	9.0%	4.7%	2.3%	1.5%	1.9%	1.4%	1.0%	4.3%	1.1%	5.2%	2.8%	2.3%	3.5%	4.7%	2.4%	
Sonoma	2000	27,901	10,666	4,857	5,326	3,910	3,029	2,822	11,075	6,501	12,766	3,780	3,198	10,943	10,043	6,430	
	% of Tot.	12.0%	4.6%	2.1%	2.3%	1.7%	1.3%	1.2%	4.8%	2.8%	5.5%	1.6%	1.4%	4.7%	4.3%	2.8%	
2005	29,364	10,721	3,983	3,880	1,989	4,029	2,100	12,329	6,047	9,715	4,455	3,639	13,560	9,815	7,702		
	% of Tot.	13.2%	4.8%	1.8%	1.7%	0.9%	1.8%	0.9%	5.6%	2.7%	4.4%	2.0%	1.6%	6.1%	4.4%	3.5%	
Bay Area	2000	429,656	202,245	190,868	134,991	52,870	43,116	48,585	163,708	88,711	131,995	44,988	55,469	148,550	114,679	97,675	
	% of Tot.	12.4%	5.9%	5.5%	3.9%	1.5%	1.2%	1.4%	4.7%	2.6%	3.8%	1.6%	1.6%	4.3%	3.3%	2.8%	
2005	397,079	198,590	155,638	119,457	58,767	46,175	54,048	172,571	93,092	140,834	53,456	50,341	141,429	118,739	106,556		
	% of Tot.	12.2%	6.1%	4.8%	3.7%	1.8%	1.4%	1.7%	5.3%	2.9%	4.3%	1.6%	1.5%	4.3%	3.6%	3.3%	

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Supplementary Survey (Tables P067 and PCT047), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Tables C24010 and B23001).
~Census 2000 Supplementary Survey data was not published for Marin and Napa Counties. It was instead derived by subtracting constituent county data from Primary Metropolitan Statistical Area (PMSA) data.

Table 4.6 (continued)
Occupation of Household Workers by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005

County	Sales and Office Occupations			Farming, Fishing, and Forestry Occupations	Construction, Extraction, Maintenance, and Repair Occupations		Production, Transportation, and Material Moving Occupations			Armed Forces	TOTAL
	Sales and Related Occupations	Office and Administrative Support Occupations			Construction and Extraction Occupations	Installation, Maintenance, and Repair Occupations	Production Occupations	Transportation and Material Moving Occupations			
Alameda	2000	69,224	107,095	1,023	30,606	21,637	47,929	45,568	191	713,151	
	% of Tot.	9.7%	15.0%	0.1%	4.3%	3.0%	6.7%	6.4%	0.0%	100.0%	
	2005	72,752	91,499	349	34,258	19,992	34,744	33,418	1,058	674,743	
% of Tot.	10.8%	13.6%	0.1%	5.1%	3.0%	5.1%	5.0%	0.2%	100.0%	100.0%	
Contra Costa	2000	64,685	74,903	2,060	29,963	13,749	13,328	23,402	183	476,970	
	% of Tot.	13.6%	15.7%	0.4%	6.3%	2.9%	2.8%	4.9%	0.0%	100.0%	
	2005	59,090	63,143	501	35,567	16,127	16,740	19,622	728	473,054	
% of Tot.	12.5%	13.3%	0.1%	7.5%	3.4%	3.5%	4.1%	0.2%	100.0%	100.0%	
Marin	2000	~13,872	~15,225	~161	~3,567	~2,424	~4,602	~3,610	~146	~127,879	
	% of Tot.	10.8%	11.9%	0.1%	2.8%	1.9%	3.6%	2.8%	0.1%	100.0%	
	2005	17,642	9,949	193	5,313	1,655	2,909	2,717	394	119,630	
% of Tot.	14.7%	8.3%	0.2%	4.4%	1.4%	2.4%	2.3%	0.3%	100.0%	100.0%	
Napa	2000	~4,875	~6,970	~1,261	~5,729	~3,082	~2,032	~1,656	~73	~58,499	
	% of Tot.	8.3%	11.9%	2.2%	9.8%	5.3%	3.5%	2.8%	0.1%	100.0%	
	2005	7,504	7,672	3,212	5,761	1,118	3,137	2,028	0	64,915	
% of Tot.	11.6%	11.8%	4.9%	8.9%	1.7%	4.8%	3.1%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
San Francisco	2000	50,786	61,467	205	12,569	8,159	17,945	13,874	179	434,084	
	% of Tot.	11.7%	14.2%	0.0%	2.9%	1.9%	4.1%	3.2%	0.0%	100.0%	
	2005	43,500	47,453	132	14,196	5,663	12,220	13,026	342	392,295	
% of Tot.	11.1%	12.1%	0.0%	3.6%	1.4%	3.1%	3.3%	0.1%	100.0%	100.0%	
San Mateo	2000	46,245	62,455	2,219	18,455	11,644	16,775	15,108	226	368,515	
	% of Tot.	12.5%	16.9%	0.6%	5.0%	3.2%	4.6%	4.1%	0.1%	100.0%	
	2005	43,153	49,278	1,533	14,981	8,473	11,015	12,689	113	331,658	
% of Tot.	13.0%	14.9%	0.5%	4.5%	2.6%	3.3%	3.8%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Santa Clara	2000	87,582	123,297	4,611	32,280	23,245	58,769	22,195	450	863,123	
	% of Tot.	10.1%	14.3%	0.5%	3.7%	2.7%	6.8%	2.6%	0.1%	100.0%	
	2005	95,504	86,850	849	37,698	18,621	48,968	25,244	600	802,250	
% of Tot.	11.9%	10.8%	0.1%	4.7%	2.3%	6.1%	3.1%	0.1%	100.0%	100.0%	
Solano	2000	14,286	28,248	486	13,801	8,896	14,798	9,106	4,063	181,891	
	% of Tot.	7.9%	15.5%	0.3%	7.6%	4.9%	8.1%	5.0%	2.2%	100.0%	
	2005	19,554	27,902	1,016	12,077	10,252	8,535	10,282	4,549	181,959	
% of Tot.	10.7%	15.3%	0.6%	6.6%	5.6%	4.7%	5.7%	2.5%	100.0%	100.0%	
Sonoma	2000	20,810	35,984	3,464	13,627	9,458	16,378	9,720	285	232,973	
	% of Tot.	8.9%	15.4%	1.5%	5.8%	4.1%	7.0%	4.2%	0.1%	100.0%	
	2005	23,945	26,656	2,595	16,245	5,573	13,642	9,641	269	221,894	
% of Tot.	10.8%	12.0%	1.2%	7.3%	2.5%	6.1%	4.3%	0.1%	100.0%	100.0%	
Bay Area	2000	372,365	515,644	15,490	160,597	102,294	192,556	144,239	5,796	3,457,085	
	% of Tot.	10.8%	14.9%	0.4%	4.6%	3.0%	5.6%	4.2%	0.2%	100.0%	
	2005	382,644	410,402	10,380	176,096	87,474	151,910	128,667	8,053	3,262,398	
% of Tot.	11.7%	12.6%	0.3%	5.4%	2.7%	4.7%	3.9%	0.2%	100.0%	100.0%	

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Supplementary Survey (Tables P067 and PCT047), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Tables C24010 and B23001).
~Census 2000 Supplementary Survey data was not published for Marin and Napa Counties. It was instead derived by subtracting constituent county data from Primary Metropolitan Statistical Area (PMSA) data.

Table 4.7
Occupation of Household Workers by County of Work: 2000 & 2005

County		Management, Professional, and Related occupations	Service Occupations	Sales and Office Occupations	Farming, and Forestry Occupations	Construction and Extraction, and Maintenance Occupations	Production, Transportation and Material Moving Occupations	Armed Forces	TOTAL
Alameda	2000	268,855	78,819	178,045	1,070	57,552	90,079	666	675,086
	% of Tot.	39.8%	11.7%	26.4%	0.2%	8.5%	13.3%	0.1%	100.0%
	2005	276,019	85,056	165,522	523	65,018	81,947	928	675,013
	% of Tot.	40.9%	12.6%	24.5%	0.1%	9.6%	12.1%	0.1%	100.0%
Contra Costa	2000	130,423	48,064	94,941	781	29,905	28,371	35	332,520
	% of Tot.	39.2%	14.5%	28.6%	0.2%	9.0%	8.5%	0.0%	100.0%
	2005	144,147	52,928	94,079	549	34,798	29,761	0	356,262
	% of Tot.	40.5%	14.9%	26.4%	0.2%	9.8%	8.4%	0.0%	100.0%
Marin	2000	52,640	19,129	32,350	392	11,531	7,376	74	123,492
	% of Tot.	42.6%	15.5%	26.2%	0.3%	9.3%	6.0%	0.1%	100.0%
	2005	49,132	19,759	27,983	354	10,673	7,719	0	115,620
	% of Tot.	42.5%	17.1%	24.2%	0.3%	9.2%	6.7%	0.0%	100.0%
Napa	2000	19,862	11,608	13,467	2,149	6,250	7,864	21	61,221
	% of Tot.	32.4%	19.0%	22.0%	3.5%	10.2%	12.8%	0.0%	100.0%
	2005	22,714	12,881	15,582	3,267	7,712	6,664	0	68,820
	% of Tot.	33.0%	18.7%	22.6%	4.7%	11.2%	9.7%	0.0%	100.0%
San Francisco	2000	277,041	75,960	153,482	618	32,347	40,568	83	580,099
	% of Tot.	47.8%	13.1%	26.5%	0.1%	5.6%	7.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	2005	265,627	77,099	130,946	507	33,653	31,171	497	539,500
	% of Tot.	49.2%	14.3%	24.3%	0.1%	6.2%	5.8%	0.1%	100.0%
San Mateo	2000	151,074	45,658	90,557	1,351	29,285	33,349	125	351,399
	% of Tot.	43.0%	13.0%	25.8%	0.4%	8.3%	9.5%	0.0%	100.0%
	2005	138,425	49,105	85,453	1,433	24,401	24,973	0	323,790
	% of Tot.	42.8%	15.2%	26.4%	0.4%	7.5%	7.7%	0.0%	100.0%
Santa Clara	2000	467,176	96,365	207,202	3,466	63,750	100,570	281	938,810
	% of Tot.	49.8%	10.3%	22.1%	0.4%	6.8%	10.7%	0.0%	100.0%
	2005	425,609	112,050	192,664	1,037	64,085	73,178	403	869,026
	% of Tot.	49.0%	12.9%	22.2%	0.1%	7.4%	8.4%	0.0%	100.0%
Solano	2000	39,681	20,575	33,403	598	13,727	16,041	1,009	125,034
	% of Tot.	31.7%	16.5%	26.7%	0.5%	11.0%	12.8%	0.8%	100.0%
	2005	41,588	21,564	35,470	698	14,292	11,926	3,150	128,688
	% of Tot.	32.3%	16.8%	27.6%	0.5%	11.1%	9.3%	2.4%	100.0%
Sonoma	2000	69,646	29,590	53,365	3,119	18,607	23,715	164	198,206
	% of Tot.	35.1%	14.9%	26.9%	1.6%	9.4%	12.0%	0.1%	100.0%
	2005	71,665	35,151	47,780	2,360	17,484	21,843	25	196,308
	% of Tot.	36.5%	17.9%	24.3%	1.2%	8.9%	11.1%	0.0%	100.0%
Bay Area	2000	1,476,398	425,768	856,812	13,544	262,954	347,933	2,458	3,385,867
	% of Tot.	43.6%	12.6%	25.3%	0.4%	7.8%	10.3%	0.1%	100.0%
	2005	1,434,926	465,593	795,479	10,728	272,116	289,182	5,003	3,273,027
	% of Tot.	43.8%	14.2%	24.3%	0.3%	8.3%	8.8%	0.2%	100.0%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Public Use Microdata Samples (5% PUMS), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table C08524).

Values indicate household workers at work. Employees absent from work for vacation, sick leave or other reasons are excluded.

Table 4.8**Class of Household Workers by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005**

County		Private For-Profit Wage and Salary Workers ¹	Private Not-For-Profit Wage and Salary Workers	Local Government Workers	State Government Workers	Federal Government Workers ²	Self-Employed in Own Business Workers	Unpaid Family Workers	TOTAL
Alameda	2000	508,277	48,442	53,558	32,228	18,584	50,985	1,077	713,151
	% of Tot.	71.3%	6.8%	7.5%	4.5%	2.6%	7.1%	0.2%	100.0%
	2005	467,304	56,364	51,042	26,169	17,600	54,780	1,484	674,743
Contra Costa	% of Tot.	69.3%	8.4%	7.6%	3.9%	2.6%	8.1%	0.2%	100.0%
	2000	325,996	26,241	39,740	20,041	14,487	49,460	1,005	476,970
	% of Tot.	68.3%	5.5%	8.3%	4.2%	3.0%	10.4%	0.2%	100.0%
Marin	2005	325,494	31,219	38,903	18,450	13,986	44,598	404	473,054
	% of Tot.	68.8%	6.6%	8.2%	3.9%	3.0%	9.4%	0.1%	100.0%
Napa	2000	~81,029	~9,908	~9,167	~2,046	~3,646	~20,573	~1,510	~127,879
	% of Tot.	63.4%	7.7%	7.2%	1.6%	2.9%	16.1%	1.2%	100.0%
	2005	73,674	12,702	6,862	2,348	2,206	21,558	280	119,630
San Francisco	% of Tot.	61.6%	10.6%	5.7%	2.0%	1.8%	18.0%	0.2%	100.0%
	2000	~40,075	~3,430	~6,490	~660	~581	~7,153	~110	~58,499
	% of Tot.	68.5%	5.9%	11.1%	1.1%	1.0%	12.2%	0.2%	100.0%
San Mateo	2005	42,327	4,840	5,877	2,579	1,511	7,643	138	64,915
	% of Tot.	65.2%	7.5%	9.1%	4.0%	2.3%	11.8%	0.2%	100.0%
Santa Clara	2000	307,712	32,562	27,962	12,693	12,325	40,531	299	434,084
	% of Tot.	70.9%	7.5%	6.4%	2.9%	2.8%	9.3%	0.1%	100.0%
	2005	254,415	41,771	28,729	14,433	9,349	42,636	962	392,295
Solano	% of Tot.	64.9%	10.6%	7.3%	3.7%	2.4%	10.9%	0.2%	100.0%
	2000	274,330	23,702	27,713	9,915	8,121	24,319	415	368,515
	% of Tot.	74.4%	6.4%	7.5%	2.7%	2.2%	6.6%	0.1%	100.0%
Sonoma	2005	232,828	27,419	27,937	6,425	6,530	30,163	356	331,658
	% of Tot.	70.2%	8.3%	8.4%	1.9%	2.0%	9.1%	0.1%	100.0%
Bay Area	2000	676,477	44,890	55,576	15,517	10,923	57,313	2,427	863,123
	% of Tot.	78.4%	5.2%	6.4%	1.8%	1.3%	6.6%	0.3%	100.0%
	2005	617,448	48,541	49,971	13,127	10,254	61,696	1,213	802,250
San Jose	% of Tot.	77.0%	6.1%	6.2%	1.6%	1.3%	7.7%	0.2%	100.0%
	2000	112,247	13,353	17,711	7,744	11,828	17,165	1,843	181,891
	% of Tot.	61.7%	7.3%	9.7%	4.3%	6.5%	9.4%	1.0%	100.0%
Santa Cruz	2005	116,415	14,133	16,459	10,003	11,473	12,770	706	181,959
	% of Tot.	64.0%	7.8%	9.0%	5.5%	6.3%	7.0%	0.4%	100.0%
San Diego	2000	163,561	15,280	13,172	8,219	1,860	30,012	869	232,973
	% of Tot.	70.2%	6.6%	5.7%	3.5%	0.8%	12.9%	0.4%	100.0%
	2005	147,042	16,526	18,948	6,303	3,309	29,046	720	221,894
San Francisco Bay Area	% of Tot.	66.3%	7.4%	8.5%	2.8%	1.5%	13.1%	0.3%	100.0%
	2000	2,489,704	217,808	251,089	109,063	82,355	297,511	9,555	3,457,085
	% of Tot.	72.0%	6.3%	7.3%	3.2%	2.4%	8.6%	0.3%	100.0%
San Jose	2005	2,276,947	253,515	244,728	99,837	76,218	304,890	6,263	3,262,398
	% of Tot.	69.8%	7.8%	7.5%	3.1%	2.3%	9.3%	0.2%	100.0%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Supplementary Survey (Tables P068 and PCT047), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table B24080 and B23001).

~Census 2000 Supplementary Survey data was not published for Marin and Napa Counties. It was instead derived by subtracting constituent county data from Primary Metropolitan Statistical Area (PMSA) data.

¹This category includes incorporated self-employed workers.

²This category includes members of the Armed Forces.

Table 4.9
Class of Household Workers by County of Work: 2000 & 2005

County		Private For-Profit Wage and Salary Workers ¹	Private Not-For-Profit Wage and Salary Workers	Local Government Workers	State Government Workers	Federal Government Workers ²	Self-Employed in Own Not Unpaid		TOTAL
							Incorporated Business Workers	Family Workers	
Alameda	2000	469,965	43,624	52,274	34,469	23,143	49,640	1,971	675,086
	% of Tot.	69.6%	6.5%	7.7%	5.1%	3.4%	7.4%	0.3%	100.0%
	2005	459,772	56,358	50,638	31,601	20,928	54,787	929	675,013
	% of Tot.	68.1%	8.3%	7.5%	4.7%	3.1%	8.1%	0.1%	100.0%
Contra Costa	2000	238,925	18,235	28,589	7,465	6,123	32,103	1,080	332,520
	% of Tot.	71.9%	5.5%	8.6%	2.2%	1.8%	9.7%	0.3%	100.0%
	2005	255,116	19,928	30,648	9,281	5,373	35,301	615	356,262
	% of Tot.	71.6%	5.6%	8.6%	2.6%	1.5%	9.9%	0.2%	100.0%
Marin	2000	80,602	8,674	8,808	2,908	2,035	20,020	445	123,492
	% of Tot.	65.3%	7.0%	7.1%	2.4%	1.6%	16.2%	0.4%	100.0%
	2005	70,706	12,211	6,578	2,635	2,036	21,051	403	115,620
	% of Tot.	61.2%	10.6%	5.7%	2.3%	1.8%	18.2%	0.3%	100.0%
Napa	2000	42,500	3,821	4,173	3,270	628	6,497	332	61,221
	% of Tot.	69.4%	6.2%	6.8%	5.3%	1.0%	10.6%	0.5%	100.0%
	2005	~46,360	~4,904	~4,884	~3,410	~1,029	~7,953	~280	~68,820
	% of Tot.	67.4%	7.1%	7.1%	5.0%	1.5%	11.6%	0.4%	100.0%
San Francisco	2000	407,830	46,683	35,840	21,139	20,632	46,711	1,264	580,099
	% of Tot.	70.3%	8.0%	6.2%	3.6%	3.6%	8.1%	0.2%	100.0%
	2005	351,200	58,443	40,916	22,029	17,180	48,863	869	539,500
	% of Tot.	65.1%	10.8%	7.6%	4.1%	3.2%	9.1%	0.2%	100.0%
San Mateo	2000	270,261	17,131	24,094	4,750	7,268	26,934	961	351,399
	% of Tot.	76.9%	4.9%	6.9%	1.4%	2.1%	7.7%	0.3%	100.0%
	2005	241,571	18,708	24,698	3,304	5,454	29,657	398	323,790
	% of Tot.	74.6%	5.8%	7.6%	1.0%	1.7%	9.2%	0.1%	100.0%
Santa Clara	2000	743,951	48,759	56,625	17,362	13,471	56,172	2,470	938,810
	% of Tot.	79.2%	5.2%	6.0%	1.8%	1.4%	6.0%	0.3%	100.0%
	2005	668,141	55,437	53,569	14,632	11,316	64,719	1,212	869,026
	% of Tot.	76.9%	6.4%	6.2%	1.7%	1.3%	7.4%	0.1%	100.0%
Solano	2000	78,570	6,843	13,452	6,066	9,794	9,802	507	125,034
	% of Tot.	62.8%	5.5%	10.8%	4.9%	7.8%	7.8%	0.4%	100.0%
	2005	131,031	14,171	15,574	6,532	2,673	25,746	581	196,308
	% of Tot.	66.7%	7.2%	7.9%	3.3%	1.4%	13.1%	0.3%	100.0%
Sonoma	2000	134,800	12,621	15,547	6,821	3,013	24,367	1,037	198,206
	% of Tot.	68.0%	6.4%	7.8%	3.4%	1.5%	12.3%	0.5%	100.0%
	2005	79,239	10,055	12,133	5,393	9,734	11,545	589	128,688
	% of Tot.	61.6%	7.8%	9.4%	4.2%	7.6%	9.0%	0.5%	100.0%
Bay Area	2000	2,467,404	206,391	239,402	104,250	86,107	272,246	10,067	3,385,867
	% of Tot.	72.9%	6.1%	7.1%	3.1%	2.5%	8.0%	0.3%	100.0%
	2005	2,303,136	250,215	239,638	98,817	75,723	299,622	5,876	3,273,027
	% of Tot.	70.4%	7.6%	7.3%	3.0%	2.3%	9.2%	0.2%	100.0%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Public Use Microdata (5% PUMS), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table C08528).

Values indicate class for household workers at work. Employees absent from work for vacation, sick leave or other reasons are excluded. ~ACS 2005 data was not published for Napa County; it was instead derived by subtracting constituent Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) and county data from the 11-county Bay Area Combined Statistical Area (CSA).

¹This category includes incorporated self-employed workers.

²This category includes members of the Armed Forces.

Table 5.1**Means of Transportation to Work for Household Workers by County of Residence and County of Work: 2005**

County		Drive Alone	Two- Person Carpool	Three-or- More Person Carpool	Transit	Bicycle	Walk	Other	Worked at Home	TOTAL
Alameda	Residence	454,523	52,810	19,248	68,472	6,091	18,834	8,003	23,566	651,547
	% of Tot.	69.8%	8.1%	3.0%	10.5%	0.9%	2.9%	1.2%	3.6%	100.0%
	Workplace	494,877	55,624	18,463	50,065	6,339	18,156	7,923	23,566	675,013
	% of Tot.	73.3%	8.2%	2.7%	7.4%	0.9%	2.7%	1.2%	3.5%	100.0%
Contra Costa	Residence	323,392	41,980	22,901	38,118	2,157	6,555	5,720	17,294	458,117
	% of Tot.	70.6%	9.2%	5.0%	8.3%	0.5%	1.4%	1.2%	3.8%	100.0%
	Workplace	274,528	31,457	9,986	10,443	2,043	6,849	3,662	17,294	356,262
	% of Tot.	77.1%	8.8%	2.8%	2.9%	0.6%	1.9%	1.0%	4.9%	100.0%
Marin	Residence	77,040	8,676	2,390	8,732	1,208	3,418	1,199	12,073	114,736
	% of Tot.	67.1%	7.6%	2.1%	7.6%	1.1%	3.0%	1.0%	10.5%	100.0%
	Workplace	79,970	10,674	3,322	3,849	1,208^	3,402	1,122	12,073	115,620
	% of Tot.	69.2%	9.2%	2.9%	3.3%	0.5%	2.9%	1.5%	10.4%	100.0%
Napa	Residence	48,769	3,574	2,109	1,151	585	2,046	2,706	2,138	63,078
	% of Tot.	77.3%	5.7%	3.3%	1.8%	0.9%	3.2%	4.3%	3.4%	100.0%
	Workplace	54,190	4,885	2,139	365	585^	2,044	2,474	2,138	68,820
	% of Tot.	78.7%	7.1%	3.1%	0.5%	0.6%	3.0%	3.8%	3.1%	100.0%
San Francisco	Residence	151,786	24,297	7,362	124,738	7,053	36,629	5,916	24,141	381,922
	% of Tot.	39.7%	6.4%	1.9%	32.7%	1.8%	9.6%	1.5%	6.3%	100.0%
	Workplace	206,113	43,758	23,961	187,012	7,062	37,473	9,980	24,141	539,500
	% of Tot.	38.2%	8.1%	4.4%	34.7%	1.3%	6.9%	1.8%	4.5%	100.0%
San Mateo	Residence	225,266	31,127	9,053	23,893	2,272	9,228	3,736	17,688	322,263
	% of Tot.	69.9%	9.7%	2.8%	7.4%	0.7%	2.9%	1.2%	5.5%	100.0%
	Workplace	237,435	27,798	9,195	16,786	2,218	8,802	3,868	17,688	323,790
	% of Tot.	73.3%	8.6%	2.8%	5.2%	0.7%	2.7%	1.2%	5.5%	100.0%
Santa Clara	Residence	610,266	62,694	14,396	24,472	8,309	17,280	8,347	31,495	777,259
	% of Tot.	78.5%	8.1%	1.9%	3.1%	1.1%	2.2%	1.1%	4.1%	100.0%
	Workplace	681,256	73,812	19,250	27,433	8,613	17,139	10,028	31,495	869,026
	% of Tot.	78.4%	8.5%	2.2%	3.2%	1.0%	2.0%	1.2%	3.6%	100.0%
Solano	Residence	133,799	15,398	10,771	3,418	565	2,338	1,733	6,117	174,139
	% of Tot.	76.8%	8.8%	6.2%	2.0%	0.3%	1.3%	1.0%	3.5%	100.0%
	Workplace	100,125	11,232	5,789	1,572	641	2,055	1,157	6,117	128,688
	% of Tot.	77.8%	8.7%	4.5%	1.2%	0.5%	1.6%	0.9%	4.8%	100.0%
Sonoma	Residence	161,945	20,013	4,022	4,566	1,529	8,249	2,744	13,140	216,208
	% of Tot.	74.9%	9.3%	1.9%	2.1%	0.7%	3.8%	1.3%	6.1%	100.0%
	Workplace	147,228	17,505	3,024	3,281	1,411	8,328	2,391	13,140	196,308
	% of Tot.	75.0%	8.9%	1.5%	1.7%	0.7%	4.2%	1.2%	6.7%	100.0%
Bay Area	Residence	2,186,786	260,569	92,252	297,560	29,769	104,577	40,104	147,652	3,159,269
	% of Tot.	69.2%	8.2%	2.9%	9.4%	0.9%	3.3%	1.3%	4.7%	100.0%
	Workplace	2,275,722	276,745	95,129	300,806	29,311	104,248	43,414	147,652	3,273,027
	% of Tot.	69.5%	8.5%	2.9%	9.2%	0.9%	3.2%	1.3%	4.5%	100.0%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Transportation Planning Package (CTPP 1-35), Census 2000 Public Use Microdata Sample (5% PUMS), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Tables B08006, C08406).

Note: Mode "Other" includes taxi, motorcycle and other.

^ Bicycle not available for Marin and Napa County (B08406). Bicycle-to-work by county of residence used in table.

Table 5.2
Means of Transportation to Work for Household Workers by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005

County		Drive Alone	Two- Person Carpool	Three-or- More Person Carpool	Transit	Bicycle	Walk	Other	Worked at Home	TOTAL
Alameda	2000	449,815	65,920	27,400	70,890	8,173	19,857	8,760	23,595	674,410
	% of Tot.	66.7%	9.8%	4.1%	10.5%	1.2%	2.9%	1.3%	3.5%	100.0%
	2005	454,523	52,810	19,248	68,472	6,091	18,834	8,003	23,566	651,547
	% of Tot.	69.8%	8.1%	3.0%	10.5%	0.9%	2.9%	1.2%	3.6%	100.0%
Contra Costa	2000	309,905	42,005	17,610	39,050	2,021	6,309	4,945	18,870	440,715
	% of Tot.	70.3%	9.5%	4.0%	8.9%	0.5%	1.4%	1.1%	4.3%	100.0%
	2005	323,392	41,980	22,901	38,118	2,157	6,555	5,720	17,294	458,117
	% of Tot.	70.6%	9.2%	5.0%	8.3%	0.5%	1.4%	1.2%	3.8%	100.0%
Marin	2000	82,640	10,800	2,565	12,600	1,198	3,537	1,225	10,945	125,510
	% of Tot.	65.8%	8.6%	2.0%	10.0%	1.0%	2.8%	1.0%	8.7%	100.0%
	2005	77,040	8,676	2,390	8,732	1,208	3,418	1,199	12,073	114,736
	% of Tot.	67.1%	7.6%	2.1%	7.6%	1.1%	3.0%	1.0%	10.5%	100.0%
Napa	2000	41,530	6,140	2,310	720	480	1,885	600	2,880	56,545
	% of Tot.	73.4%	10.9%	4.1%	1.3%	0.8%	3.3%	1.1%	5.1%	100.0%
	2005	48,769	3,574	2,109	1,151	585	2,046	2,706	2,138	63,078
	% of Tot.	77.3%	5.7%	3.3%	1.8%	0.9%	3.2%	4.3%	3.4%	100.0%
San Francisco	2000	169,130	34,235	10,755	127,125	8,022	37,683	8,185	19,040	414,175
	% of Tot.	40.8%	8.3%	2.6%	30.7%	1.9%	9.1%	2.0%	4.6%	100.0%
	2005	151,786	24,297	7,362	124,738	7,053	36,629	5,916	24,141	381,922
	% of Tot.	39.7%	6.4%	1.9%	32.7%	1.8%	9.6%	1.5%	6.3%	100.0%
San Mateo	2000	255,845	35,225	10,030	25,545	2,458	7,712	3,485	12,705	353,005
	% of Tot.	72.5%	10.0%	2.8%	7.2%	0.7%	2.2%	1.0%	3.6%	100.0%
	2005	225,266	31,127	9,053	23,893	2,272	9,228	3,736	17,688	322,263
	% of Tot.	69.9%	9.7%	2.8%	7.4%	0.7%	2.9%	1.2%	5.5%	100.0%
Santa Clara	2000	639,685	79,910	20,610	28,365	9,305	12,455	6,920	25,285	822,535
	% of Tot.	77.8%	9.7%	2.5%	3.4%	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%	3.1%	100.0%
	2005	610,266	62,694	14,396	24,472	8,309	17,280	8,347	31,495	777,259
	% of Tot.	78.5%	8.1%	1.9%	3.1%	1.1%	2.2%	1.1%	4.1%	100.0%
Solano	2000	126,625	19,215	11,015	4,510	655	2,780	2,080	5,370	172,250
	% of Tot.	73.5%	11.2%	6.4%	2.6%	0.4%	1.6%	1.2%	3.1%	100.0%
	2005	133,799	15,398	10,771	3,418	565	2,338	1,733	6,117	174,139
	% of Tot.	76.8%	8.8%	6.2%	2.0%	0.3%	1.3%	1.0%	3.5%	100.0%
Sonoma	2000	167,605	21,275	6,340	5,160	1,646	6,134	2,045	12,190	222,395
	% of Tot.	75.4%	9.6%	2.9%	2.3%	0.7%	2.8%	0.9%	5.5%	100.0%
	2005	161,945	20,013	4,022	4,566	1,529	8,249	2,744	13,140	216,208
	% of Tot.	74.9%	9.3%	1.9%	2.1%	0.7%	3.8%	1.3%	6.1%	100.0%
Bay Area	2000	2,242,780	314,725	108,635	313,965	33,958	98,352	38,245	130,880	3,281,540
	% of Tot.	68.3%	9.6%	3.3%	9.6%	1.0%	3.0%	1.2%	4.0%	100.0%
	2005	2,186,786	260,569	92,252	297,560	29,769	104,577	40,104	147,652	3,159,269
	% of Tot.	69.2%	8.2%	2.9%	9.4%	0.9%	3.3%	1.3%	4.7%	100.0%

Notes: Tabulation based on data from Census 2000 Transportation Planning Package (CTPP 1-35), Census 2000 Public Use Microdata Sample (5% PUMS), and the American Community Survey 2005 (B08006).

Note: Mode "Other" includes taxi, motorcycle and other. Individual bicycle and walk estimates are interpolated from a combined CTPP table value using the 5% PUMS data.

Table 5.3**Means of Transportation to Work for Household Workers by County of Work: 2000 & 2005**

County		Two-Person		Three-or-More	Transit	Bicycle	Walk	Other	Worked at	
		Drive Alone	Carpool	Person Carpool					Home	TOTAL
Alameda	2000	482,845	68,035	24,030	45,145	7,812	20,103	7,770	23,595	679,335
	% of Tot.	71.1%	10.0%	3.5%	6.6%	1.1%	3.0%	1.1%	3.5%	100.0%
	2005	494,877	55,624	18,463	50,065	6,339	18,156	7,923	23,566	675,013
	% of Tot.	73.3%	8.2%	2.7%	7.4%	0.9%	2.7%	1.2%	3.5%	100.0%
Contra Costa	2000	256,240	31,160	11,120	8,730	1,775	6,200	2,895	18,870	336,990
	% of Tot.	76.0%	9.2%	3.3%	2.6%	0.5%	1.8%	0.9%	5.6%	100.0%
	2005	274,528	31,457	9,986	10,443	2,043	6,849	3,662	17,294	356,262
	% of Tot.	77.1%	8.8%	2.8%	2.9%	0.6%	1.9%	1.0%	4.9%	100.0%
Marin	2000	85,335	11,910	3,630	4,190	1,027	3,598	995	10,945	121,625
	% of Tot.	70.2%	9.8%	3.0%	3.4%	0.8%	3.0%	0.8%	9.0%	100.0%
	2005	79,970	10,674	3,322	3,849	1,208^	3,402	1,122	12,073	115,620
	% of Tot.	69.2%	9.2%	2.9%	3.3%	1.0%	2.9%	1.0%	10.4%	100.0%
Napa	2000	43,690	6,595	2,480	525	381	1,959	530	2,880	59,035
	% of Tot.	74.0%	11.2%	4.2%	0.9%	0.6%	3.3%	0.9%	4.9%	100.0%
	2005	54,190	4,885	2,139	365	585^	2,044	2,474	2,138	68,820
	% of Tot.	78.7%	7.1%	3.1%	0.5%	0.9%	3.0%	3.6%	3.1%	100.0%
San Francisco	2000	219,750	50,920	28,085	206,940	7,936	37,874	12,265	19,040	582,810
	% of Tot.	37.7%	8.7%	4.8%	35.5%	1.4%	6.5%	2.1%	3.3%	100.0%
	2005	206,113	43,758	23,961	187,012	7,062	37,473	9,980	24,141	539,500
	% of Tot.	38.2%	8.1%	4.4%	34.7%	1.3%	6.9%	1.8%	4.5%	100.0%
San Mateo	2000	261,295	36,475	11,830	14,835	2,680	7,650	4,455	12,705	351,925
	% of Tot.	74.2%	10.4%	3.4%	4.2%	0.8%	2.2%	1.3%	3.6%	100.0%
	2005	237,435	27,798	9,195	16,786	2,218	8,802	3,868	17,688	323,790
	% of Tot.	73.3%	8.6%	2.8%	5.2%	0.7%	2.7%	1.2%	5.5%	100.0%
Santa Clara	2000	729,020	97,655	25,165	31,855	9,295	13,490	8,670	25,285	940,430
	% of Tot.	77.5%	10.4%	2.7%	3.4%	1.0%	1.4%	0.9%	2.7%	100.0%
	2005	681,256	73,812	19,250	27,433	8,613	17,139	10,028	31,495	869,026
	% of Tot.	78.4%	8.5%	2.2%	3.2%	1.0%	2.0%	1.2%	3.6%	100.0%
Solano	2000	93,315	12,065	4,045	1,610	763	2,577	1,405	5,370	121,145
	% of Tot.	77.0%	10.0%	3.3%	1.3%	0.6%	2.1%	1.2%	4.4%	100.0%
	2005	100,125	11,232	5,789	1,572	641	2,055	1,157	6,117	128,688
	% of Tot.	77.8%	8.7%	4.5%	1.2%	0.5%	1.6%	0.9%	4.8%	100.0%
Sonoma	2000	150,390	17,850	5,625	2,459	1,513	6,162	1,555	12,190	197,745
	% of Tot.	76.1%	9.0%	2.8%	1.2%	0.8%	3.1%	0.8%	6.2%	100.0%
	2005	147,228	17,505	3,024	3,281	1,411	8,328	2,391	13,140	196,308
	% of Tot.	75.0%	8.9%	1.5%	1.7%	0.7%	4.2%	1.2%	6.7%	100.0%
Bay Area	2000	2,321,880	332,665	116,010	316,289	33,182	99,613	40,540	130,880	3,391,040
	% of Tot.	68.5%	9.8%	3.4%	9.3%	1.0%	2.9%	1.2%	3.9%	100.0%
	2005	2,275,722	276,745	95,129	300,806	29,311	104,248	43,414	147,652	3,273,027
	% of Tot.	69.5%	8.5%	2.9%	9.2%	0.9%	3.2%	1.3%	4.5%	100.0%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Transportation Planning Package (CTPP 2-2, 2-35), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table B08406, C08406)

Note: Mode "Other" includes taxi, motorcycle and other. Individual bicycle and walk estimates are interpolated from their combined table value in CTPP 2-35 using CTPP 2-2.

^ Bicycle not available for Marin and Napa County (B08406). Bicycle-to-work by county of residence used in table.

Table 5.4
Mean Travel Time to Work by Means of Transportation
Household Workers by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005

County	Year	Drive Alone	Two- Person Carpool	Three-or- More Person Carpool	Transit	Walk	Other	TOTAL
Alameda	2000	28.7	30.6	35.9	47.4	15.2	27.7	30.8
	2005	24.9	28.9	30.4	43.6	15.1	26.3	27.2
Contra Costa	2000	30.8	37.4	43.0	57.0	13.2	41.7	34.3
	2005	28.7	34.4	46.2	54.1	12.2	33.7	32.2
Marin	2000	28.9	33.9	36.1	58.1	12.5	43.8	32.7
	2005	26.0	34.2	35.6	54.7	5.6	37.9	29.0
Napa	2000	23.2	29.0	33.3	57.0	11.3	30.6	24.6
	2005	22.4	18.8	37.8	82.3	5.6	17.8	23.0
San Francisco	2000	28.9	29.1	31.7	37.8	16.9	25.0	30.6
	2005	26.8	23.7	30.4	36.5	15.3	22.1	28.7
San Mateo	2000	24.8	26.2	28.5	48.5	16.2	28.4	26.7
	2005	22.8	22.4	30.2	44.3	12.0	33.2	24.6
Santa Clara	2000	25.0	26.7	30.6	50.7	13.5	24.4	26.0
	2005	22.5	26.7	28.9	50.7	11.0	20.9	23.6
Solano	2000	29.1	35.2	48.0	71.3	12.5	38.1	31.9
	2005	26.7	33.7	43.9	63.5	9.9	39.8	29.2
Sonoma	2000	25.6	31.5	38.6	74.5	10.0	32.5	27.5
	2005	24.1	27.1	33.9	61.5	9.5	20.3	24.7
Bay Area	2000	27.2	30.3	36.2	46.4	15.0	28.9	29.4
	2005	24.8	28.2	36.1	43.6	13.0	25.7	26.9

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Public Use Microdata Sample (5% PUMS), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Tables B08013 and B08006).

Note: Mode "Other" includes bicycle, taxi, motorcycle and other.

Table 5.5
Mean Travel Time to Work by Means of Transportation
Household Workers by County of Work: 2000 & 2005

County	Year	Drive Alone	Two- Person Carpool	Three-or- More Person Carpool	Transit	Walk	Other	TOTAL
Alameda	2000	29.7	32.3	39.0	47.8	15.1	27.5	31.1
	2005	27.6	33.5	35.4	43.3	14.6	28.1	29.2
Contra Costa	2000	26.5	30.2	37.3	50.8	13.8	31.2	27.8
	2005	25.6	29.3	37.3	46.0	12.6	26.4	26.7
Marin	2000	29.6	33.9	39.1	52.9	12.7	38.7	30.9
	2005	27.8	32.0	44.4	60.4	6.2	43.4	29.6
Napa	2000	23.5	28.8	31.1	30.8	11.4	31.6	24.3
	2005	24.7	25.8	31.3	31.6	5.6	18.3	24.1
San Francisco	2000	34.4	36.1	44.5	45.0	16.9	30.9	37.7
	2005	31.8	33.8	44.2	42.0	15.3	30.7	35.0
San Mateo	2000	29.3	33.0	38.4	51.9	15.4	40.3	30.9
	2005	26.4	27.8	36.6	52.7	11.1	44.7	28.2
Santa Clara	2000	29.3	33.8	40.5	53.3	13.7	26.5	30.7
	2005	25.7	30.8	36.9	53.6	11.3	29.2	27.1
Solano	2000	22.4	26.7	33.1	44.7	13.0	35.3	23.6
	2005	21.8	26.0	34.8	33.2	9.5	31.8	22.9
Sonoma	2000	21.7	27.1	31.2	48.1	10.0	27.3	22.6
	2005	21.6	23.2	26.3	56.1	10.0	22.1	21.9
Bay Area	2000	28.7	32.7	39.8	46.8	15.0	30.2	30.9
	2005	26.3	30.6	38.1	44.3	12.9	30.1	28.5

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Public Use Microdata Sample (5% PUMS), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Tables B08536 and C08406).

Note: Mode "Other" includes bicycle, taxi, motorcycle and other.

Table 5.6
Travel Time to Work for Household Workers by County of Residence: 2000-2005

County		One-Way Commute Duration, in Minutes							TOTAL
		0 to 9	10 to 19	20 to 29	30 to 44	45 to 59	60 to 89	90+	
Alameda	2000	54,333	170,210	117,234	146,225	74,164	64,480	23,287	649,933
	% of Tot.	8.4%	26.2%	18.0%	22.5%	11.4%	9.9%	3.6%	100.0%
	2005	56,170	174,194	127,108	153,644	64,687	42,168	10,010	627,981
	% of Tot.	8.9%	27.7%	20.2%	24.5%	10.3%	6.7%	1.6%	100.0%
Contra Costa	2000	39,824	97,847	64,637	84,104	50,823	58,360	23,775	419,370
	% of Tot.	9.5%	23.3%	15.4%	20.1%	12.1%	13.9%	5.7%	100.0%
	2005	43,852	104,516	72,794	88,193	53,476	58,837	19,155	440,823
	% of Tot.	9.9%	23.7%	16.5%	20.0%	12.1%	13.3%	4.3%	100.0%
Marin	2000	13,129	27,451	15,496	23,821	16,148	13,657	5,211	114,913
	% of Tot.	11.4%	23.9%	13.5%	20.7%	14.1%	11.9%	4.5%	100.0%
	2005	13,411	24,966	15,462	24,340	12,957	8,608	2,919	102,663
	% of Tot.	13.1%	24.3%	15.1%	23.7%	12.6%	8.4%	2.8%	100.0%
Napa	2000	11,442	17,738	8,052	8,883	3,288	3,256	1,932	54,591
	% of Tot.	21.0%	32.5%	14.7%	16.3%	6.0%	6.0%	3.5%	100.0%
	2005	12,439	20,852	8,385	10,288	4,588	2,674	1,714	60,940
	% of Tot.	20.4%	34.2%	13.8%	16.9%	7.5%	4.4%	2.8%	100.0%
San Francisco	2000	21,764	90,232	84,674	109,674	43,494	35,063	9,571	394,472
	% of Tot.	5.5%	22.9%	21.5%	27.8%	11.0%	8.9%	2.4%	100.0%
	2005	22,291	83,968	79,985	100,994	35,976	28,553	6,014	357,781
	% of Tot.	6.2%	23.5%	22.4%	28.2%	10.1%	8.0%	1.7%	100.0%
San Mateo	2000	31,812	96,763	71,747	80,516	31,744	19,098	6,409	338,089
	% of Tot.	9.4%	28.6%	21.2%	23.8%	9.4%	5.6%	1.9%	100.0%
	2005	34,934	91,791	65,516	68,070	24,981	15,926	3,357	304,575
	% of Tot.	11.5%	30.1%	21.5%	22.3%	8.2%	5.2%	1.1%	100.0%
Santa Clara	2000	64,381	233,747	198,288	187,122	63,230	39,388	14,135	800,291
	% of Tot.	8.0%	29.2%	24.8%	23.4%	7.9%	4.9%	1.8%	100.0%
	2005	75,061	239,007	193,701	156,708	40,801	29,776	10,710	745,764
	% of Tot.	10.1%	32.0%	26.0%	21.0%	5.5%	4.0%	1.4%	100.0%
Solano	2000	20,858	46,186	26,574	28,478	17,909	18,453	9,700	168,158
	% of Tot.	12.4%	27.5%	15.8%	16.9%	10.7%	11.0%	5.8%	100.0%
	2005	23,319	46,453	26,462	31,125	16,387	17,671	6,605	168,022
	% of Tot.	13.9%	27.6%	15.7%	18.5%	9.8%	10.5%	3.9%	100.0%
Sonoma	2000	33,498	67,555	37,649	33,043	12,472	15,380	10,715	210,312
	% of Tot.	15.9%	32.1%	17.9%	15.7%	5.9%	7.3%	5.1%	100.0%
	2005	35,520	66,530	37,107	30,136	13,226	12,415	8,134	203,068
	% of Tot.	17.5%	32.8%	18.3%	14.8%	6.5%	6.1%	4.0%	100.0%
Bay Area	2000	291,041	847,729	624,351	701,866	313,272	267,135	104,735	3,150,129
	% of Tot.	9.2%	26.9%	19.8%	22.3%	9.9%	8.5%	3.3%	100.0%
	2005	316,997	852,277	626,520	663,498	267,079	216,628	68,618	3,011,617
	% of Tot.	10.5%	28.3%	20.8%	22.0%	8.9%	7.2%	2.3%	100.0%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Public Use Microdata Sample (5% PUMS), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table B08012).

Values are for household workers not working at home.

Table 5.7
Travel Time to Work for Household Workers by County of Work: 2000-2005

County		One-Way Commute Duration, in Minutes							TOTAL
		0 to 9	10 to 19	20 to 29	30 to 44	45 to 59	60 to 89	90+	
Alameda	2000	55,270	176,067	123,292	142,691	66,530	57,720	29,873	651,443
	% of Tot.	8.5%	27.0%	18.9%	21.9%	10.2%	8.9%	4.6%	100.0%
	2005	57,853	179,656	130,339	144,546	60,347	54,338	24,368	651,447
	% of Tot.	8.9%	27.6%	20.0%	22.2%	9.3%	8.3%	3.7%	100.0%
Contra Costa	2000	38,315	92,403	58,549	62,252	27,516	23,768	10,339	313,142
	% of Tot.	12.2%	29.5%	18.7%	19.9%	8.8%	7.6%	3.3%	100.0%
	2005	42,454	99,551	66,260	65,559	30,782	24,989	9,373	338,968
	% of Tot.	12.5%	29.4%	19.5%	19.3%	9.1%	7.4%	2.8%	100.0%
Marin	2000	13,516	28,458	16,832	24,508	13,570	10,667	4,661	112,212
	% of Tot.	12.0%	25.4%	15.0%	21.8%	12.1%	9.5%	4.2%	100.0%
	2005	13,097	25,675	18,474	20,160	11,988	10,539	3,614	103,547
	% of Tot.	12.6%	24.8%	17.8%	19.5%	11.6%	10.2%	3.5%	100.0%
Napa	2000	11,460	18,325	9,441	10,126	3,835	3,193	1,636	58,016
	% of Tot.	19.8%	31.6%	16.3%	17.5%	6.6%	5.5%	2.8%	100.0%
	2005	11,558	20,715	9,443	13,663	6,087	3,969	1,247	66,682
	% of Tot.	17.3%	31.1%	14.2%	20.5%	9.1%	6.0%	1.9%	100.0%
San Francisco	2000	23,195	94,975	97,935	148,878	81,269	80,669	33,397	560,318
	% of Tot.	4.1%	17.0%	17.5%	26.6%	14.5%	14.4%	6.0%	100.0%
	2005	23,791	94,549	93,025	144,319	67,501	68,487	23,687	515,359
	% of Tot.	4.6%	18.3%	18.1%	28.0%	13.1%	13.3%	4.6%	100.0%
San Mateo	2000	30,927	92,228	62,795	73,521	34,020	29,913	15,489	338,893
	% of Tot.	9.1%	27.2%	18.5%	21.7%	10.0%	8.8%	4.6%	100.0%
	2005	34,099	84,200	61,921	67,040	27,129	20,853	10,860	306,102
	% of Tot.	11.1%	27.5%	20.2%	21.9%	8.9%	6.8%	3.5%	100.0%
Santa Clara	2000	66,234	237,248	201,283	207,173	87,838	75,566	38,518	913,860
	% of Tot.	7.2%	26.0%	22.0%	22.7%	9.6%	8.3%	4.2%	100.0%
	2005	78,578	245,767	195,510	177,980	61,115	51,748	26,833	837,531
	% of Tot.	9.4%	29.3%	23.3%	21.3%	7.3%	6.2%	3.2%	100.0%
Solano	2000	20,413	44,377	21,438	16,666	6,392	6,258	3,641	119,185
	% of Tot.	17.1%	37.2%	18.0%	14.0%	5.4%	5.3%	3.1%	100.0%
	2005	23,125	42,580	21,165	18,051	8,729	6,247	2,674	122,571
	% of Tot.	18.9%	34.7%	17.3%	14.7%	7.1%	5.1%	2.2%	100.0%
Sonoma	2000	33,386	66,779	36,530	28,582	8,244	8,179	4,695	186,395
	% of Tot.	17.9%	35.8%	19.6%	15.3%	4.4%	4.4%	2.5%	100.0%
	2005	34,829	66,165	34,642	26,291	9,296	6,073	5,872	183,168
	% of Tot.	19.0%	36.1%	18.9%	14.4%	5.1%	3.3%	3.2%	100.0%
Bay Area	2000	292,716	850,860	628,095	714,397	329,214	295,933	142,249	3,253,464
	% of Tot.	9.0%	26.2%	19.3%	22.0%	10.1%	9.1%	4.4%	100.0%
	2005	319,384	858,858	630,779	677,609	282,974	247,243	108,528	3,125,375
	% of Tot.	10.2%	27.5%	20.2%	21.7%	9.1%	7.9%	3.5%	100.0%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Public Use Microdata Sample (5% PUMS), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table B08412).

Values are for household workers not working at home.

Table 5.8**Intra-County and Inter-County Household Workers by County of Residence: 2000-2005**

County of Residence	Year	Live and Work in Same County	Total Workers Living in County	Share, Living and Working in Same County
Alameda	2000	449,930	674,384	66.7%
	2005	437,900	651,547	67.2%
Contra Costa	2000	253,620	440,698	57.5%
	2005	263,378	458,117	57.5%
Marin	2000	77,745	125,530	61.9%
	2005	75,561	114,736	65.9%
Napa	2000	43,530	56,542	77.0%
	2005	48,147	63,078	76.3%
San Francisco	2000	318,125	414,111	76.8%
	2005	293,735	381,922	76.9%
San Mateo	2000	205,190	352,991	58.1%
	2005	189,957	322,263	58.9%
Santa Clara	2000	722,065	822,461	87.8%
	2005	673,568	777,259	86.7%
Solano	2000	97,135	172,208	56.4%
	2005	98,053	174,139	56.3%
Sonoma	2000	182,005	222,392	81.8%
	2005	178,140	216,208	82.4%
Bay Area	2000	2,349,345	3,281,317	71.6%
	2005	2,258,439	3,159,269	71.5%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Transportation Planning Package (CTPP 3-2), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table B08007).

Table A.1
Total Households and Household Population, Share of Household Population 65 and Over, and Share of Disabled Population, by Urban Area: 2000 & 2005

Urban Area	Census 2000				ACS 2005			
	Households	Household Population 65+	Household Population	Share 65+	Households	Household Population 65+	Household Population	Share 65+
Antioch Urbanized Area	69,009	15,831	216,386	7.3%	78,588	17,259	248,096	7.0%
Bedford Island Urban Cluster	1,334	572	2,816	20.3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Calistoga Urban Cluster	2,042	965	5,123	18.8%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Cloverdale Urban Cluster	2,718	976	7,409	13.2%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Concord Urbanized Area	211,760	63,564	540,332	11.8%	216,039	71,512	562,701	12.7%
Discovery Bay Urban Cluster	3,446	801	9,087	8.8%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Dixon Urban Cluster	5,067	1,140	16,044	7.1%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Fairfield Urbanized Area	36,002	8,871	108,655	8.2%	35,212	8,534	111,081	7.7%
Fairfield Southwest Urban Cluster	2,750	299	9,024	3.3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Forestville Urban Cluster	1,550	319	3,589	8.9%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gilroy-Morgan Hill Urbanized Area	25,307	5,700	83,226	6.8%	25,606	6,873	82,584	8.3%
Guerneville Urban Cluster	2,354	515	4,922	10.5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Half Moon Bay Urban Cluster	7,566	1,721	21,029	8.2%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Livermore Urbanized Area	26,680	5,438	74,980	7.3%	29,502	5,520	89,436	6.2%
Napa Urbanized Area	29,196	10,351	77,331	13.4%	30,417	9,998	78,211	12.8%
Petaluma Urbanized Area	22,040	6,145	59,174	10.4%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Rio Vista Urban Cluster	1,603	639	4,064	15.7%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
San Francisco-Oakland Urbanized Area	1,132,640	340,658	2,936,291	11.6%	1,214,870	378,805	3,099,087	12.2%
San Jose Urbanized Area	518,614	140,932	1,517,131	9.3%	532,398	156,031	1,534,276	10.2%
San Rafael-Novato Urbanized Area	94,901	29,705	222,176	13.4%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Santa Rosa Urbanized Area	105,837	33,628	279,051	12.1%	110,955	32,847	287,369	11.4%
Sonoma Urban Cluster	12,582	5,152	30,180	17.1%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
St. Helena Urban Cluster	2,707	1,054	6,716	15.7%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Vacaville Urbanized Area	28,670	7,085	81,040	8.7%	29,448	8,768	82,630	10.6%
Vallejo Urbanized Area	55,354	16,742	157,047	10.7%	57,093	18,473	160,952	11.5%
Yountville Urban Cluster	1,048	528	2,044	25.8%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Summary File 1 (Tables B01001, C11016, and C18002) and the American Community Survey 2005 (Tables B01001, C11016, and C18002).

Census 2000 and ACS 2005 have slightly different population universes. ACS 2005 data does not include group quarters residents (only members of households), while Census 2000 data comprises both members of households and group quarters. Some Census 2000 tables are published for only the household population (allowing easy comparison with ACS 2005 data), though household-pop-only tables are not available for all characteristics. In this table, Census 2000 age data was published for the household population, and is presented here. The disability data for Census 2000 in this table, however, comprises both household and group quarters residents aged 5 and above, while the ACS 2005 disability data only includes members of households.

Table A.2**Comparison of Household Population by County****American Community Survey (ACS) 2005 and California Department of Finance (DOF) 2005/06**

County	DOF 1/1/05	DOF 1/1/06	ACS 2005	Difference,	
				ACS vs DOF 2005	% Difference, ACS vs DOF 2005
Alameda County	1,472,445	1,481,510	1,421,308	-51,137	-3.5%
Contra Costa County	1,007,800	1,018,076	1,006,486	-1,314	-0.1%
Marin County	240,419	242,266	235,609	-4,810	-2.0%
Napa County	127,723	129,175	127,445	-278	-0.2%
San Francisco County	772,603	778,349	719,077	-53,526	-6.9%
San Mateo County	709,316	713,646	689,271	-20,045	-2.8%
Santa Clara County	1,722,973	1,742,884	1,669,890	-53,083	-3.1%
Solano County	403,763	406,572	395,426	-8,337	-2.1%
Sonoma County	465,146	467,514	453,850	-11,296	-2.4%
Bay Area	6,922,188	6,979,992	6,718,362	-203,826	-2.9%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from the California Department of Finance 2001-2006 Estimates (Table E-5), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Tables B01003).

Table A.3**Comparison of Household Population by Place over 65,000 Population****American Community Survey (ACS) 2005 and California Department of Finance (DOF) 2005/06**

Population Rank	City	DOF 1/1/05	DOF 1/1/06	ACS 2005	ACS 90% MOE	ACS 95% Lower Bound	ACS 95% Upper Bound	DOF 1/1/05 Within Range	DOF 1/1/06 Within Range
1	San Jose	930,648	942,528	887,330	17,662	866,286	908,374	No	No
2	San Francisco	772,603	778,349	719,077	~17,000	~698,822	~739,332	No	No
3	Oakland	403,073	404,498	373,910	16,114	354,710	393,110	No	No
4	Fremont	207,662	208,399	210,387	11,836	196,285	224,489	Yes	Yes
5	Santa Rosa	152,294	153,411	146,500	9,245	135,485	157,515	Yes	Yes
6	Hayward	143,167	144,243	135,474	11,506	121,765	149,183	Yes	Yes
7	Sunnyvale	131,680	132,669	132,725	8,334	122,795	142,655	Yes	Yes
8	Concord	123,298	123,014	116,782	9,227	105,788	127,776	Yes	Yes
9	Vallejo	118,491	118,849	115,657	8,074	106,037	125,277	Yes	Yes
10	Antioch	100,497	100,529	103,339	8,668	93,011	113,667	Yes	Yes
11	Fairfield	101,177	101,914	102,642	8,172	92,905	112,379	Yes	Yes
12	Santa Clara	105,893	107,984	102,204	8,907	91,591	112,817	Yes	Yes
13	Richmond	101,249	101,840	96,648	9,881	84,875	108,421	Yes	Yes
14	Daly City	103,433	104,030	93,513	7,062	85,099	101,927	No	No
15	San Mateo	92,502	92,999	93,481	6,719	85,475	101,487	Yes	Yes
16	Berkeley	98,189	98,712	90,432	6,031	83,246	97,618	No	No
17	Livermore	80,019	81,136	87,054	7,810	77,748	96,360	Yes	Yes
18	Redwood City	73,744	74,160	81,195	8,480	71,091	91,299	Yes	Yes
19	Vacaville	86,910	86,843	81,117	5,551	74,503	87,731	Yes	Yes
20	San Leandro	80,219	80,247	77,631	7,262	68,978	86,284	Yes	Yes
21	Alameda	73,108	73,314	77,058	7,456	68,174	85,942	Yes	Yes
22	Napa	74,708	75,246	73,085	4,025	68,289	77,881	Yes	Yes
23	Mountain View	71,231	71,479	69,427	6,225	62,010	76,844	Yes	Yes
24	Pleasanton	67,086	67,641	67,018	5,068	60,980	73,056	Yes	Yes
25	Union city	69,997	70,810	65,239	7,839	55,899	74,579	Yes	Yes

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from the California Department of Finance 2001-2006 Estimates (Table E-5), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Tables B01003).

Note: MOE = Margin of Error, or half of the confidence interval, in this case, at a 90 percent statistic.

~ Confidence interval is approximate. ACS estimates are controlled at the county level. Because San Francisco is both a city and county, a statistical test for sampling variability is not appropriate.

Table A.4

Comparison of Household Population by California County: American Community Survey (ACS) 2005, California
Department of Finance (DOF) 2005/06, and the Federal State Cooperative Program for Population Estimates (FSCPE) 2005

County	DOF 1/1/05	DOF 1/1/06	ACS 2005	ACS 2005 less DOF 1/05	% Difference, ACS vs DOF 05	% Difference, ACS vs DOF 06	FSCPE 7/1/05
Alameda	1,472,445	1,481,510	1,421,308	-51,137	-3.5%	-4.1%	1,421,308
Alpine	1,251	1,240	NA	NA	NA	NA	1,158
Amador	33,326	33,643	NA	NA	NA	NA	33,940
Butte	208,929	210,861	207,937	-992	-0.5%	-1.4%	207,937
Calaveras	44,633	45,255	NA	NA	NA	NA	46,444
Colusa	20,553	21,092	NA	NA	NA	NA	20,675
Contra Costa	1,007,800	1,018,076	1,006,486	-1,314	-0.1%	-1.1%	1,006,486
Del Norte	25,202	25,250	NA	NA	NA	NA	24,789
El Dorado	172,460	175,154	175,790	3,330	1.9%	0.4%	175,790
Fresno	864,789	880,837	858,948	-5,841	-0.7%	-2.5%	858,948
Glenn	27,871	28,256	NA	NA	NA	NA	27,373
Humboldt	127,671	128,181	124,031	-3,640	-2.9%	-3.2%	124,031
Imperial	149,935	154,821	144,523	-5,412	-3.6%	-6.7%	144,523
Inyo	18,296	18,234	NA	NA	NA	NA	17,998
Kern	725,145	743,555	724,206	-939	-0.1%	-2.6%	724,206
Kings	123,108	125,210	121,418	-1,690	-1.4%	-3.0%	121,418
Lake	62,516	62,908	63,962	1,446	2.3%	1.7%	63,962
Lassen	26,115	26,128	NA	NA	NA	NA	25,476
Los Angeles	9,989,326	10,066,953	9,758,886	-230,440	-2.3%	-3.1%	9,758,886
Madera	132,118	135,469	134,159	2,041	1.5%	-1.0%	134,159
Marin	240,419	242,266	235,609	-4,810	-2.0%	-2.7%	235,609
Mariposa	16,679	16,771	NA	NA	NA	NA	16,657
Mendocino	88,000	88,181	86,011	-1,989	-2.3%	-2.5%	86,011
Merced	237,036	242,591	237,278	242	0.1%	-2.2%	237,278
Modoc	9,417	9,427	NA	NA	NA	NA	9,114
Mono	13,284	13,395	NA	NA	NA	NA	12,255
Monterey	400,639	402,364	389,004	-11,635	-2.9%	-3.3%	389,004
Napa	127,723	129,175	127,445	-278	-0.2%	-1.3%	127,445
Nevada	98,598	99,099	97,572	-1,026	-1.0%	-1.5%	97,572
Orange	3,003,160	3,028,512	2,944,537	-58,623	-2.0%	-2.8%	2,944,537
Placer	305,198	313,258	314,135	8,937	2.9%	0.3%	314,135
Plumas	21,251	21,256	NA	NA	NA	NA	21,289
Riverside	1,852,234	1,917,181	1,911,281	59,047	3.2%	-0.3%	1,911,281
Sacramento	1,341,377	1,360,104	1,337,942	-3,435	-0.3%	-1.6%	1,337,942
San Benito	56,843	57,120	NA	NA	NA	NA	55,429
San Bernardino	1,900,924	1,940,751	1,916,665	15,741	0.8%	-1.2%	1,916,665
San Diego	2,930,920	2,958,010	2,824,259	-106,661	-3.6%	-4.5%	2,824,259
San Francisco	772,603	778,349	719,077	-53,526	-6.9%	-7.6%	719,077
San Joaquin	638,201	651,150	646,259	8,058	1.3%	-0.8%	646,259
San Luis Obispo	245,470	247,370	239,638	-5,832	-2.4%	-3.1%	239,638
San Mateo	709,316	713,646	689,271	-20,045	-2.8%	-3.4%	689,271
Santa Barbara	400,853	404,566	383,393	-17,460	-4.4%	-5.2%	383,393
Santa Clara	1,722,973	1,742,884	1,669,890	-53,083	-3.1%	-4.2%	1,669,890
Santa Cruz	251,058	252,767	240,367	-10,691	-4.3%	-4.9%	240,367
Shasta	176,278	178,065	176,570	292	0.2%	-0.8%	176,570
Sierra	3,492	3,465	NA	NA	NA	NA	3,398
Siskiyou	45,262	45,466	NA	NA	NA	NA	44,545
Solano	403,763	406,572	395,426	-8,337	-2.1%	-2.7%	395,426
Sonoma	465,146	467,514	453,850	-11,296	-2.4%	-2.9%	453,850
Stanislaus	497,628	506,577	497,804	176	0.0%	-1.7%	497,804
Sutter	87,738	90,023	87,444	-294	-0.3%	-2.9%	87,444
Tehama	59,709	60,511	NA	NA	NA	NA	60,186
Trinity	13,678	13,805	NA	NA	NA	NA	13,392
Tulare	405,736	414,676	404,909	-827	-0.2%	-2.4%	404,909
Tuolumne	52,814	53,374	NA	NA	NA	NA	52,174
Ventura	797,506	803,958	782,759	-14,747	-1.8%	-2.6%	782,759
Yolo	179,212	181,778	176,609	-2,603	-1.5%	-2.8%	176,609
Yuba	66,038	68,607	65,818	-220	-0.3%	-4.1%	65,818
California	35,871,665	36,307,217	35,278,768	-592,897	-1.7%	-2.8%	35,278,768

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from the California Department of Finance 2001-2006 Estimates (Table E-5), the Federal State Cooperative Program for Population Estimates 2005 County Estimates, and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table B01003).

Table A.5
Comparison of Household Population by California Place over 65,000 Population
American Community Survey (ACS) 2005 and California Department of Finance (DOF) 2005/06

State Rank	Place	DOF 1/1/05	DOF 1/1/06	ACS 2005	ACS 90% MOE	ACS 95% Lower Bound	ACS 95% Upper Bound	DOF 1/1/05 Within Range	DOF 1/1/06 Within Range	ACS less DOF 1/05
1	LOS ANGELES	3,851,656	3,891,590	3,731,437	52,529	3,668,849	3,794,025	No	No	-120,219
2	SAN DIEGO	1,254,431	1,263,321	1,208,331	26,756	1,176,452	1,240,210	No	No	-46,100
3	SAN JOSE	930,648	942,528	887,330	17,662	866,286	908,374	No	No	-43,318
4	SAN FRANCISCO	772,603	778,349	719,077	~17,000	698,822	739,332	No	No	-53,526
5	FRESNO	456,002	462,658	477,251	17,586	456,297	498,205	No	Yes	
6	LONG BEACH	478,295	479,775	463,956	19,043	441,266	486,646	Yes	Yes	
7	SACRAMENTO	443,057	448,532	445,287	18,143	423,670	466,904	Yes	Yes	
8	OAKLAND	403,073	404,498	373,910	16,114	354,710	393,110	No	No	-29,163
9	ANAHEIM	338,012	338,614	329,483	18,477	307,468	351,498	Yes	Yes	
10	SANTA ANA	344,808	345,675	302,302	21,400	276,804	327,800	No	No	-42,506
11	RIVERSIDE	277,835	278,531	294,059	15,986	275,012	313,106	Yes	Yes	
12	BAKERSFIELD	294,477	308,452	286,316	13,835	269,832	302,800	Yes	No	
13	STOCKTON	275,689	281,481	278,515	12,891	263,156	293,874	Yes	Yes	
14	CHULA VISTA	215,260	221,984	212,954	12,826	197,672	228,236	Yes	Yes	
15	FREMONT	207,662	208,399	210,387	11,836	196,285	224,489	Yes	Yes	
16	SAN BERNARDINO	194,176	195,271	204,552	14,464	187,318	221,786	Yes	Yes	
17	MODESTO	204,756	204,876	202,971	8,829	192,451	213,491	Yes	Yes	
18	GLENDALE	202,921	203,444	194,620	12,575	179,637	209,603	Yes	Yes	
19	GARDEN GROVE	169,196	169,531	192,345	15,039	174,426	210,264	No	No	23,149
20	HUNTINGTON BEACH	199,231	200,208	189,451	12,932	174,043	204,859	Yes	Yes	
21	OXNARD	185,736	187,393	178,871	11,665	164,972	192,770	Yes	Yes	
22	IRVINE	175,467	185,802	172,182	10,281	159,932	184,432	Yes	No	
23	SANTA CLARITA	165,565	166,019	167,047	9,658	155,540	178,554	Yes	Yes	
24	MORENO VALLEY	165,688	173,868	162,987	13,193	147,268	178,706	Yes	Yes	
25	CORONA	144,360	144,029	162,410	15,586	143,839	180,981	Yes	Yes	
26	OCEANSIDE	173,124	173,645	162,259	15,577	143,699	180,819	Yes	Yes	
27	POMONA	154,376	155,806	161,257	14,442	144,050	178,464	Yes	Yes	
28	FONTANA	159,910	164,963	158,235	14,343	141,145	175,325	Yes	Yes	
29	SALINAS	146,307	145,898	156,950	8,501	146,821	167,079	No	No	10,643
30	ONTARIO	169,682	170,036	156,679	12,726	141,516	171,842	Yes	Yes	

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from the California Department of Finance 2001-2006 Estimates (Table E-5), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Tables B01003).

Table A.5 (continued)
Comparison of Household Population by California Place over 65,000 Population
American Community Survey (ACS) 2005 and California Department of Finance (DOF) 2005/06

State Rank	Place	DOF 1/1/05	DOF 1/1/06	ACS 2005	ACS 90% MOE	ACS 95% Lower Bound	ACS 95% Upper Bound	DOF 1/1/05 Within Range	DOF 1/1/06 Within Range	ACS less DOF 1/05
31	SANTA ROSA	152,294	153,411	146,500	9,245	135,485	157,515	Yes	Yes	
32	PALMDALE	135,740	140,918	145,800	18,687	123,535	168,065	Yes	Yes	
33	RANCHO CUCAMONGA	158,593	166,853	144,958	11,871	130,814	159,102	Yes	No	
34	FULLERTON	131,983	133,209	142,064	11,063	128,883	155,245	Yes	Yes	
35	TORRANCE	145,283	145,859	138,618	9,016	127,876	149,360	Yes	Yes	
36	ORANGE	131,861	132,333	137,994	11,992	123,706	152,282	Yes	Yes	
37	HAYWARD	143,167	144,243	135,474	11,506	121,765	149,183	Yes	Yes	
38	LANCASTER	125,366	131,134	135,225	11,419	121,619	148,831	Yes	Yes	
39	ESCONDIDO	139,038	139,001	133,017	11,512	119,301	146,733	Yes	Yes	
40	SUNNYVALE	131,680	132,669	132,725	8,334	122,795	142,655	Yes	Yes	
41	EAST LOS ANGELES (CDP)			130,766	15,773	111,973	149,559			
42	PASADENA	141,796	142,620	129,400	9,141	118,509	140,291	No	No	-12,396
43	THOUSAND OAKS	124,819	125,693	127,895	9,572	116,490	139,300	Yes	Yes	
44	ELK GROVE	120,781	130,347	127,371	12,138	112,909	141,833	Yes	Yes	
45	INGLEWOOD	116,096	116,742	120,204	11,460	106,550	133,858	Yes	Yes	
46	CONCORD	123,298	123,014	116,782	9,227	105,788	127,776	Yes	Yes	
47	SIMI VALLEY	120,296	121,908	116,722	10,360	104,378	129,066	Yes	Yes	
48	WEST COVINA	110,940	111,651	116,371	12,521	101,452	131,290	Yes	Yes	
49	VALLEJO	118,491	118,849	115,657	8,074	106,037	125,277	Yes	Yes	
50	DOWNEY	111,173	111,298	111,391	12,481	96,520	126,262	Yes	Yes	
51	EL MONTE	123,816	124,082	108,913	13,663	92,634	125,192	Yes	Yes	
52	ROSEVILLE	102,131	103,601	108,848	7,498	99,914	117,782	Yes	Yes	
53	VISALIA	106,420	109,546	108,467	7,697	99,296	117,638	Yes	Yes	
54	COSTA MESA	109,914	110,068	105,333	10,745	92,530	118,136	Yes	Yes	
55	NORWALK	107,573	107,761	103,844	9,999	91,930	115,758	Yes	Yes	
56	SOUTH GATE	101,416	101,506	103,547	14,869	85,831	121,263	Yes	Yes	
57	ANTIOCH	100,497	100,529	103,339	8,668	93,011	113,667	Yes	Yes	
58	FAIRFIELD	101,177	101,914	102,642	8,172	92,905	112,379	Yes	Yes	
59	SANTA CLARA	105,893	107,984	102,204	8,907	91,591	112,817	Yes	Yes	
60	HAWTHORNE	87,762	87,958	100,754	12,482	85,882	115,626	Yes	Yes	

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from the California Department of Finance 2001-2006 Estimates (Table E-5), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Tables B01003).

Table A.5 (continued)
Comparison of Household Population by California Place over 65,000 Population
American Community Survey (ACS) 2005 and California Department of Finance (DOF) 2005/06

State Rank	Place	DOF 1/1/05	DOF 1/1/06	ACS 2005	ACS 90% MOE	ACS 95% Lower Bound	ACS 95% Upper Bound	DOF 1/1/05 Within Range	DOF 1/1/06 Within Range	ACS less DOF 1/05
61	SAN BUENAVENTURA	103,151	103,957	100,154	7,107	91,686	108,622	Yes	Yes	
62	BURBANK	105,281	106,053	100,053	8,394	90,052	110,054	Yes	Yes	
63	MURRIETA	84,988	92,273	98,146	10,779	85,303	110,989	No	Yes	
64	WESTMINSTER	91,387	91,856	97,946	12,325	83,261	112,631	Yes	Yes	
65	COMPTON	97,565	98,298	97,833	11,755	83,827	111,839	Yes	Yes	
66	RICHMOND	101,249	101,840	96,648	9,881	84,875	108,421	Yes	Yes	
67	ARDEN-ARCADE (CDP)			94,284	6,652	86,358	102,210			
68	DALY CITY	103,433	104,030	93,513	7,062	85,099	101,927	No	No	-9,920
69	SAN MATEO	92,502	92,999	93,481	6,719	85,475	101,487	Yes	Yes	
70	RIALTO	98,679	98,385	93,284	10,060	81,298	105,270	Yes	Yes	
71	VICTORVILLE	83,391	90,685	93,042	11,032	79,897	106,187	Yes	Yes	
72	CARLSBAD	93,863	97,694	92,998	6,860	84,824	101,172	Yes	Yes	
73	EL CAJON	94,598	94,294	92,507	13,186	76,796	108,218	Yes	Yes	
74	CARSON	96,442	96,683	92,156	9,579	80,743	103,569	Yes	Yes	
75	SANTA BARBARA	88,446	87,756	90,708	7,868	81,333	100,083	Yes	Yes	
76	BERKELEY	98,189	98,712	90,432	6,031	83,246	97,618	No	No	-7,757
77	MISSION VIEJO	96,783	96,932	90,136	7,450	81,259	99,013	Yes	Yes	
78	REDDING	86,736	87,516	89,362	5,475	82,839	95,885	Yes	Yes	
79	SANTA MARIA	86,323	88,006	88,817	8,180	79,071	98,563	Yes	Yes	
80	LAKEWOOD	82,981	83,093	88,253	11,866	74,115	102,391	Yes	Yes	
81	TEMECULA	81,899	93,901	87,878	8,555	77,685	98,071	Yes	Yes	
82	LIVERMORE	80,019	81,136	87,054	7,810	77,748	96,360	Yes	Yes	
83	BALDWIN PARK	80,138	80,380	84,812	13,151	69,143	100,481	Yes	Yes	
84	VISTA	91,480	92,174	83,228	8,713	72,847	93,609	Yes	Yes	
85	SANTA MONICA	88,072	88,144	82,777	6,003	75,624	89,930	Yes	Yes	
86	NEWPORT BEACH	81,885	82,421	82,381	6,437	74,711	90,051	Yes	Yes	
87	TRACY	78,171	80,116	82,218	9,020	71,471	92,965	Yes	Yes	
88	CITRUS HEIGHTS	86,493	86,006	81,824	7,428	72,974	90,674	Yes	Yes	
89	REDWOOD CITY	73,744	74,160	81,195	8,480	71,091	91,299	Yes	Yes	
90	VACAVILLE	86,910	86,843	81,117	5,551	74,503	87,731	Yes	Yes	

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from the California Department of Finance 2001-2006 Estimates (Table E-5), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Tables B01003).

Table A.5 (continued)
Comparison of Household Population by California Place over 65,000 Population
American Community Survey (ACS) 2005 and California Department of Finance (DOF) 2005/06

State Rank	Place	DOF 1/1/05	DOF 1/1/06	ACS 2005	ACS 90% MOE	ACS 95% Lower Bound	ACS 95% Upper Bound	DOF 1/1/05 Within Range	DOF 1/1/06 Within Range	ACS less DOF 1/05
91	CHINO HILLS	77,860	77,818	80,897	8,741	70,482	91,312	Yes	Yes	
92	WHITTIER	85,146	85,251	80,554	8,110	70,891	90,217	Yes	Yes	
93	CLOVIS	85,546	89,444	80,529	8,690	70,175	90,883	Yes	Yes	
94	TUSTIN	70,199	71,349	79,811	10,350	67,479	92,143	Yes	Yes	
95	HESPERIA	75,967	79,937	79,714	9,907	67,910	91,518	Yes	Yes	
96	BELLFLOWER	76,429	76,416	78,198	7,534	69,221	87,175	Yes	Yes	
97	SAN LEANDRO	80,219	80,247	77,631	7,262	68,978	86,284	Yes	Yes	
98	SAN MARCOS	72,233	76,191	77,445	8,307	67,547	87,343	Yes	Yes	
99	HEMET	65,194	67,865	77,076	10,443	64,633	89,519	Yes	Yes	
100	ALAMEDA	73,108	73,314	77,058	7,456	68,174	85,942	Yes	Yes	
101	ALHAMBRA	88,109	87,403	76,309	8,380	66,324	86,294	No	No	-11,800
102	BUENA PARK	79,844	80,415	76,062	8,118	66,389	85,735	Yes	Yes	
103	TURLOCK	64,832	65,515	74,883	9,354	63,738	86,028	Yes	Yes	
104	UPLAND	73,291	73,514	74,420	8,248	64,593	84,247	Yes	Yes	
105	REDLANDS	68,524	69,120	73,548	8,222	63,752	83,344	Yes	Yes	
106	NAPA	74,708	75,246	73,085	4,025	68,289	77,881	Yes	Yes	
107	CHICO	69,889	74,974	71,298	5,295	64,989	77,607	Yes	Yes	
108	LYNWOOD	70,587	70,844	69,901	10,446	57,455	82,347	Yes	Yes	
109	CHINO	66,619	68,161	69,732	9,916	57,917	81,547	Yes	Yes	
110	MOUNTAIN VIEW	71,231	71,479	69,427	6,225	62,010	76,844	Yes	Yes	
111	LAKE FOREST	76,897	77,015	69,305	7,093	60,854	77,756	Yes	Yes	
112	PLEASANTON	67,086	67,641	67,018	5,068	60,980	73,056	Yes	Yes	
113	FLORENCE-GRAHAM (CDP)			66,788	14,261	49,796	83,780			
114	MERCED	72,640	74,855	65,391	5,269	59,113	71,669	No	No	-7,249
115	UNION CITY	69,997	70,810	65,239	7,839	55,899	74,579	Yes	Yes	
116	APPLE VALLEY	63,646	67,144	65,229	7,901	55,815	74,643	Yes	Yes	
117	INDIO	65,683	70,798	65,091	12,815	49,822	80,360	Yes	Yes	
118	REDONDO BEACH	66,738	66,925	61,473	5,276	55,187	67,759	Yes	Yes	
119	FOLSOM	60,964	62,499	61,408	7,351	52,649	70,167	Yes	Yes	

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from the California Department of Finance 2001-2006 Estimates (Table E-5), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Tables B01003).

Table B.1
Sampling Error for Industry of the Employed Household Population 16 and Over, Bay Area: 2000 & 2005

	2000	Margin of Error	2005	Margin of Error	Total Change	Percent Change	Z-Score	Significant at 95% Confidence	Statistically-Significant Increase	Statistically-Significant Decrease
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, mining:										
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting	27,256	6,977	17,960	2,321	-9,296	-34.1%	2.09	Yes		*
Mining	23,282	6,739	16,903	2,199	-6,379	-27.4%	1.48	No		
Construction	3,974	2,043	1,057	1,016	-2,917	-73.4%	2.11	Yes		*
Manufacturing	203,708	14,823	223,258	9,782	19,550	9.6%	1.82	No		
Wholesale trade	470,807	20,107	395,849	11,124	-74,958	-15.9%	5.38	Yes		*
Retail trade	117,114	10,512	109,317	6,210	-7,797	-6.7%	1.05	No		
Transportation and warehousing:	376,178	17,434	345,108	10,610	-31,070	-8.3%	2.51	Yes		*
Transportation and warehousing	159,648	12,852	148,667	7,337	-10,981	-6.9%	1.22	No		
Utilities	137,742	11,937	127,817	6,655	-9,925	-7.2%	1.20	No		
Information	21,906	4,745	20,850	2,879	-1,056	-4.8%	0.31	No		
Information	177,382	12,385	101,347	5,637	-76,035	-42.9%	9.22	Yes		*
Finance, insurance, real estate and rental and leasing:										
Finance and insurance	266,413	14,691	271,202	9,575	4,789	1.8%	0.45	No		
Real estate and rental and leasing	193,179	12,359	184,143	7,885	-9,036	-4.7%	1.02	No		
Real estate and rental and leasing	73,234	8,581	87,059	5,250	13,825	18.9%	2.27	Yes	*	
Professional, scientific, management, administrative, and waste management services:										
Professional, scientific, and technical services	528,150	21,402	499,401	11,632	-28,749	-5.4%	1.95	No		
Management of companies and enterprises	378,951	17,843	361,461	9,895	-17,490	-4.6%	1.41	No		
Administrative and support and waste management services	1,767	1,712	1,999	1,108	232	13.1%	0.19	No		
Educational, health and social services:	147,432	13,136	135,941	6,890	-11,491	-7.8%	1.28	No		
Educational services	569,567	20,264	604,409	12,695	34,842	6.1%	2.40	Yes	*	
Health care and social assistance	243,271	13,653	247,725	8,321	4,454	1.8%	0.46	No		
Arts, entertainment, recreation, accommodation and food services:	326,296	16,321	356,684	10,313	30,388	9.3%	2.60	Yes	*	
Arts, entertainment, and recreation	265,008	17,751	262,135	9,584	-2,873	-1.1%	0.23	No		
Accommodation and food services	60,676	7,581	67,346	4,705	6,670	11.0%	1.23	No		
Other services (except public administration)	204,332	16,617	194,789	8,668	-9,543	-4.7%	0.84	No		
Public administration	158,363	12,455	156,108	7,411	-2,255	-1.4%	0.26	No		
Public administration	131,695	11,668	119,584	5,937	-12,111	-9.2%	1.53	No		
Total:	3,451,289	27,975	3,254,345	18,500	-196,944	-5.7%	9.69	Yes		*

Note: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff, based on the Census 2000 Supplementary Survey (Table P066) and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table C24030).

Table B.2
Sampling Error for Occupation of the Employed Household Population 16 and Over, Bay Area: 2000 & 2005

	Margin of Error		2005	Margin of Error	Total Change	Percent Change	Z-Score	Significant at 95% Confidence	Statistically-Significant Increase	Statistically-Significant Decrease
Management, professional, and related occupations:	1,486,745	29,299	1,436,251	17,310	-50,494	-3.4%	2.45	Yes		*
Management, business and financial operations occupations:	631,901	22,333	595,669	12,667	-36,232	-5.7%	2.33	Yes		*
Management occupations	429,656	18,259	397,079	10,524	-32,577	-7.6%	2.55	Yes		*
Business and financial operations occupations	202,245	13,235	198,590	7,429	-3,655	-1.8%	0.40	No		
Professional and related occupations:	854,844	22,903	840,582	14,248	-14,262	-1.7%	0.87	No		
Computer and mathematical occupations	190,868	12,213	155,638	6,305	-35,230	-18.5%	4.23	Yes		*
Architecture and engineering occupations	134,991	11,493	119,457	5,746	-15,534	-11.5%	1.99	Yes		*
Life, physical, and social science occupations	52,870	6,445	58,767	4,143	5,897	11.2%	1.27	No		
Community and social services occupations	43,116	5,738	46,175	3,837	3,059	7.1%	0.73	No		
Legal occupations	48,585	5,168	54,048	3,962	5,463	11.2%	1.38	No		
Education, training, and library occupations	163,708	11,812	172,571	6,866	8,863	5.4%	1.07	No		
Arts, design, entertainment, sports and media occupations	88,711	8,225	93,092	5,135	4,381	4.9%	0.75	No		
Healthcare practitioners and technical occupations	131,995	10,286	140,834	6,456	8,839	6.7%	1.20	No		
Service occupations:	461,359	22,681	470,521	11,939	9,162	2.0%	0.59	No		
Healthcare support occupations	44,988	6,644	53,456	4,165	8,468	18.8%	1.78	No		
Protective service occupations	55,469	7,723	50,341	3,912	-5,128	-9.2%	0.98	No		
Food preparation and serving related occupations	148,550	13,583	141,429	7,176	-7,121	-4.8%	0.76	No		
Building and grounds cleaning and maintenance occupations	114,679	13,739	118,739	6,924	4,060	3.5%	0.44	No		
Personal care and service occupations	97,673	10,951	106,556	6,069	8,883	9.1%	1.17	No		
Sales and office occupations:	888,009	28,375	793,046	14,666	-94,963	-10.7%	4.91	Yes		*
Sales and related occupations	372,365	17,725	382,644	11,058	10,279	2.8%	0.81	No		
Office and administrative support occupations	515,644	22,347	410,402	10,950	-105,242	-20.4%	6.98	Yes		*
Farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	15,490	6,674	10,380	1,975	-5,110	-33.0%	1.21	No		
Construction, extraction, and maintenance occupations:	262,891	17,443	263,570	10,264	679	0.3%	0.06	No		
Construction and extraction occupations	160,597	14,763	176,096	8,781	15,499	9.7%	1.49	No		
Installation, maintenance, and repair occupations	102,294	10,876	87,474	5,727	-14,820	-14.5%	1.99	Yes		*
Production, transportation, and material moving occupations:	336,795	18,048	280,577	10,665	-56,218	-16.7%	4.42	Yes		*
Production occupations	192,556	13,828	151,910	8,221	-40,646	-21.1%	4.17	Yes		*
Transportation and material moving occupations	144,239	12,723	128,667	6,908	-15,572	-10.8%	1.77	No		
Total	3,451,289	27,975	3,254,345	18,500	-196,944	-5.7%	9.69	Yes		*

Note: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff, based on the Census 2000 Supplementary Survey (Table P067) and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table C24010).

Table B.3
Sampling Error for Class of the Employed Household Population 16 and Over, Bay Area: 2000 & 2005

	Margin of Error		Margin of Error	Total Change		Percent Change	Significant at 95% Confidence		Statistically-Significant Increase		Statistically-Significant Decrease	
	2000	2005		2000	2005		Z-Score	Confidence	Significant Increase	Significant Decrease		
Private for-profit wage and salary workers:												
Employee of private company workers	2,489,704	33,063	2,276,947	19,817		-8.5%	9.11	Yes				*
Self-employed in own incorporated business workers	2,403,404	32,656	2,178,422	19,754		-9.4%	9.73	Yes				*
Private not-for-profit wage and salary workers												
Local government workers	86,300	8,936	98,525	5,461		14.2%	1.93	No				
State government workers	217,808	14,374	253,515	8,597		16.4%	3.52	Yes				*
Federal government workers	251,089	15,889	244,728	8,127		-2.5%	0.59	No				
Self-employed in own not incorporated business workers	109,063	9,498	99,837	5,266		-8.5%	1.40	No				
Unpaid family workers	76,559	9,023	68,165	4,437		-11.0%	1.38	No				
Total	297,511	16,488	304,890	9,678		2.5%	0.64	No				
	9,555	3,726	6,263	1,218		-34.5%	1.39	No				
	3,451,289	28,619	3,254,345	19,207		-5.7%	9.43	Yes				*

Note: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff, based on the Census 2000 Supplementary Survey (Table P068) and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table C24080).

Table B.4
Sampling Error for Total Means of Transportation to Work
Household Workers by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005

		Three-or-								
		Drive	Two-	More						
County		Alone	Person	Person	Transit	Bicycle	Walk	Other	Worked at Home	TOTAL
Alameda	2000 MOE	3,401	1,533	1,002	1,587	551	855	570	931	3,664
	2005 MOE	9,899	3,695	2,765	5,362	1,503	2,660	1,901	2,724	9,583
	Z-Score	0.74	5.41	4.57	0.71	2.15	0.60	0.63	0.02	3.68
	Sig. at 95%	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Contra Costa	2000 MOE	2,792	1,225	803	1,183	274	484	429	831	2,969
	2005 MOE	8,633	4,884	4,852	4,189	885	1,685	1,209	2,156	8,221
	Z-Score	2.45	0.01	1.78	0.35	0.24	0.23	1.00	1.13	3.29
	Sig. at 95%	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
Marin	2000 MOE	1,434	621	308	668	211	361	213	625	1,519
	2005 MOE	3,332	2,067	1,095	2,141	565	1,160	593	1,954	3,314
	Z-Score	2.55	1.62	0.25	2.85	0.03	0.16	0.07	0.91	4.88
	Sig. at 95%	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Napa	2000 MOE	1,016	467	291	164	134	263	149	324	1,073
	2005 MOE	2,839	959	920	621	419	757	1,252	698	2,439
	Z-Score	3.96	3.97	0.34	1.11	0.39	0.33	2.76	1.59	4.05
	Sig. at 95%	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
San Francisco	2000 MOE	2,223	1,106	629	1,993	545	1,157	550	833	2,687
	2005 MOE	5,564	3,434	1,578	5,719	1,423	3,192	1,300	2,873	4,841
	Z-Score	4.78	4.55	3.30	0.65	1.05	0.51	2.65	2.81	9.61
	Sig. at 95%	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
San Mateo	2000 MOE	2,470	1,118	608	959	302	534	360	683	2,570
	2005 MOE	6,071	3,626	2,163	3,135	734	2,465	1,117	2,498	6,089
	Z-Score	7.70	1.78	0.72	0.83	0.39	0.99	0.35	3.17	7.67
	Sig. at 95%	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Santa Clara	2000 MOE	3,848	1,686	872	1,021	588	680	507	965	3,963
	2005 MOE	10,194	6,320	2,152	3,280	1,661	2,870	1,809	2,888	9,437
	Z-Score	4.45	4.34	4.42	1.87	0.93	2.70	1.25	3.37	7.30
	Sig. at 95%	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Solano	2000 MOE	1,792	826	632	408	156	321	278	445	1,904
	2005 MOE	4,014	2,192	2,072	1,111	393	1,071	668	1,790	4,466
	Z-Score	2.69	2.69	0.19	1.52	0.35	0.65	0.79	0.67	0.64
	Sig. at 95%	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Sonoma	2000 MOE	1,993	871	483	437	248	475	276	666	2,069
	2005 MOE	5,472	2,399	1,092	1,704	686	1,999	1,182	2,690	5,061
	Z-Score	1.60	0.82	3.20	0.56	0.26	1.70	0.95	0.57	1.87
	Sig. at 95%	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No
Bay Area	2000 MOE	7,488	3,348	1,998	3,344	1,123	1,903	1,192	2,190	7,955
	2005 MOE	20,235	10,869	7,107	10,426	3,080	6,440	3,879	7,046	19,274
	Z-Score	4.28	7.86	3.66	2.47	2.11	1.53	0.76	3.75	9.68
	Sig. at 95%	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Transportation Planning Package (CTPP 1-35), Census 2000 Public Use Microdata Sample (5% PUMS), and the American Community Survey 2005 (B08006).

Note: Mode "Other" includes taxi, motorcycle and other. Individual bicycle and walk estimates are interpolated from a combined CTPP table value using the 5% PUMS data.

Table B.5
Sampling Error for Share of Means of Transportation to Work
Household Workers by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005

		Drive Alone	Two- Person Carpool	Three-or- More Person Carpool	Transit	Bicycle	Walk	Other	Worked at Home
Alameda	2000 MOE	0.4%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
	2005 MOE	1.1%	0.6%	0.4%	0.8%	0.2%	0.4%	0.3%	0.4%
	Z-Score	4.30	4.61	4.09	0.00	1.87	0.21	0.38	0.45
	Sig. at 95%	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Contra Costa	2000 MOE	0.4%	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%
	2005 MOE	1.4%	1.1%	1.1%	0.9%	0.2%	0.4%	0.3%	0.5%
	Z-Score	0.31	0.56	1.55	0.95	0.10	0.00	0.75	1.67
	Sig. at 95%	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Marin	2000 MOE	0.8%	0.5%	0.2%	0.5%	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	0.5%
	2005 MOE	2.2%	1.8%	1.0%	1.9%	0.5%	1.0%	0.5%	1.7%
	Z-Score	0.93	0.93	0.07	2.08	0.31	0.25	0.21	1.70
	Sig. at 95%	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Napa	2000 MOE	1.1%	0.8%	0.5%	0.3%	0.2%	0.5%	0.3%	0.6%
	2005 MOE	3.4%	1.5%	1.5%	1.0%	0.7%	1.2%	2.0%	1.1%
	Z-Score	1.80	5.03	0.80	0.89	0.18	0.12	2.67	2.28
	Sig. at 95%	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
San Francisco	2000 MOE	0.5%	0.3%	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%
	2005 MOE	1.4%	0.9%	0.4%	1.4%	0.4%	0.8%	0.3%	0.7%
	Z-Score	1.25	3.37	2.51	2.16	0.38	0.93	1.93	3.68
	Sig. at 95%	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
San Mateo	2000 MOE	0.5%	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%
	2005 MOE	1.3%	1.1%	0.7%	1.0%	0.2%	0.8%	0.3%	0.8%
	Z-Score	2.99	0.46	0.08	0.29	0.06	1.44	0.79	3.94
	Sig. at 95%	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
Santa Clara	2000 MOE	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
	2005 MOE	0.9%	0.8%	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%	0.4%
	Z-Score	1.30	3.27	3.65	1.13	0.46	3.10	1.60	4.18
	Sig. at 95%	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Solano	2000 MOE	0.6%	0.5%	0.4%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%
	2005 MOE	1.2%	1.2%	1.2%	0.6%	0.2%	0.6%	0.4%	1.0%
	Z-Score	4.03	2.89	0.28	1.60	0.38	0.70	0.84	0.62
	Sig. at 95%	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No
Sonoma	2000 MOE	0.6%	0.4%	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.3%
	2005 MOE	1.8%	1.1%	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%	0.9%	0.5%	1.2%
	Z-Score	0.40	0.44	2.99	0.42	0.16	1.85	1.03	0.77
	Sig. at 95%	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Bay Area	2000 MOE	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%
	2005 MOE	0.5%	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%
	Z-Score	2.84	6.25	2.77	0.72	1.48	2.45	1.34	4.90
	Sig. at 95%	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Transportation Planning Package (CTPP 1-35), Census 2000 Public Use Microdata Sample (5% PUMS), and the American Community Survey 2005 (B08006).

Note: Mode "Other" includes taxi, motorcycle and other. Individual bicycle and walk estimates are interpolated from a combined CTPP table value using the 5% PUMS data.

Table B.6

**Sampling Error for Mean Travel Time by Means of Transportation to Work
Household Workers by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005**

County	Year	Drive Alone	Two- Person Carpool	Three-or- More Person Carpool	Transit	Walk	Other	TOTAL
Alameda	2000 MOE	0.3	0.7	1.0	0.7	0.8	1.5	0.2
	2005 MOE	1.5	6.0	10.9	8.0	5.7	12.5	1.1
	Z-Score	4.20	0.47	0.82	0.79	0.02	0.18	5.17
	Sig. at 95%	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
Contra Cost	2000 MOE	0.3	1.1	1.5	1.0	2.0	3.3	0.3
	2005 MOE	2.2	9.9	25.5	13.9	10.1	16.1	1.9
	Z-Score	1.58	0.49	0.20	0.34	0.16	0.81	1.85
	Sig. at 95%	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Marin	2000 MOE	0.6	1.8	4.1	1.7	1.9	7.6	0.6
	2005 MOE	3.1	19.8	40.1	33.1	4.9	37.2	3.2
	Z-Score	1.52	0.02	0.02	0.17	2.16	0.26	1.89
	Sig. at 95%	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
Napa	2000 MOE	0.9	2.9	3.1	12.0	3.0	8.8	0.8
	2005 MOE	4.1	13.2	41.3	116.9	5.4	17.3	3.3
	Z-Score	0.33	1.25	0.18	0.36	1.53	1.09	0.77
	Sig. at 95%	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
San Francis	2000 MOE	0.4	1.0	2.0	0.5	0.6	1.7	0.3
	2005 MOE	2.7	7.9	15.2	4.3	3.5	7.6	1.4
	Z-Score	1.26	1.13	0.15	0.48	0.73	0.61	2.13
	Sig. at 95%	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
San Mateo	2000 MOE	0.3	0.7	1.6	1.2	2.0	3.0	0.3
	2005 MOE	1.6	6.0	17.9	12.8	7.7	27.2	1.5
	Z-Score	2.06	1.02	0.16	0.54	0.86	0.29	2.23
	Sig. at 95%	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
Santa Clara	2000 MOE	0.2	0.5	1.2	1.5	1.0	1.4	0.2
	2005 MOE	1.1	6.5	11.9	15.8	4.6	8.1	0.9
	Z-Score	3.66	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.87	0.69	4.36
	Sig. at 95%	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
Solano	2000 MOE	0.6	1.6	2.2	4.8	2.3	5.7	0.5
	2005 MOE	2.8	14.8	21.9	49.7	10.5	36.5	2.7
	Z-Score	1.34	0.16	0.30	0.26	0.40	0.08	1.64
	Sig. at 95%	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Sonoma	2000 MOE	0.5	1.5	3.1	4.5	1.1	4.6	0.4
	2005 MOE	2.2	8.7	23.7	53.8	5.6	16.8	2.1
	Z-Score	1.13	0.84	0.33	0.40	0.14	1.16	2.12
	Sig. at 95%	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
Bay Area	2000 MOE	0.1	0.3	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.9	0.1
	2005 MOE	0.6	3.0	7.6	3.7	2.1	5.1	0.5
	Z-Score	6.10	1.16	0.01	1.22	1.54	1.03	7.55
	Sig. at 95%	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Public Use Microdata Sample (5% PUMS), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Tables B08013 and B08006).

Note: Mode "Other" includes bicycle, taxi, motorcycle and other.

Table B.7
Sampling Error for Travel Time to Work
Household Workers by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005

		One-Way Commute Duration, in Minutes							TOTAL
County		0 to 9	10 to 19	20 to 29	30 to 44	45 to 59	60 to 89	90+	
Alameda	2000 MOE	2,138	3,623	3,069	3,389	2,480	2,321	1,415	5,589
	2005 MOE	4,765	8,575	6,839	7,170	4,580	3,833	1,666	9,416
	Z-Score	0.58	0.71	2.17	1.54	3.00	8.22	10.02	3.31
	Sig. at 95%	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Contra Costa	2000 MOE	1,826	2,770	2,295	2,589	2,051	2,188	1,423	4,523
	2005 MOE	4,003	6,164	4,917	5,178	4,132	4,973	2,547	7,725
	Z-Score	1.51	1.63	2.48	1.17	0.95	0.14	2.61	3.95
	Sig. at 95%	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Marin	2000 MOE	1,042	1,461	1,127	1,372	1,149	1,062	668	2,319
	2005 MOE	2,128	2,594	2,047	2,750	1,638	1,726	1,002	3,509
	Z-Score	0.20	1.38	0.02	0.28	2.63	4.11	3.14	4.81
	Sig. at 95%	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Napa	2000 MOE	953	1,153	811	849	529	526	408	1,636
	2005 MOE	2,135	2,453	1,555	1,891	1,255	910	841	2,577
	Z-Score	0.70	1.90	0.31	1.12	1.57	0.91	0.38	3.43
	Sig. at 95%	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
San Francisco	2000 MOE	1,360	2,640	2,568	2,869	1,895	1,711	909	4,120
	2005 MOE	3,122	5,241	4,624	5,575	3,338	2,801	1,437	5,257
	Z-Score	0.26	1.76	1.46	2.28	3.23	3.27	3.45	9.06
	Sig. at 95%	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
San Mateo	2000 MOE	1,630	2,702	2,374	2,497	1,628	1,275	745	3,927
	2005 MOE	3,249	6,136	4,413	4,656	2,652	2,063	920	6,167
	Z-Score	1.42	1.22	2.05	3.89	3.59	2.16	4.25	7.56
	Sig. at 95%	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Santa Clara	2000 MOE	2,327	4,195	3,910	3,813	2,306	1,834	1,107	6,057
	2005 MOE	6,016	9,195	7,421	7,826	3,395	3,175	1,559	9,628
	Z-Score	2.73	0.86	0.90	5.76	9.02	4.33	2.96	7.91
	Sig. at 95%	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Solano	2000 MOE	1,314	1,888	1,472	1,520	1,223	1,240	909	2,904
	2005 MOE	2,668	4,039	3,802	3,198	2,356	2,685	1,503	4,668
	Z-Score	1.37	0.10	0.05	1.23	0.95	0.44	2.91	0.04
	Sig. at 95%	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No
Sonoma	2000 MOE	1,648	2,244	1,738	1,637	1,030	1,140	956	3,155
	2005 MOE	3,617	4,795	3,842	2,782	2,544	1,773	1,479	5,924
	Z-Score	0.84	0.32	0.21	1.49	0.45	2.32	2.42	1.78
	Sig. at 95%	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No
Bay Area	2000 MOE	4,935	8,053	7,040	7,417	5,111	4,736	3,002	12,145
	2005 MOE	11,159	17,699	14,237	14,877	9,167	8,704	4,557	19,559
	Z-Score	3.51	0.39	0.23	3.81	7.26	8.41	10.92	9.93
	Sig. at 95%	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Public Use Microdata Sample (5% PUMS), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table B08012).

Values are for household workers not working at home.

Table B.8
Sampling Error for Share of Travel Time to Work
Household Workers by County of Residence: 2000 & 2005

		One-Way Commute Duration, in Minutes						
County		0 to 9	10 to 19	20 to 29	30 to 44	45 to 59	60 to 89	90+
Alameda	2000 MOE	0.3%	0.5%	0.4%	0.5%	0.4%	0.3%	0.2%
	2005 MOE	1.2%	2.1%	1.7%	1.8%	1.2%	1.0%	0.4%
	Z-Score	0.76	1.16	2.04	1.76	1.49	5.03	6.75
	Sig. at 95%	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
Contra Costa	2000 MOE	0.4%	0.6%	0.5%	0.6%	0.5%	0.5%	0.3%
	2005 MOE	1.5%	2.2%	1.8%	1.8%	1.5%	1.8%	0.9%
	Z-Score	0.49	0.27	0.98	0.04	0.01	0.50	2.18
	Sig. at 95%	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
Marin	2000 MOE	0.9%	1.2%	0.9%	1.1%	1.0%	0.9%	0.6%
	2005 MOE	3.3%	3.9%	3.2%	4.2%	2.5%	2.7%	1.6%
	Z-Score	0.78	0.17	0.78	1.13	0.87	2.01	1.64
	Sig. at 95%	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No
Napa	2000 MOE	1.6%	1.9%	1.4%	1.5%	1.0%	0.9%	0.7%
	2005 MOE	5.6%	6.2%	4.1%	5.0%	3.4%	2.4%	2.3%
	Z-Score	0.15	0.44	0.38	0.19	0.71	0.99	0.50
	Sig. at 95%	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
San Francisco	2000 MOE	0.3%	0.6%	0.6%	0.7%	0.5%	0.4%	0.2%
	2005 MOE	1.4%	2.3%	2.1%	2.5%	1.5%	1.3%	0.7%
	Z-Score	0.80	0.40	0.68	0.27	1.01	1.11	1.76
	Sig. at 95%	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
San Mateo	2000 MOE	0.5%	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.5%	0.4%	0.2%
	2005 MOE	1.7%	3.2%	2.3%	2.4%	1.4%	1.1%	0.5%
	Z-Score	1.91	0.77	0.20	0.97	1.32	0.59	2.41
	Sig. at 95%	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
Santa Clara	2000 MOE	0.3%	0.5%	0.5%	0.4%	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%
	2005 MOE	1.3%	1.9%	1.5%	1.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.3%
	Z-Score	2.48	2.37	1.23	2.26	5.05	2.09	1.47
	Sig. at 95%	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Solano	2000 MOE	0.8%	1.0%	0.8%	0.9%	0.7%	0.7%	0.5%
	2005 MOE	2.5%	3.8%	3.7%	3.0%	2.3%	2.6%	1.5%
	Z-Score	0.92	0.08	0.02	0.83	0.62	0.28	1.95
	Sig. at 95%	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Sonoma	2000 MOE	0.7%	1.0%	0.8%	0.7%	0.5%	0.5%	0.4%
	2005 MOE	2.8%	3.6%	3.0%	2.1%	2.0%	1.4%	1.2%
	Z-Score	0.89	0.29	0.20	0.63	0.46	1.31	1.42
	Sig. at 95%	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Bay Area	2000 MOE	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%
	2005 MOE	0.6%	0.9%	0.7%	0.8%	0.5%	0.5%	0.2%
	Z-Score	3.42	2.41	2.09	0.51	3.43	4.31	6.50
	Sig. at 95%	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Public Use Microdata Sample (5% PUMS), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table B08012).

Values are for household workers not working at home.

Table C.1**Occupied and Total Dwelling Units by Place over 65,000 Population: 2000 & 2005**

Place	Year	Total Housing Units	Occupied Housing Units	Vacant Housing Units	Vacancy	Vacancy	Owner- Occupied Units	Renter- Occupied Units	Overall Vacancy Rate
					Rate, Owner- Occupied Units	Rate, Renter- Occupied Units			
Alameda	2000	31,644	30,226	1,418	1.2%	1.8%	14,486	15,740	4.5%
	2005	32,445	29,706	2,739	-	-	15,492	14,214	8.4%
Antioch	2000	30,166	29,366	800	1.5%	3.8%	20,817	8,521	2.7%
	2005	34,130	32,575	1,555	-	-	22,955	9,620	4.6%
Berkeley	2000	46,875	44,955	1,920	1.3%	2.8%	19,214	25,741	4.1%
	2005	46,481	44,260	2,221	-	-	19,185	25,075	4.8%
Concord	2000	44,967	43,949	1,018	0.8%	2.7%	27,542	16,478	2.3%
	2005	45,709	42,828	2,881	-	-	26,388	16,440	6.3%
Daly City	2000	31,253	30,727	526	0.3%	2.0%	18,396	12,379	1.7%
	2005	32,950	30,911	2,039	-	-	16,896	14,015	6.2%
Fairfield	2000	31,867	30,972	895	1.0%	3.9%	18,415	12,455	2.8%
	2005	36,762	32,991	3,771	-	-	19,294	13,697	10.3%
Fremont	2000	69,452	68,237	1,215	0.8%	1.9%	44,033	24,204	1.7%
	2005	70,141	68,287	1,854	-	-	45,809	22,478	2.6%
Hayward	2000	45,960	44,902	1,058	1.1%	2.3%	23,824	20,980	2.3%
	2005	47,468	44,255	3,213	-	-	25,676	18,579	6.8%
Livermore	2000	26,550	26,065	485	0.6%	2.0%	18,851	7,272	1.8%
	2005	30,612	29,250	1,362	-	-	20,432	8,818	4.4%
Mountain View	2000	32,437	31,159	1,278	0.7%	1.9%	12,957	18,285	3.9%
	2005	33,962	32,015	1,947	-	-	12,581	19,434	5.7%
Napa	2000	27,758	26,965	793	1.4%	1.4%	16,362	10,616	2.9%
	2005	30,213	28,617	1,596	-	-	17,451	11,166	5.3%
Oakland	2000	157,505	150,787	6,718	2.0%	3.0%	62,489	88,301	4.3%
	2005	161,419	146,282	15,137	1.1%	8.0%	67,543	78,739	9.4%
Pleasanton	2000	23,987	23,317	670	0.9%	2.5%	17,099	6,212	2.8%
	2005	24,026	23,272	754	-	-	17,286	5,986	3.1%
Redwood City	2000	28,928	28,095	833	0.9%	2.1%	14,861	13,199	2.9%
	2005	29,799	28,454	1,345	-	-	15,576	12,878	4.5%
Richmond	2000	36,151	34,705	1,446	1.8%	3.8%	18,463	16,162	4.0%
	2005	37,806	33,603	4,203	-	-	20,416	13,187	11.1%
San Francisco	2000	346,527	329,700	16,827	1.3%	2.7%	115,391	214,309	4.9%
	2005	354,963	322,399	32,564	0.7%	5.9%	122,603	199,796	9.2%
San Jose	2000	281,706	276,417	5,289	0.6%	1.9%	170,950	105,648	1.9%
	2005	299,650	288,339	11,311	0.6%	4.3%	175,828	112,511	3.8%
San Leandro	2000	31,300	30,616	684	1.0%	1.9%	18,569	12,073	2.2%
	2005	30,471	28,889	1,582	-	-	18,327	10,562	5.2%
San Mateo	2000	38,236	37,318	918	0.6%	1.8%	20,119	17,219	2.4%
	2005	39,436	37,861	1,575	-	-	20,953	16,908	4.0%
Santa Clara	2000	39,602	38,500	1,102	0.6%	1.6%	17,763	20,763	2.8%
	2005	40,096	39,246	850	-	-	19,913	19,333	2.1%
Santa Rosa	2000	57,514	56,063	1,451	0.8%	1.8%	32,798	23,238	2.5%
	2005	62,522	59,485	3,037	-	-	33,232	26,253	4.9%
Sunnyvale	2000	53,750	52,534	1,216	0.6%	1.5%	25,024	27,515	2.3%
	2005	54,789	52,135	2,654	-	-	25,604	26,531	4.8%

Notes: Based on Census 2000 SF3 (Tables H4, H6, and H8), and the ACS 2005 (Tables B25002-B25004). See Table 1.7 for vacancy rate calculations.

- Unavailable due to too few samples.

Table C.1 (continued)

Occupied and Total Dwelling Units by Place over 65,000 Population: 2000 & 2005

Place	Year	Total Housing Units	Occupied Housing Units	Vacant Housing Units	Vacancy	Vacancy	Owner- Occupied Dwelling Units	Renter- Occupied Dwelling Units	Overall Vacancy Rate
					Rate, Owner- Occupied Units	Rate, Renter- Occupied Units			
Union City	2000	18,862	18,628	234	0.7%	1.3%	13,291	5,351	1.2%
	2005	19,500	18,850	650	-	-	12,938	5,912	3.3%
Vacaville	2000	28,675	28,111	564	0.8%	2.8%	18,757	9,348	2.0%
	2005	31,191	28,999	2,192	-	-	18,887	10,112	7.0%
Vallejo	2000	41,161	39,560	1,601	1.7%	4.5%	25,020	14,581	3.9%
	2005	44,427	40,196	4,231	2.0%	12.3%	27,606	12,590	9.5%

Notes: Based on Census 2000 SF3 (Tables H4, H6, and H8), and the ACS 2005 (Tables B25002-B25004). See Table 1.7 for vacancy rate calculations.

- Unavailable due to too few samples.

Table C.2
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race for Household Population by Place of Residence over 65,000 Population: 2000 & 2005

	Not Hispanic or Latino													
Place	American Indian and Alaska Native						Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander			Total Not Hispanic or Latino	Hispanic or Latino	Diversity Index		
	White Alone	Black or African American Alone	Asian Alone	Some Other Race Alone	Two or More Races									
Alameda	2000	39,111	4,299	308	18,388	424	173	2,466	65,169	6,013	71,182			
	% of Tot.	54.9%	6.0%	0.4%	25.8%	0.6%	0.2%	3.5%	91.6%	8.4%	100.0%		0.740	
	2005	36,743	3,118	213	21,505	0	480	3,155	65,214	11,844	77,058			
	% of Tot.	47.7%	4.0%	0.3%	27.9%	0.0%	0.6%	4.1%	84.6%	15.4%	100.0%		0.793	
Antioch	2000	52,605	8,752	583	6,417	321	163	2,838	71,679	18,437	90,116			
	% of Tot.	58.4%	9.7%	0.6%	7.1%	0.4%	0.2%	3.1%	79.5%	20.5%	100.0%		0.738	
	2005	42,930	16,495	140	6,837	124	0	2,512	69,038	34,301	103,339			
	% of Tot.	41.5%	16.0%	0.1%	6.6%	0.1%	0.0%	2.4%	66.8%	33.2%	100.0%		0.808	
Berkeley	2000	56,192	13,245	230	14,728	89	469	3,328	88,281	8,640	96,921			
	% of Tot.	58.0%	13.7%	0.2%	15.2%	0.1%	0.5%	3.4%	91.1%	8.9%	100.0%		0.760	
	2005	55,148	10,778	122	12,522	0	323	3,073	81,966	8,466	90,432			
	% of Tot.	61.0%	11.9%	0.1%	13.8%	0.0%	0.4%	3.4%	90.6%	9.4%	100.0%		0.731	
Concord	2000	75,665	3,512	638	11,079	550	248	3,772	95,464	24,962	120,426			
	% of Tot.	62.8%	2.9%	0.5%	9.2%	0.5%	0.2%	3.1%	79.3%	20.7%	100.0%		0.667	
	2005	64,358	2,935	98	13,584	950	252	3,833	86,010	30,772	116,782			
	% of Tot.	55.1%	2.5%	0.1%	11.6%	0.8%	0.2%	3.3%	73.7%	26.3%	100.0%		0.715	
Daly City	2000	19,082	4,634	191	52,158	933	347	3,322	80,667	22,164	102,831			
	% of Tot.	18.6%	4.5%	0.2%	50.7%	0.9%	0.3%	3.2%	78.4%	21.6%	100.0%		0.775	
	2005	14,223	2,742	0	52,625	365	609	1,318	71,882	21,631	93,513			
	% of Tot.	15.2%	2.9%	0.0%	56.3%	0.4%	0.7%	1.4%	76.9%	23.1%	100.0%		0.703	
Fairfield	2000	47,390	13,992	542	9,456	878	174	3,236	75,668	16,281	91,949			
	% of Tot.	51.5%	15.2%	0.6%	10.3%	1.0%	0.2%	3.5%	82.3%	17.7%	100.0%		0.818	
	2005	40,994	15,004	538	15,481	246	848	3,744	76,855	25,787	102,642			
	% of Tot.	39.9%	14.6%	0.5%	15.1%	0.2%	0.8%	3.6%	74.9%	25.1%	100.0%		0.890	
Fremont	2000	87,270	6,097	656	74,284	788	405	7,249	176,749	24,905	201,654			
	% of Tot.	43.3%	3.0%	0.3%	36.8%	0.4%	0.2%	3.6%	87.6%	12.4%	100.0%		0.762	
	2005	64,410	4,266	748	104,547	1,607	1,443	4,144	181,165	29,222	210,387			
	% of Tot.	30.6%	2.0%	0.4%	49.7%	0.8%	0.7%	2.0%	86.1%	13.9%	100.0%		0.725	

Notes: Based on Census 2000 SF2 (Table PCT7), and the ACS 2005 (Table C03002). Diversity index explained on Page 13. ~Estimated using Census SF1 (Table P4) and SF2 (PCT7) data.

Table C.2 (continued)
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race for Household Population by Place of Residence over 65,000 Population: 2000 & 2005

Place		Not Hispanic or Latino										Hispanic or Latino	TOTAL	Diversity Index	
		American Indian and Alaska Native Alone					Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone								Total Not Hispanic or Latino
		White Alone	Black or African American Alone	Asian Alone	Native American Alone	Some Other Race Alone	Two or More Races								
Hayward	2000	41,939	14,939	585	25,697	2,560	607	5,525	91,852	46,040	137,892	0.896			
	% of Tot.	30.4%	10.8%	0.4%	18.6%	1.9%	0.4%	4.0%	66.6%	33.4%	100.0%				
	2005	28,806	20,108	1,124	28,541	2,074	1,687	4,291	86,631	48,843	135,474	0.914			
Livermore	% of Tot.	21.3%	14.8%	0.8%	21.1%	1.5%	1.2%	3.2%	63.9%	36.1%	100.0%				
	2000	56,096	1,130	338	3,981	186	167	1,733	63,631	9,513	73,144	0.499			
	% of Tot.	76.7%	1.5%	0.5%	5.4%	0.3%	0.2%	2.4%	87.0%	13.0%	100.0%				
Mountain View	2005	55,834	527	0	9,845	288	461	5,253	72,208	14,846	87,054	0.650			
	% of Tot.	64.1%	0.6%	0.0%	11.3%	0.3%	0.5%	6.0%	82.9%	17.1%	100.0%				
	2000	39,911	1,661	160	14,178	158	162	1,594	57,824	12,380	70,204	0.707			
Napa	% of Tot.	56.9%	2.4%	0.2%	20.2%	0.2%	0.2%	2.3%	82.4%	17.6%	100.0%				
	2005	32,443	1,521	666	19,224	308	956	2,701	57,819	11,608	69,427	0.788			
	% of Tot.	46.7%	2.2%	1.0%	27.7%	0.4%	1.4%	3.9%	83.3%	16.7%	100.0%				
Oakland	2000	49,508	214	389	1,088	~92	~94	1,177	52,562	18,564	71,126	0.482			
	% of Tot.	69.6%	0.3%	0.5%	1.5%	0.1%	0.1%	1.7%	73.9%	26.1%	100.0%				
	2005	45,875	1,111	466	616	0	0	1,832	49,900	23,185	73,085	0.540			
Pleasanton	% of Tot.	62.8%	1.5%	0.6%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%	68.3%	31.7%	100.0%				
	2000	95,304	137,951	1,407	59,392	1,836	980	10,762	307,632	84,677	392,309	0.899			
	% of Tot.	24.3%	35.2%	0.4%	15.1%	0.5%	0.2%	2.7%	78.4%	21.6%	100.0%				
Redwood City	2005	88,319	113,833	1,734	60,702	3,426	3,013	9,301	280,328	93,582	373,910	0.917			
	% of Tot.	23.6%	30.4%	0.5%	16.2%	0.9%	0.8%	2.5%	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%				
	2000	49,456	856	130	7,317	82	75	1,123	59,039	4,380	63,419	0.477			
San Jose	% of Tot.	78.0%	1.3%	0.2%	11.5%	0.1%	0.1%	1.8%	93.1%	6.9%	100.0%				
	2005	45,333	1,318	511	12,084	0	0	1,208	60,454	6,564	67,018	0.604			
	% of Tot.	67.6%	2.0%	0.8%	18.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	90.2%	9.8%	100.0%				
Santa Clara	2000	41,102	1,467	152	6,344	596	125	1,279	51,065	22,410	73,475	0.665			
	% of Tot.	55.9%	2.0%	0.2%	8.6%	0.8%	0.2%	1.7%	69.5%	30.5%	100.0%				
	2005	38,203	209	275	8,354	953	0	1,262	49,256	31,939	81,195	0.659			
Santa Cruz	% of Tot.	47.1%	0.3%	0.3%	10.3%	1.2%	0.0%	1.6%	60.7%	39.3%	100.0%				

Notes: Based on Census 2000 SF2 (Table PCT7), and the ACS 2005 (Table C03002). Diversity index explained on Page 13. ~Estimated using Census SF1 (Table P4) and SF2 (PCT7) data.

Table C.2 (continued)
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race for Household Population by Place of Residence over 65,000 Population: 2000 & 2005

Place		Not Hispanic or Latino										TOTAL	Diversity Index
		Black or African American Alone		American Indian and Alaska Native Alone		Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone		Some Other Race Alone		Two or More Races			
Richmond	2000	21,435	34,906	331	11,997	446	340	2,671	72,126	25,462	97,588	0.888	
	% of Tot.	22.0%	35.8%	0.3%	12.3%	0.5%	0.3%	2.7%	73.9%	26.1%	100.0%		
	2005	19,653	27,472	116	14,010	0	473	2,257	63,981	32,667	96,648		
San Francisco	% of Tot.	20.3%	28.4%	0.1%	14.5%	0.0%	0.5%	2.3%	66.2%	33.8%	100.0%	0.890	
	2000	340,040	54,667	1,784	233,363	3,378	2,070	19,029	654,331	102,645	756,976		
	% of Tot.	44.9%	7.2%	0.2%	30.8%	0.4%	0.3%	2.5%	86.4%	13.6%	100.0%		0.801
San Jose	2005	315,374	45,808	1,836	237,638	2,726	1,676	15,128	620,186	98,891	719,077	0.790	
	% of Tot.	43.9%	6.4%	0.3%	33.0%	0.4%	0.2%	2.1%	86.2%	13.8%	100.0%		
	2000	332,478	29,236	2,959	236,066	3,030	1,302	21,074	626,145	257,934	884,079		0.805
San Leandro	% of Tot.	37.6%	3.3%	0.3%	26.7%	0.3%	0.1%	2.4%	70.8%	29.2%	100.0%	0.810	
	2005	281,822	27,446	2,567	269,186	3,043	5,522	18,324	607,910	279,420	887,330		
	% of Tot.	31.8%	3.1%	0.3%	30.3%	0.3%	0.6%	2.1%	68.5%	31.5%	100.0%		0.850
San Mateo	2000	34,587	7,557	402	17,822	666	126	2,487	63,647	14,978	78,625	0.883	
	% of Tot.	44.0%	9.6%	0.5%	22.7%	0.8%	0.2%	3.2%	81.0%	19.0%	100.0%		
	2005	28,319	9,427	0	19,918	369	269	2,338	60,640	16,991	77,631		0.700
Santa Clara	% of Tot.	36.5%	12.1%	0.0%	25.7%	0.5%	0.3%	3.0%	78.1%	21.9%	100.0%	0.742	
	2000	53,212	2,177	204	13,571	1,403	271	2,266	73,104	18,062	91,166		
	% of Tot.	58.4%	2.4%	0.2%	14.9%	1.5%	0.3%	2.5%	80.2%	19.8%	100.0%		0.743
Santa Rosa	2005	48,282	2,253	0	20,238	1,323	57	2,671	74,824	18,657	93,481	0.751	
	% of Tot.	51.6%	2.4%	0.0%	21.6%	1.4%	0.1%	2.9%	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%		
	2000	49,356	2,244	260	29,201	395	223	2,992	84,671	14,903	99,574		0.530
Santa Rosa	% of Tot.	49.6%	2.3%	0.3%	29.3%	0.4%	0.2%	3.0%	85.0%	15.0%	100.0%	0.615	
	2005	36,564	1,579	65	40,359	494	378	1,805	81,244	20,960	102,204		
	% of Tot.	35.8%	1.5%	0.1%	39.5%	0.5%	0.4%	1.8%	79.5%	20.5%	100.0%		0.530
Santa Rosa	2000	104,751	2,723	1,389	5,189	330	216	3,119	117,717	26,072	143,789	0.530	
	% of Tot.	72.9%	1.9%	1.0%	3.6%	0.2%	0.2%	2.2%	81.9%	18.1%	100.0%		
	2005	94,697	3,311	1,044	7,213	807	566	3,730	111,368	35,132	146,500		0.615
Santa Rosa	% of Tot.	64.6%	2.3%	0.7%	4.9%	0.6%	0.4%	2.5%	76.0%	24.0%	100.0%	0.615	

Notes: Based on Census 2000 SF2 (Table PCT7), and the ACS 2005 (Table C03002). Diversity index explained on Page 13. ~Estimated using Census SF1 (Table P4) and SF2 (PCT7) data.

Table C.2 (continued)
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race for Household Population by Place of Residence over 65,000 Population: 2000 & 2005

Place	Not Hispanic or Latino											Diversity Index
	American Indian and Alaska Native Alone			Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone			Some Other Race Alone			Total Not Hispanic or Latino	TOTAL	
Sunnvale	2000	63,244	2,778	4,504	117	28,800	566	190	2,638	111,534	19,351	130,885
	% of Tot.	48.3%	2.1%	6.8%	0.2%	43.3%	0.9%	0.3%	4.0%	85.2%	14.8%	100.0%
Union City	2005	51,272	4,093	212	50,272	1,053	484	3,298	3,298	110,684	22,041	132,725
	% of Tot.	38.6%	3.1%	0.2%	37.9%	0.8%	0.4%	2.5%	2.5%	83.4%	16.6%	100.0%
Vacaville	2000	14,481	4,504	117	28,800	566	190	2,638	51,296	15,231	66,527	66,527
	% of Tot.	21.8%	6.8%	0.2%	43.3%	0.9%	0.3%	4.0%	77.1%	22.9%	100.0%	100.0%
Vallejo	2005	9,856	5,568	52	29,804	526	99	1,294	47,199	18,040	65,239	65,239
	% of Tot.	15.1%	8.5%	0.1%	45.7%	0.8%	0.2%	2.0%	72.3%	27.7%	100.0%	100.0%
	2000	54,621	5,651	565	3,048	405	125	2,492	66,907	12,500	79,407	79,407
	% of Tot.	68.8%	7.1%	0.7%	3.8%	0.5%	0.2%	3.1%	84.3%	15.7%	100.0%	100.0%
	2005	54,596	4,399	218	4,934	309	0	5,334	69,790	11,327	81,117	81,117
	% of Tot.	67.3%	5.4%	0.3%	6.1%	0.4%	0.0%	6.6%	86.0%	14.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	2000	36,456	27,661	594	27,714	1,269	218	3,765	97,677	17,338	115,015	115,015
	% of Tot.	31.7%	24.0%	0.5%	24.1%	1.1%	0.2%	3.3%	84.9%	15.1%	100.0%	100.0%
	2005	29,917	30,892	420	25,675	2,164	867	3,271	93,206	22,451	115,657	115,657
	% of Tot.	25.9%	26.7%	0.4%	22.2%	1.9%	0.7%	2.8%	80.6%	19.4%	100.0%	100.0%

Notes: Based on Census 2000 SF2 (Table PCT7), and the ACS 2005 (Table C03002). Diversity index explained on Page 13. ~Estimated using Census SF1 (Table P4) and SF2 (PCT7) data.

Table C.3**Households by Vehicle Availability by Place of Residence over 65,000 Population: 2000 & 2005**

Place		No Vehicle	One Vehicle	Two Vehicles	Three Vehicles	Four Vehicles	Five-Plus Vehicles	TOTAL
Alameda	2000	2,834	12,884	10,715	2,861	589	343	30,226
	% of Tot.	9.4%	42.6%	35.4%	9.5%	1.9%	1.1%	100.0%
	2005	2,455	11,472	11,233	2,879	1,015	652	29,706
	% of Tot.	8.3%	38.6%	37.8%	9.7%	3.4%	2.2%	100.0%
Antioch	2000	1,592	7,857	12,659	5,185	1,546	527	29,366
	% of Tot.	5.4%	26.8%	43.1%	17.7%	5.3%	1.8%	100.0%
	2005	1,476	7,861	13,715	7,082	1,542	899	32,575
	% of Tot.	4.5%	24.1%	42.1%	21.7%	4.7%	2.8%	100.0%
Berkeley	2000	7,649	20,290	13,060	3,026	616	314	44,955
	% of Tot.	17.0%	45.1%	29.1%	6.7%	1.4%	0.7%	100.0%
	2005	7,064	19,778	12,894	3,857	383	284	44,260
	% of Tot.	16.0%	44.7%	29.1%	8.7%	0.9%	0.6%	100.0%
Concord	2000	3,338	14,258	17,417	5,929	2,299	708	43,949
	% of Tot.	7.6%	32.4%	39.6%	13.5%	5.2%	1.6%	100.0%
	2005	2,509	13,725	17,299	6,288	2,256	751	42,828
	% of Tot.	5.9%	32.0%	40.4%	14.7%	5.3%	1.8%	100.0%
Daly City	2000	2,486	10,234	10,861	4,582	1,958	606	30,727
	% of Tot.	8.1%	33.3%	35.3%	14.9%	6.4%	2.0%	100.0%
	2005	2,984	10,820	10,038	5,270	1,188	611	30,911
	% of Tot.	9.7%	35.0%	32.5%	17.0%	3.8%	2.0%	100.0%
Fairfield	2000	2,156	9,320	12,356	4,989	1,599	552	30,972
	% of Tot.	7.0%	30.1%	39.9%	16.1%	5.2%	1.8%	100.0%
	2005	1,833	8,017	12,199	8,020	2,112	810	32,991
	% of Tot.	5.6%	24.3%	37.0%	24.3%	6.4%	2.5%	100.0%
Fremont	2000	3,109	17,825	30,289	11,950	3,669	1,395	68,237
	% of Tot.	4.6%	26.1%	44.4%	17.5%	5.4%	2.0%	100.0%
	2005	2,295	16,862	30,768	13,379	3,906	1,077	68,287
	% of Tot.	3.4%	24.7%	45.1%	19.6%	5.7%	1.6%	100.0%
Hayward	2000	3,555	14,655	17,206	6,414	2,216	856	44,902
	% of Tot.	7.9%	32.6%	38.3%	14.3%	4.9%	1.9%	100.0%
	2005	2,102	11,947	18,425	7,880	2,899	1,002	44,255
	% of Tot.	4.7%	27.0%	41.6%	17.8%	6.6%	2.3%	100.0%
Livermore	2000	1,102	6,378	11,825	4,843	1,340	577	26,065
	% of Tot.	4.2%	24.5%	45.4%	18.6%	5.1%	2.2%	100.0%
	2005	467	6,651	12,722	6,446	2,153	811	29,250
	% of Tot.	1.6%	22.7%	43.5%	22.0%	7.4%	2.8%	100.0%
Mountain View	2000	1,788	13,046	12,180	3,087	727	331	31,159
	% of Tot.	5.7%	41.9%	39.1%	9.9%	2.3%	1.1%	100.0%
	2005	1,270	15,156	11,918	2,562	638	471	32,015
	% of Tot.	4.0%	47.3%	37.2%	8.0%	2.0%	1.5%	100.0%
Napa	2000	2,050	8,876	11,001	3,615	1,026	397	26,965
	% of Tot.	7.6%	32.9%	40.8%	13.4%	3.8%	1.5%	100.0%
	2005	1,582	8,909	12,336	3,978	1,447	365	28,617
	% of Tot.	5.5%	31.1%	43.1%	13.9%	5.1%	1.3%	100.0%
Oakland	2000	29,584	63,238	41,164	11,857	3,286	1,658	150,787
	% of Tot.	19.6%	41.9%	27.3%	7.9%	2.2%	1.1%	100.0%
	2005	23,906	60,367	43,265	11,681	5,551	1,512	146,282
	% of Tot.	16.3%	41.3%	29.6%	8.0%	3.8%	1.0%	100.0%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Summary File 3 (Table H44) and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table B25044).

Table C.3 (continued)

Households by Vehicle Availability by Place of Residence over 65,000 Population: 2000 & 2005

Place		No Vehicle	One Vehicle	Two Vehicles	Three Vehicles	Four Vehicles	Five-Plus Vehicles	TOTAL
Pleasanton	2000	684	5,709	11,406	3,986	1,129	403	23,317
	% of Tot.	2.9%	24.5%	48.9%	17.1%	4.8%	1.7%	100.0%
	2005	387	5,875	11,075	4,173	1,408	354	23,272
Redwood City	% of Tot.	1.7%	25.2%	47.6%	17.9%	6.1%	1.5%	100.0%
	2000	2,011	9,598	11,090	3,608	1,265	523	28,095
	% of Tot.	7.2%	34.2%	39.5%	12.8%	4.5%	1.9%	100.0%
Richmond	2005	1,338	8,493	11,796	4,859	1,295	673	28,454
	% of Tot.	4.7%	29.8%	41.5%	17.1%	4.6%	2.4%	100.0%
	2000	4,476	13,771	11,041	3,798	1,174	445	34,705
San Francisco	% of Tot.	12.9%	39.7%	31.8%	10.9%	3.4%	1.3%	100.0%
	2005	3,612	12,007	12,170	4,334	1,010	470	33,603
	% of Tot.	10.7%	35.7%	36.2%	12.9%	3.0%	1.4%	100.0%
San Jose	2000	94,178	138,526	73,017	17,582	4,282	2,115	329,700
	% of Tot.	28.6%	42.0%	22.1%	5.3%	1.3%	0.6%	100.0%
	2005	100,886	129,923	70,144	14,944	5,086	1,416	322,399
San Leandro	% of Tot.	31.3%	40.3%	21.8%	4.6%	1.6%	0.4%	100.0%
	2000	16,885	74,552	112,341	46,884	17,392	8,363	276,417
	% of Tot.	6.1%	27.0%	40.6%	17.0%	6.3%	3.0%	100.0%
San Mateo	2005	14,772	80,807	117,401	50,158	16,509	8,692	288,339
	% of Tot.	5.1%	28.0%	40.7%	17.4%	5.7%	3.0%	100.0%
	2000	2,850	10,836	11,193	4,146	1,084	507	30,616
Santa Clara	% of Tot.	9.3%	35.4%	36.6%	13.5%	3.5%	1.7%	100.0%
	2005	2,631	9,048	11,189	4,209	1,640	172	28,889
	% of Tot.	9.1%	31.3%	38.7%	14.6%	5.7%	0.6%	100.0%
Santa Rosa	2000	2,655	13,709	14,411	4,649	1,378	516	37,318
	% of Tot.	7.1%	36.7%	38.6%	12.5%	3.7%	1.4%	100.0%
	2005	2,554	14,282	14,494	5,022	999	510	37,861
Sunnyvale	% of Tot.	6.7%	37.7%	38.3%	13.3%	2.6%	1.3%	100.0%
	2000	2,360	14,087	15,110	4,652	1,560	731	38,500
	% of Tot.	6.1%	36.6%	39.2%	12.1%	4.1%	1.9%	100.0%
Union City	2005	2,212	15,469	14,340	4,802	1,629	794	39,246
	% of Tot.	5.6%	39.4%	36.5%	12.2%	4.2%	2.0%	100.0%
	2000	4,091	19,916	21,709	7,471	2,077	799	56,063
Vacaville	% of Tot.	7.3%	35.5%	38.7%	13.3%	3.7%	1.4%	100.0%
	2005	4,768	21,668	21,676	8,380	2,071	922	59,485
	% of Tot.	8.0%	36.4%	36.4%	14.1%	3.5%	1.5%	100.0%
Union City	2000	2,831	19,508	21,395	6,131	1,920	749	52,534
	% of Tot.	5.4%	37.1%	40.7%	11.7%	3.7%	1.4%	100.0%
	2005	1,998	20,693	21,521	5,602	1,836	485	52,135
Union City	% of Tot.	3.8%	39.7%	41.3%	10.7%	3.5%	0.9%	100.0%
	2000	947	4,062	7,554	3,869	1,550	646	18,628
	% of Tot.	5.1%	21.8%	40.6%	20.8%	8.3%	3.5%	100.0%
Union City	2005	851	3,290	8,281	4,133	1,555	740	18,850
	% of Tot.	4.5%	17.5%	43.9%	21.9%	8.2%	3.9%	100.0%
Union City	2000	1,495	7,984	11,853	4,911	1,315	553	28,111
	% of Tot.	5.3%	28.4%	42.2%	17.5%	4.7%	2.0%	100.0%
	2005	2,028	7,189	12,844	4,825	1,566	547	28,999
	% of Tot.	7.0%	24.8%	44.3%	16.6%	5.4%	1.9%	100.0%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Summary File 3 (Table H44) and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table B25044).

Table C.3 (continued)**Households by Vehicle Availability by Place of Residence over 65,000 Population: 2000 & 2005**

Place		No Vehicle	One Vehicle	Two Vehicles	Three Vehicles	Four Vehicles	Five-Plus Vehicles	TOTAL
Vallejo	2000	3,652	12,391	14,178	6,303	2,263	773	39,560
	% of Tot.	9.2%	31.3%	35.8%	15.9%	5.7%	2.0%	100.0%
	2005	3,466	11,314	15,084	6,757	2,552	1,023	40,196
	% of Tot.	8.6%	28.1%	37.5%	16.8%	6.3%	2.5%	100.0%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Summary File 3 (Table H44) and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table B25044).

Table C.4
Tenure by Year Householder Moved into Unit
Owner-Occupied Households by Place of Residence over 65,000 Population: 2000 & 2005

Place		2000 or Later	¹ 1995 to 1999	1990 to 1994	1980 to 1989	1970 to 1979	1969 or earlier	TOTAL
Alameda	2000	-	4,595	2,566	3,316	2,099	1,915	14,491
	% of Tot.	-	31.7%	17.7%	22.9%	14.5%	13.2%	100.0%
	2005	4,552	3,252	1,912	2,908	1,863	1,005	15,492
	% of Tot.	29.4%	21.0%	12.3%	18.8%	12.0%	6.5%	100.0%
Antioch	2000	-	8,941	4,558	3,643	2,115	1,551	20,808
	% of Tot.	-	43.0%	21.9%	17.5%	10.2%	7.5%	100.0%
	2005	11,577	4,168	2,253	2,244	1,739	974	22,955
	% of Tot.	50.4%	18.2%	9.8%	9.8%	7.6%	4.2%	100.0%
Berkeley	2000	-	4,859	3,078	4,164	3,069	4,037	19,207
	% of Tot.	-	25.3%	16.0%	21.7%	16.0%	21.0%	100.0%
	2005	3,292	3,486	3,073	3,689	2,774	2,871	19,185
	% of Tot.	17.2%	18.2%	16.0%	19.2%	14.5%	15.0%	100.0%
Concord	2000	-	9,268	4,621	5,566	4,412	3,651	27,518
	% of Tot.	-	33.7%	16.8%	20.2%	16.0%	13.3%	100.0%
	2005	9,665	4,581	2,129	4,455	2,951	2,607	26,388
	% of Tot.	36.6%	17.4%	8.1%	16.9%	11.2%	9.9%	100.0%
Daly City	2000	-	5,345	2,548	3,982	3,563	3,047	18,485
	% of Tot.	-	28.9%	13.8%	21.5%	19.3%	16.5%	100.0%
	2005	4,217	2,568	2,601	2,607	2,403	2,500	16,896
	% of Tot.	25.0%	15.2%	15.4%	15.4%	14.2%	14.8%	100.0%
Fairfield	2000	-	6,940	3,892	3,765	2,334	1,532	18,463
	% of Tot.	-	37.6%	21.1%	20.4%	12.6%	8.3%	100.0%
	2005	7,638	2,901	2,227	3,602	2,109	817	19,294
	% of Tot.	39.6%	15.0%	11.5%	18.7%	10.9%	4.2%	100.0%
Fremont	2000	-	16,597	8,080	9,858	5,253	4,257	44,045
	% of Tot.	-	37.7%	18.3%	22.4%	11.9%	9.7%	100.0%
	2005	15,435	10,021	5,088	8,130	4,757	2,378	45,809
	% of Tot.	33.7%	21.9%	11.1%	17.7%	10.4%	5.2%	100.0%
Hayward	2000	-	7,910	4,311	4,660	3,417	3,657	23,955
	% of Tot.	-	33.0%	18.0%	19.5%	14.3%	15.3%	100.0%
	2005	10,306	4,043	3,022	3,506	2,649	2,150	25,676
	% of Tot.	40.1%	15.7%	11.8%	13.7%	10.3%	8.4%	100.0%
Livermore	2000	-	8,412	3,218	3,206	2,307	1,661	18,804
	% of Tot.	-	44.7%	17.1%	17.0%	12.3%	8.8%	100.0%
	2005	7,909	4,662	2,146	2,912	1,370	1,433	20,432
	% of Tot.	38.7%	22.8%	10.5%	14.3%	6.7%	7.0%	100.0%
Mountain View	2000	-	4,910	2,208	2,602	1,483	1,706	12,909
	% of Tot.	-	38.0%	17.1%	20.2%	11.5%	13.2%	100.0%
	2005	4,605	2,313	1,499	1,707	1,010	1,447	12,581
	% of Tot.	36.6%	18.4%	11.9%	13.6%	8.0%	11.5%	100.0%
Napa	2000	-	5,769	2,788	3,117	2,465	2,107	16,246
	% of Tot.	-	35.5%	17.2%	19.2%	15.2%	13.0%	100.0%
	2005	6,694	3,059	1,806	2,173	2,435	1,284	17,451
	% of Tot.	38.4%	17.5%	10.3%	12.5%	14.0%	7.4%	100.0%

Notes: Based on Census 2000 SF3 (Table H38), and the ACS 2005 (Table B25038). ¹Census 2000 range is 1995 to March 2000. For ACS 2005, range is 1995 to 1999.

Table C.4 (continued)

Tenure by Year Householder Moved into Unit

Owner-Occupied Households by Place of Residence over 65,000 Population: 2000 & 2005

Place		2000 or Later	¹ 1995 to 1999	1990 to 1994	1980 to 1989	1970 to 1979	1969 or earlier	TOTAL
Oakland	2000	-	19,103	10,374	11,523	9,977	11,505	62,482
	% of Tot.	-	30.6%	16.6%	18.4%	16.0%	18.4%	100.0%
	2005	20,703	10,487	7,693	10,250	9,274	9,136	67,543
	% of Tot.	30.7%	15.5%	11.4%	15.2%	13.7%	13.5%	100.0%
Pleasanton	2000	-	7,565	3,213	3,223	2,173	933	17,107
	% of Tot.	-	44.2%	18.8%	18.8%	12.7%	5.5%	100.0%
	2005	5,849	4,030	1,817	3,036	1,647	907	17,286
	% of Tot.	33.8%	23.3%	10.5%	17.6%	9.5%	5.2%	100.0%
Redwood City	2000	-	6,006	2,301	2,773	1,809	1,989	14,878
	% of Tot.	-	40.4%	15.5%	18.6%	12.2%	13.4%	100.0%
	2005	4,707	2,965	2,157	3,289	1,189	1,269	15,576
	% of Tot.	30.2%	19.0%	13.8%	21.1%	7.6%	8.1%	100.0%
Richmond	2000	-	5,686	2,924	3,621	2,635	3,662	18,528
	% of Tot.	-	30.7%	15.8%	19.5%	14.2%	19.8%	100.0%
	2005	8,203	2,795	2,062	3,009	1,892	2,455	20,416
	% of Tot.	40.2%	13.7%	10.1%	14.7%	9.3%	12.0%	100.0%
San Francisco	2000	-	34,143	18,334	21,941	17,752	23,145	115,315
	% of Tot.	-	29.6%	15.9%	19.0%	15.4%	20.1%	100.0%
	2005	35,419	21,060	14,975	19,248	15,382	16,519	122,603
	% of Tot.	28.9%	17.2%	12.2%	15.7%	12.5%	13.5%	100.0%
San Jose	2000	-	62,312	28,470	36,482	26,114	17,447	170,825
	% of Tot.	-	36.5%	16.7%	21.4%	15.3%	10.2%	100.0%
	2005	60,415	34,688	19,265	29,757	18,455	13,248	175,828
	% of Tot.	34.4%	19.7%	11.0%	16.9%	10.5%	7.5%	100.0%
San Leandro	2000	-	5,911	2,792	2,967	2,702	4,205	18,577
	% of Tot.	-	31.8%	15.0%	16.0%	14.5%	22.6%	100.0%
	2005	5,611	3,540	2,280	2,703	1,070	3,123	18,327
	% of Tot.	30.6%	19.3%	12.4%	14.7%	5.8%	17.0%	100.0%
San Mateo	2000	-	6,114	3,085	4,158	3,307	3,469	20,133
	% of Tot.	-	30.4%	15.3%	20.7%	16.4%	17.2%	100.0%
	2005	6,585	2,621	2,612	3,962	2,576	2,597	20,953
	% of Tot.	31.4%	12.5%	12.5%	18.9%	12.3%	12.4%	100.0%
Santa Clara	2000	-	5,398	2,379	2,890	2,855	4,238	17,760
	% of Tot.	-	30.4%	13.4%	16.3%	16.1%	23.9%	100.0%
	2005	7,169	3,371	900	2,623	2,466	3,384	19,913
	% of Tot.	36.0%	16.9%	4.5%	13.2%	12.4%	17.0%	100.0%
Santa Rosa	2000	-	12,555	6,334	7,350	4,083	2,336	32,658
	% of Tot.	-	38.4%	19.4%	22.5%	12.5%	7.2%	100.0%
	2005	11,530	6,835	3,647	6,213	3,327	1,680	33,232
	% of Tot.	34.7%	20.6%	11.0%	18.7%	10.0%	5.1%	100.0%
Sunnyvale	2000	-	8,038	3,830	4,656	4,123	4,385	25,032
	% of Tot.	-	32.1%	15.3%	18.6%	16.5%	17.5%	100.0%
	2005	6,526	5,615	2,501	4,138	3,396	3,428	25,604
	% of Tot.	25.5%	21.9%	9.8%	16.2%	13.3%	13.4%	100.0%

Notes: Based on Census 2000 SF3 (Table H38), and the ACS 2005 (Table B25038). ¹Census 2000 range is 1995 to March 2000. For ACS 2005, range is 1995 to 1999.

Table C.4 (continued)**Tenure by Year Householder Moved into Unit****Owner-Occupied Households by Place of Residence over 65,000 Population: 2000 & 2005**

Place		2000 or Later	¹ 1995 to 1999	1990 to 1994	1980 to 1989	1970 to 1979	1969 or earlier	TOTAL
Union City	2000	-	5,372	2,005	2,888	2,264	726	13,255
	% of Tot.	-	40.5%	15.1%	21.8%	17.1%	5.5%	100.0%
	2005	4,316	2,544	1,502	1,934	1,958	684	12,938
	% of Tot.	33.4%	19.7%	11.6%	14.9%	15.1%	5.3%	100.0%
Vacaville	2000	-	7,789	4,346	3,711	2,101	791	18,738
	% of Tot.	-	41.6%	23.2%	19.8%	11.2%	4.2%	100.0%
	2005	8,058	2,690	3,079	3,061	1,448	551	18,887
	% of Tot.	42.7%	14.2%	16.3%	16.2%	7.7%	2.9%	100.0%
Vallejo	2000	-	8,015	4,237	6,165	3,288	3,331	25,036
	% of Tot.	-	32.0%	16.9%	24.6%	13.1%	13.3%	100.0%
	2005	9,175	4,400	3,088	5,725	2,408	2,810	27,606
	% of Tot.	33.2%	15.9%	11.2%	20.7%	8.7%	10.2%	100.0%

Notes: Based on Census 2000 SF3 (Table H38), and the ACS 2005 (Table B25038). ¹Census 2000 range is 1995 to March 2000. For ACS 2005, range is 1995 to 1999.

Table C.5**Tenure by Year Householder Moved into Unit****Renter-Occupied Households by Place of Residence over 65,000 Population: 2000 & 2005**

Place		2000 or Later	¹ 1995 to 1999	1990 to 1994	1980 to 1989	1970 to 1979	1969 or earlier	TOTAL
Alameda	2000	-	11,406	2,252	1,319	549	209	15,735
	% of Tot.	-	72.5%	14.3%	8.4%	3.5%	1.3%	100.0%
	2005	10,657	1,444	775	1,019	147	172	14,214
	% of Tot.	75.0%	10.2%	5.5%	7.2%	1.0%	1.2%	100.0%
Antioch	2000	-	6,597	1,166	562	170	63	8,558
	% of Tot.	-	77.1%	13.6%	6.6%	2.0%	0.7%	100.0%
	2005	7,260	1,752	369	193	0	46	9,620
	% of Tot.	75.5%	18.2%	3.8%	2.0%	0.0%	0.5%	100.0%
Berkeley	2000	-	18,608	3,387	2,271	1,092	390	25,748
	% of Tot.	-	72.3%	13.2%	8.8%	4.2%	1.5%	100.0%
	2005	18,250	3,690	1,323	1,268	191	353	25,075
	% of Tot.	72.8%	14.7%	5.3%	5.1%	0.8%	1.4%	100.0%
Concord	2000	-	12,784	2,208	1,132	248	59	16,431
	% of Tot.	-	77.8%	13.4%	6.9%	1.5%	0.4%	100.0%
	2005	13,152	2,096	442	534	216	0	16,440
	% of Tot.	80.0%	12.7%	2.7%	3.2%	1.3%	0.0%	100.0%
Daly City	2000	-	8,428	1,978	1,197	457	182	12,242
	% of Tot.	-	68.8%	16.2%	9.8%	3.7%	1.5%	100.0%
	2005	10,000	2,270	1,033	457	127	128	14,015
	% of Tot.	71.4%	16.2%	7.4%	3.3%	0.9%	0.9%	100.0%
Fairfield	2000	-	10,459	1,189	638	140	83	12,509
	% of Tot.	-	83.6%	9.5%	5.1%	1.1%	0.7%	100.0%
	2005	10,856	2,086	618	105	32	0	13,697
	% of Tot.	79.3%	15.2%	4.5%	0.8%	0.2%	0.0%	100.0%
Fremont	2000	-	19,670	2,705	1,422	234	161	24,192
	% of Tot.	-	81.3%	11.2%	5.9%	1.0%	0.7%	100.0%
	2005	18,414	2,916	556	321	271	0	22,478
	% of Tot.	81.9%	13.0%	2.5%	1.4%	1.2%	0.0%	100.0%
Hayward	2000	-	15,447	3,171	1,575	560	194	20,947
	% of Tot.	-	73.7%	15.1%	7.5%	2.7%	0.9%	100.0%
	2005	14,598	1,840	934	845	116	246	18,579
	% of Tot.	78.6%	9.9%	5.0%	4.5%	0.6%	1.3%	100.0%
Livermore	2000	-	5,614	926	564	125	32	7,261
	% of Tot.	-	77.3%	12.8%	7.8%	1.7%	0.4%	100.0%
	2005	7,311	694	339	251	0	223	8,818
	% of Tot.	82.9%	7.9%	3.8%	2.8%	0.0%	2.5%	100.0%
Mountain View	2000	-	14,043	2,518	1,203	398	88	18,250
	% of Tot.	-	76.9%	13.8%	6.6%	2.2%	0.5%	100.0%
	2005	16,344	1,877	268	492	409	44	19,434
	% of Tot.	84.1%	9.7%	1.4%	2.5%	2.1%	0.2%	100.0%
Napa	2000	-	8,273	1,413	763	205	65	10,719
	% of Tot.	-	77.2%	13.2%	7.1%	1.9%	0.6%	100.0%
	2005	9,825	689	318	194	140	0	11,166
	% of Tot.	88.0%	6.2%	2.8%	1.7%	1.3%	0.0%	100.0%

Notes: Based on Census 2000 SF3 (Table H38), and the ACS 2005 (Table B25038). ¹Census 2000 range is 1995 to March 2000. For ACS 2005, range is 1995 to 1999.

Table C.5 (continued)

Tenure by Year Householder Moved into Unit

Renter-Occupied Households by Place of Residence over 65,000 Population: 2000 & 2005

Place		2000 or Later	¹ 1995 to 1999	1990 to 1994	1980 to 1989	1970 to 1979	1969 or earlier	TOTAL
Oakland	2000	-	61,232	13,939	8,596	3,031	1,507	88,305
	% of Tot.	-	69.3%	15.8%	9.7%	3.4%	1.7%	100.0%
	2005	53,669	12,891	5,481	4,370	1,433	895	78,739
	% of Tot.	68.2%	16.4%	7.0%	5.5%	1.8%	1.1%	100.0%
Pleasanton	2000	-	5,012	802	310	80	6	6,210
	% of Tot.	-	80.7%	12.9%	5.0%	1.3%	0.1%	100.0%
	2005	5,141	457	114	87	187	0	5,986
	% of Tot.	85.9%	7.6%	1.9%	1.5%	3.1%	0.0%	100.0%
Redwood City	2000	-	9,554	2,052	1,240	282	89	13,217
	% of Tot.	-	72.3%	15.5%	9.4%	2.1%	0.7%	100.0%
	2005	10,153	1,722	659	279	65	0	12,878
	% of Tot.	78.8%	13.4%	5.1%	2.2%	0.5%	0.0%	100.0%
Richmond	2000	-	11,697	2,516	1,316	459	189	16,177
	% of Tot.	-	72.3%	15.6%	8.1%	2.8%	1.2%	100.0%
	2005	10,652	1,350	370	277	311	227	13,187
	% of Tot.	80.8%	10.2%	2.8%	2.1%	2.4%	1.7%	100.0%
San Francisco	2000	-	129,604	39,102	26,749	13,044	5,886	214,385
	% of Tot.	-	60.5%	18.2%	12.5%	6.1%	2.7%	100.0%
	2005	116,969	30,840	21,764	18,571	8,269	3,383	199,796
	% of Tot.	58.5%	15.4%	10.9%	9.3%	4.1%	1.7%	100.0%
San Jose	2000	-	79,311	15,559	7,827	2,141	754	105,592
	% of Tot.	-	75.1%	14.7%	7.4%	2.0%	0.7%	100.0%
	2005	87,284	13,318	5,626	4,063	1,684	536	112,511
	% of Tot.	77.6%	11.8%	5.0%	3.6%	1.5%	0.5%	100.0%
San Leandro	2000	-	8,494	1,823	1,230	293	199	12,039
	% of Tot.	-	70.6%	15.1%	10.2%	2.4%	1.7%	100.0%
	2005	7,438	944	775	351	459	595	10,562
	% of Tot.	70.4%	8.9%	7.3%	3.3%	4.3%	5.6%	100.0%
San Mateo	2000	-	12,096	2,533	1,645	597	314	17,185
	% of Tot.	-	70.4%	14.7%	9.6%	3.5%	1.8%	100.0%
	2005	13,579	1,989	821	375	144	0	16,908
	% of Tot.	80.3%	11.8%	4.9%	2.2%	0.9%	0.0%	100.0%
Santa Clara	2000	-	16,314	2,635	1,300	329	162	20,740
	% of Tot.	-	78.7%	12.7%	6.3%	1.6%	0.8%	100.0%
	2005	16,111	1,198	1,114	607	200	103	19,333
	% of Tot.	83.3%	6.2%	5.8%	3.1%	1.0%	0.5%	100.0%
Santa Rosa	2000	-	17,745	3,113	1,879	471	197	23,405
	% of Tot.	-	75.8%	13.3%	8.0%	2.0%	0.8%	100.0%
	2005	21,361	2,576	1,418	857	41	0	26,253
	% of Tot.	81.4%	9.8%	5.4%	3.3%	0.2%	0.0%	100.0%
Sunnyvale	2000	-	21,897	3,448	1,550	540	67	27,502
	% of Tot.	-	79.6%	12.5%	5.6%	2.0%	0.2%	100.0%
	2005	21,482	2,802	1,061	781	354	51	26,531
	% of Tot.	81.0%	10.6%	4.0%	2.9%	1.3%	0.2%	100.0%

Notes: Based on Census 2000 SF3 (Table H38), and the ACS 2005 (Table B25038). ¹Census 2000 range is 1995 to March 2000. For ACS 2005, range is 1995 to 1999.

Table C.5 (continued)**Tenure by Year Householder Moved into Unit****Renter-Occupied Households by Place of Residence over 65,000 Population: 2000 & 2005**

Place		2000 or Later	¹ 1995 to 1999	1990 to 1994	1980 to 1989	1970 to 1979	1969 or earlier	TOTAL
Union City	2000	-	3,935	787	538	103	10	5,373
	% of Tot.	-	73.2%	14.6%	10.0%	1.9%	0.2%	100.0%
	2005	4,566	947	350	49	0	0	5,912
	% of Tot.	77.2%	16.0%	5.9%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Vacaville	2000	-	7,659	1,060	570	69	15	9,373
	% of Tot.	-	81.7%	11.3%	6.1%	0.7%	0.2%	100.0%
	2005	8,212	995	653	252	0	0	10,112
	% of Tot.	81.2%	9.8%	6.5%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Vallejo	2000	-	11,321	1,894	925	298	86	14,524
	% of Tot.	-	77.9%	13.0%	6.4%	2.1%	0.6%	100.0%
	2005	10,745	1,006	562	158	0	119	12,590
	% of Tot.	85.3%	8.0%	4.5%	1.3%	0.0%	0.9%	100.0%

Notes: Based on Census 2000 SF3 (Table H38), and the ACS 2005 (Table B25038). ¹Census 2000 range is 1995 to March 2000. For ACS 2005, range is 1995 to 1999.

Table C.6

Means of Transportation to Work for Household Workers by Place of Residence over 65,000 Population: 2000 & 2005

Place		Three-or-More			Transit	Bicycle	Walk	Other	Worked at Home	TOTAL
		Drive Alone	Two-Person Carpool	Person Carpool						
Alameda	2000	23,480	3,200	1,255	5,825	487	928	450	1,440	37,065
	% of Tot.	63.3%	8.6%	3.4%	15.7%	1.3%	2.5%	1.2%	3.9%	100.0%
	2005	23,862	2,583	1,238	5,438	411	991	332	1,869	36,724
Antioch	% of Tot.	65.0%	7.0%	3.4%	14.8%	1.1%	2.7%	0.9%	5.1%	100.0%
	2000	30,195	4,965	1,355	1,760	90	615	590	1,135	40,705
	% of Tot.	74.2%	12.2%	3.3%	4.3%	0.2%	1.5%	1.4%	2.8%	100.0%
Berkeley	2005	31,475	3,931	4,464	1,494	383	502	559	1,187	43,995
	% of Tot.	71.5%	8.9%	10.1%	3.4%	0.9%	1.1%	1.3%	2.7%	100.0%
	2000	23,420	3,080	2,100	9,760	2,674	7,096	685	3,605	52,420
Concord	% of Tot.	44.7%	5.9%	4.0%	18.6%	5.1%	13.5%	1.3%	6.9%	100.0%
	2005	22,525	2,829	840	9,084	1,711	6,055	563	2,726	46,333
	% of Tot.	48.6%	6.1%	1.8%	19.6%	3.7%	13.1%	1.2%	5.9%	100.0%
Daly City	2000	40,465	6,120	2,175	5,510	590	1,015	850	1,875	58,600
	% of Tot.	69.1%	10.4%	3.7%	9.4%	1.0%	1.7%	1.5%	3.2%	100.0%
	2005	38,986	7,185	2,942	4,502	367	1,709	820	1,211	57,722
Fairfield	% of Tot.	67.5%	12.4%	5.1%	7.8%	0.6%	3.0%	1.4%	2.1%	100.0%
	2000	28,630	7,565	2,485	8,775	39	656	695	745	49,590
	% of Tot.	57.7%	15.3%	5.0%	17.7%	0.1%	1.3%	1.4%	1.5%	100.0%
Fremont	2005	24,766	6,521	2,069	8,063	0	483	823	744	43,469
	% of Tot.	57.0%	15.0%	4.8%	18.5%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	1.7%	100.0%
	2000	30,175	4,530	2,575	770	185	670	555	1,145	40,605
Hayward	% of Tot.	74.3%	11.2%	6.3%	1.9%	0.5%	1.7%	1.4%	2.8%	100.0%
	2005	32,516	3,689	3,130	310	139	441	450	2,335	43,010
	% of Tot.	75.6%	8.6%	7.3%	0.7%	0.3%	1.0%	1.0%	5.4%	100.0%
Livermore	2000	77,550	10,360	2,035	4,840	555	1,090	975	2,665	100,070
	% of Tot.	77.5%	10.4%	2.0%	4.8%	0.6%	1.1%	1.0%	2.7%	100.0%
	2005	76,293	9,290	1,507	5,254	54	628	1,616	2,411	97,053
Mountain View	% of Tot.	78.6%	9.6%	1.6%	5.4%	0.1%	0.6%	1.7%	2.5%	100.0%
	2000	42,550	7,970	3,255	4,085	194	1,171	1,005	1,095	61,325
	% of Tot.	69.4%	13.0%	5.3%	6.7%	0.3%	1.9%	1.6%	1.8%	100.0%
Napa	2005	44,338	6,145	2,857	3,695	547	1,704	705	1,070	61,061
	% of Tot.	72.6%	10.1%	4.7%	6.1%	0.9%	2.8%	1.2%	1.8%	100.0%
	2000	30,215	3,090	830	1,245	515	530	255	1,180	37,860
Union City	% of Tot.	79.8%	8.2%	2.2%	3.3%	1.4%	1.4%	0.7%	3.1%	100.0%
	2005	34,080	3,673	257	1,229	310	622	633	1,845	42,649
	% of Tot.	79.9%	8.6%	0.6%	2.9%	0.7%	1.5%	1.5%	4.3%	100.0%
Union City	2000	31,540	2,880	530	1,915	788	897	385	1,335	40,270
	% of Tot.	78.3%	7.2%	1.3%	4.8%	2.0%	2.2%	1.0%	3.3%	100.0%
	2005	31,302	1,510	439	3,044	1,137	877	119	1,518	39,946
Union City	% of Tot.	78.4%	3.8%	1.1%	7.6%	2.8%	2.2%	0.3%	3.8%	100.0%
	2000	25,285	3,785	1,410	559	371	689	370	1,175	33,644
	% of Tot.	75.2%	11.3%	4.2%	1.7%	1.1%	2.0%	1.1%	3.5%	100.0%
Union City	2005	28,936	2,121	1,402	732	547	657	1,982	935	37,312
	% of Tot.	77.6%	5.7%	3.8%	2.0%	1.5%	1.8%	5.3%	2.5%	100.0%

Notes: Based on data from Census 2000 Transportation Planning Package (CTPP 1-2 and 1-35), and ACS 2005 (Table C08006).

Union City values for 2005 unavailable due to too few samples.

Table C.6 (continued)

Means of Transportation to Work for Household Workers by Place of Residence over 65,000 Population: 2000 & 2005

Place		Three-or-More			Transit	Bicycle	Walk	Other	Worked at Home	TOTAL
		Drive Alone	Two-Person Carpool	More Person Carpool						
Oakland	2000	94,085	16,965	11,220	29,250	1,957	5,963	2,890	6,880	169,210
	% of Tot.	55.6%	10.0%	6.6%	17.3%	1.2%	3.5%	1.7%	4.1%	100.0%
	2005	101,228	12,202	7035	27,114	2,529	4,898	2,270	6,893	164,169
	% of Tot.	61.7%	7.4%	4.3%	16.5%	1.5%	3.0%	1.4%	4.2%	100.0%
Pleasanton	2000	26,625	2,145	500	1,620	150	430	285	1,515	33,270
	% of Tot.	80.0%	6.4%	1.5%	4.9%	0.5%	1.3%	0.9%	4.6%	100.0%
	2005	23,573	1,335	350	1,366	69	595	244	2,090	29,622
	% of Tot.	79.6%	4.5%	1.2%	4.6%	0.2%	2.0%	0.8%	7.1%	100.0%
Redwood City	2000	28,945	3,815	1,140	1,850	685	1,080	390	1,145	39,050
	% of Tot.	74.1%	9.8%	2.9%	4.7%	1.8%	2.8%	1.0%	2.9%	100.0%
	2005	26,435	4,652	1,479	1,140	524	532	546	2,205	37,513
	% of Tot.	70.5%	12.4%	3.9%	3.0%	1.4%	1.4%	1.5%	5.9%	100.0%
Richmond	2000	24,725	5,425	2,750	5,935	232	748	655	1,185	41,655
	% of Tot.	59.4%	13.0%	6.6%	14.2%	0.6%	1.8%	1.6%	2.8%	100.0%
	2005	26,132	4,056	3,657	6,104	332	191	437	852	41,761
	% of Tot.	62.6%	9.7%	8.8%	14.6%	0.8%	0.5%	1.0%	2.0%	100.0%
San Francisco	2000	169,130	34,235	10,755	127,125	7,988	37,717	8,185	19,040	414,175
	% of Tot.	40.8%	8.3%	2.6%	30.7%	1.9%	9.1%	2.0%	4.6%	100.0%
	2005	151,786	24,297	7362	124,738	7,053	36,629	5,916	24,141	381,922
	% of Tot.	39.7%	6.4%	1.9%	32.7%	1.8%	9.6%	1.5%	6.3%	100.0%
San Jose	2000	326,230	46,875	13,050	17,040	2,438	5,697	3,995	10,530	425,855
	% of Tot.	76.6%	11.0%	3.1%	4.0%	0.6%	1.3%	0.9%	2.5%	100.0%
	2005	314,189	41,650	8210	12,703	1,622	6,131	4,323	13,425	402,253
	% of Tot.	78.1%	10.4%	2.0%	3.2%	0.4%	1.5%	1.1%	3.3%	100.0%
San Leandro	2000	25,940	3,675	1,150	3,765	224	676	540	870	36,840
	% of Tot.	70.4%	10.0%	3.1%	10.2%	0.6%	1.8%	1.5%	2.4%	100.0%
	2005	22,696	3,872	868	4,184	0	606	252	599	33,077
	% of Tot.	68.6%	11.7%	2.6%	12.6%	0.0%	1.8%	0.8%	1.8%	100.0%
San Mateo	2000	35,555	4,070	1,085	2,870	271	1,194	430	1,650	47,125
	% of Tot.	75.4%	8.6%	2.3%	6.1%	0.6%	2.5%	0.9%	3.5%	100.0%
	2005	32,854	3,001	1,780	3,802	381	1,627	599	2,063	46,107
	% of Tot.	71.3%	6.5%	3.9%	8.2%	0.8%	3.5%	1.3%	4.5%	100.0%
Santa Clara	2000	42,665	5,020	1,090	1,555	575	1,260	255	1,170	53,590
	% of Tot.	79.6%	9.4%	2.0%	2.9%	1.1%	2.4%	0.5%	2.2%	100.0%
	2005	38,717	2,526	662	1,688	412	1,428	1,235	1,245	47,913
	% of Tot.	80.8%	5.3%	1.4%	3.5%	0.9%	3.0%	2.6%	2.6%	100.0%
Santa Rosa	2000	54,510	6,610	2,010	1,475	590	1,530	640	3,105	70,470
	% of Tot.	77.4%	9.4%	2.9%	2.1%	0.8%	2.2%	0.9%	4.4%	100.0%
	2005	51,401	6,675	1,192	2,399	234	2,519	756	2,690	67,866
	% of Tot.	75.7%	9.8%	1.8%	3.5%	0.3%	3.7%	1.1%	4.0%	100.0%
Sunnyvale	2000	57,455	6,270	1,200	2,655	525	1,105	575	1,870	71,655
	% of Tot.	80.2%	8.8%	1.7%	3.7%	0.7%	1.5%	0.8%	2.6%	100.0%
	2005	49,120	5,015	1,109	3,129	262	2,286	699	3,624	65,244
	% of Tot.	75.3%	7.7%	1.7%	4.8%	0.4%	3.5%	1.1%	5.6%	100.0%

Notes: Based on data from Census 2000 Transportation Planning Package (CTPP 1-2 and 1-35), and ACS 2005 (Table C08006).

Union City values for 2005 unavailable due to too few samples.

Table C.6 (continued)

Means of Transportation to Work for Household Workers by Place of Residence over 65,000 Population: 2000 & 2005

Place		Three-or-More Person Carpool			Transit	Bicycle	Walk	Other	Worked at Home	TOTAL
		Drive Alone	Two- Person Carpool	More Person Carpool						
Union City	2000	22,245	3,830	1,085	1,900	145	385	290	575	30,455
	% of Tot.	73.0%	12.6%	3.6%	6.2%	0.5%	1.3%	1.0%	1.9%	100.0%
	2005	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vacaville	% of Tot.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2000	30,220	3,720	1,825	250	169	691	560	930	38,365
	% of Tot.	78.8%	9.7%	4.8%	0.7%	0.4%	1.8%	1.5%	2.4%	100.0%
Vallejo	2005	30,404	3,551	2086	319	72	643	349	908	38,332
	% of Tot.	79.3%	9.3%	5.4%	0.8%	0.2%	1.7%	0.9%	2.4%	100.0%
	2000	33,410	6,910	4,515	2,430	192	598	505	1,400	49,960
Vallejo	% of Tot.	66.9%	13.8%	9.0%	4.9%	0.4%	1.2%	1.0%	2.8%	100.0%
	2005	37,051	4,431	3758	1,416	106	551	357	1,353	49,023
	% of Tot.	75.6%	9.0%	7.7%	2.9%	0.2%	1.1%	0.7%	2.8%	100.0%

Notes: Based on data from Census 2000 Transportation Planning Package (CTPP 1-2 and 1-35), and ACS 2005 (Table C08006).

Union City values for 2005 unavailable due to too few samples.

Table C.7

Means of Transportation to Work for Household Workers by Place of Work over 65,000 Population: 2000 & 2005

Place		Three-or-More					Other Means, Including Bicycle		Worked at Home	TOTAL
		Drive Alone	Two-Person Carpool	More Person Carpool	Transit	Walk	Bicycle	Bicycle		
Alameda	2000	17,150	2,165	770	1,355	951	724	444	1,440	24,555
	% of Tot.	69.8%	8.8%	3.1%	5.5%	3.9%	2.9%	1.8%	5.9%	100.0%
	2005	13,205	2,066	1214	847	958	728	-	1,869	20,887
	% of Tot.	63.2%	9.9%	5.8%	4.1%	4.6%	3.5%	-	8.9%	100.0%
Antioch	2000	13,290	1,825	500	330	540	280	100	1,135	17,900
	% of Tot.	74.2%	10.2%	2.8%	1.8%	3.0%	1.6%	0.6%	6.3%	100.0%
	2005	14,479	1,594	1095	204	502	540	-	1,187	19,601
	% of Tot.	73.9%	8.1%	5.6%	1.0%	2.6%	2.8%	-	6.1%	100.0%
Berkeley	2000	38,385	6,585	2,105	8,130	6,752	3,903	3,178	3,605	69,465
	% of Tot.	55.3%	9.5%	3.0%	11.7%	9.7%	5.6%	4.6%	5.2%	100.0%
	2005	36,299	4,724	1500	9,636	5,836	3,235	2,246	2,726	63,956
	% of Tot.	56.8%	7.4%	2.3%	15.1%	9.1%	5.1%	3.5%	4.3%	100.0%
Concord	2000	42,125	5,380	1,685	1,370	1,008	887	352	1,875	54,330
	% of Tot.	77.5%	9.9%	3.1%	2.5%	1.9%	1.6%	0.6%	3.5%	100.0%
	2005	41,987	5,281	1843	1,041	1,335	1,234	-	1,211	53,932
	% of Tot.	77.9%	9.8%	3.4%	1.9%	2.5%	2.3%	-	2.2%	100.0%
Daly City	2000	10,115	1,700	480	1,060	551	329	79	745	14,980
	% of Tot.	67.5%	11.3%	3.2%	7.1%	3.7%	2.2%	0.5%	5.0%	100.0%
	2005	9,953	1,161	565	1,969	444	248	-	744	15,084
	% of Tot.	66.0%	7.7%	3.7%	13.1%	2.9%	1.6%	-	4.9%	100.0%
Fairfield	2000	31,550	3,950	1,130	450	574	606	221	1,145	39,405
	% of Tot.	80.1%	10.0%	2.9%	1.1%	1.5%	1.5%	0.6%	2.9%	100.0%
	2005	31,280	3,857	1989	333	301	691	-	2,335	40,786
	% of Tot.	76.7%	9.5%	4.9%	0.8%	0.7%	1.7%	-	5.7%	100.0%
Fremont	2000	75,470	9,255	2,980	1,980	1,349	1,621	626	2,665	95,320
	% of Tot.	79.2%	9.7%	3.1%	2.1%	1.4%	1.7%	0.7%	2.8%	100.0%
	2005	69,023	5,768	2496	2,818	649	1,408	228	2,411	84,573
	% of Tot.	81.6%	6.8%	3.0%	3.3%	0.8%	1.7%	0.3%	2.9%	100.0%
Hayward	2000	53,225	7,925	2,440	2,095	1,248	1,152	317	1,095	69,180
	% of Tot.	76.9%	11.5%	3.5%	3.0%	1.8%	1.7%	0.5%	1.6%	100.0%
	2005	53,263	5,973	2024	1,092	1,874	858	-	1,070	66,154
	% of Tot.	80.5%	9.0%	3.1%	1.7%	2.8%	1.3%	-	1.6%	100.0%
Livermore	2000	22,875	3,130	1,250	525	430	500	270	1,180	29,890
	% of Tot.	76.5%	10.5%	4.2%	1.8%	1.4%	1.7%	0.9%	3.9%	100.0%
	2005	28,882	2,259	1096	434	622	357	-	1,845	35,495
	% of Tot.	81.4%	6.4%	3.1%	1.2%	1.8%	1.0%	-	5.2%	100.0%
Mountain View	2000	46,515	6,075	1,420	1,765	870	1,225	660	1,335	59,205
	% of Tot.	78.6%	10.3%	2.4%	3.0%	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%	2.3%	100.0%
	2005	39,622	5,933	673	1,410	749	998	-	1,518	50,903
	% of Tot.	77.8%	11.7%	1.3%	2.8%	1.5%	2.0%	-	3.0%	100.0%
Napa	2000	22,480	2,935	1,010	294	643	547	287	1,175	29,084
	% of Tot.	77.3%	10.1%	3.5%	1.0%	2.2%	1.9%	1.0%	4.0%	100.0%
	2005	28,702	2,738	1277	148	753	1,941	-	935	36,494
	% of Tot.	78.6%	7.5%	3.5%	0.4%	2.1%	5.3%	-	2.6%	100.0%

Notes: Based on data from Census 2000 Transportation Planning Package (CTPP 2-2 and 2-35), and the ACS 2005 (Tables B08406 and C08406).

- 2005 values unavailable due to too few samples.

Table C.7 (continued)

Means of Transportation to Work for Household Workers by Place of Work over 65,000 Population: 2000 & 2005

Place		Three-or-More					Other Means, Including Bicycle		Worked at Home	TOTAL
		Drive Alone	Two-Person Carpool	Person Carpool	Transit	Walk	Bicycle	Bicycle		
Oakland	2000	115,900	17,895	6,710	23,870	5,416	3,964	1,369	6,880	180,635
	% of Tot.	64.2%	9.9%	3.7%	13.2%	3.0%	2.2%	0.8%	3.8%	100.0%
	2005	129,760	15,634	4550	26,388	4,749	3,852	1,764	6,893	191,826
	% of Tot.	67.6%	8.2%	2.4%	13.8%	2.5%	2.0%	0.9%	3.6%	100.0%
Pleasanton	2000	43,305	4,585	1,525	1,200	550	635	215	1,515	53,315
	% of Tot.	81.2%	8.6%	2.9%	2.3%	1.0%	1.2%	0.4%	2.8%	100.0%
	2005	46,624	4,245	1105	1,669	547	575	-	2,090	56,855
	% of Tot.	82.0%	7.5%	1.9%	2.9%	1.0%	1.0%	-	3.7%	100.0%
Redwood City	2000	40,225	5,740	1,410	1,655	1,272	1,223	628	1,145	52,670
	% of Tot.	76.4%	10.9%	2.7%	3.1%	2.4%	2.3%	1.2%	2.2%	100.0%
	2005	36,168	2,729	751	1,122	617	1,307	-	2,205	44,899
	% of Tot.	80.6%	6.1%	1.7%	2.5%	1.4%	2.9%	-	4.9%	100.0%
Richmond	2000	26,385	3,600	1,750	1,510	629	576	271	1,185	35,635
	% of Tot.	74.0%	10.1%	4.9%	4.2%	1.8%	1.6%	0.8%	3.3%	100.0%
	2005	26,797	3,106	1240	2,254	437	444	-	852	35,130
	% of Tot.	76.3%	8.8%	3.5%	6.4%	1.2%	1.3%	-	2.4%	100.0%
San Francisco	2000	219,750	50,920	28,085	206,940	37,874	20,201	7,936	19,040	582,810
	% of Tot.	37.7%	8.7%	4.8%	35.5%	6.5%	3.5%	1.4%	3.3%	100.0%
	2005	206,113	43,758	23961	187,012	37,473	17,042	7,062	24,141	539,500
	% of Tot.	38.2%	8.1%	4.4%	34.7%	6.9%	3.2%	1.3%	4.5%	100.0%
San Jose	2000	290,510	38,850	10,775	14,155	5,548	5,727	2,147	10,530	376,095
	% of Tot.	77.2%	10.3%	2.9%	3.8%	1.5%	1.5%	0.6%	2.8%	100.0%
	2005	292,502	36,065	8667	12,936	6,401	6,145	1,699	13,425	376,141
	% of Tot.	77.8%	9.6%	2.3%	3.4%	1.7%	1.6%	0.5%	3.6%	100.0%
San Leandro	2000	29,850	4,210	1,645	2,125	936	694	254	870	40,330
	% of Tot.	74.0%	10.4%	4.1%	5.3%	2.3%	1.7%	0.6%	2.2%	100.0%
	2005	29,246	2,423	1202	1,527	467	1,178	-	599	36,642
	% of Tot.	79.8%	6.6%	3.3%	4.2%	1.3%	3.2%	-	1.6%	100.0%
San Mateo	2000	35,320	4,340	1,385	1,695	1,146	679	219	1,650	46,215
	% of Tot.	76.4%	9.4%	3.0%	3.7%	2.5%	1.5%	0.5%	3.6%	100.0%
	2005	37,049	4,546	865	2,695	1,068	342	-	2,063	48,628
	% of Tot.	76.2%	9.3%	1.8%	5.5%	2.2%	0.7%	-	4.2%	100.0%
Santa Clara	2000	94,500	12,960	2,780	3,865	1,469	1,676	711	1,170	118,420
	% of Tot.	79.8%	10.9%	2.3%	3.3%	1.2%	1.4%	0.6%	1.0%	100.0%
	2005	75,722	7,949	1608	2,426	1,460	3,148	623	1,245	93,558
	% of Tot.	80.9%	8.5%	1.7%	2.6%	1.6%	3.4%	0.7%	1.3%	100.0%
Santa Rosa	2000	62,225	7,050	1,885	1,199	1,576	1,119	634	3,105	78,159
	% of Tot.	79.6%	9.0%	2.4%	1.5%	2.0%	1.4%	0.8%	4.0%	100.0%
	2005	62,667	6,864	1058	2,484	2,691	1,064	-	2,690	79,518
	% of Tot.	78.8%	8.6%	1.3%	3.1%	3.4%	1.3%	-	3.4%	100.0%
Sunnyvale	2000	70,790	9,620	2,230	2,845	971	1,509	604	1,870	89,835
	% of Tot.	78.8%	10.7%	2.5%	3.2%	1.1%	1.7%	0.7%	2.1%	100.0%
	2005	64,887	6,345	1442	2,789	2,042	916	301	3,624	82,045
	% of Tot.	79.1%	7.7%	1.8%	3.4%	2.5%	1.1%	0.4%	4.4%	100.0%

Notes: Based on data from Census 2000 Transportation Planning Package (CTPP 2-2 and 2-35), and the ACS 2005 (Tables B08406 and C08406).

- 2005 values unavailable due to too few samples.

Table C.7 (continued)

Means of Transportation to Work for Household Workers by Place of Work over 65,000 Population: 2000 & 2005

Place		Three-or-More Person					Other Means, Including Bicycle		Worked at Home	TOTAL
		Drive Alone	Two- Person Carpool	More Person Carpool	Transit	Walk	Bicycle	Bicycle		
Union City	2000	12,890	2,005	685	825	365	210	85	575	17,555
	% of Tot.	73.4%	11.4%	3.9%	4.7%	2.1%	1.2%	0.5%	3.3%	100.0%
	2005	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vacaville	% of Tot.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2000	17,895	2,185	685	135	727	523	173	930	23,080
	% of Tot.	77.5%	9.5%	3.0%	0.6%	3.1%	2.3%	0.7%	4.0%	100.0%
Vallejo	2005	21,456	2,042	1,014	75	643	394	-	908	26,532
	% of Tot.	80.9%	7.7%	3.8%	0.3%	2.4%	1.5%	-	3.4%	100.0%
	2000	20,690	2,915	1,010	825	597	488	173	1,400	27,925
Vallejo	% of Tot.	74.1%	10.4%	3.6%	3.0%	2.1%	1.7%	0.6%	5.0%	100.0%
	2005	24,099	2,514	718	1,012	551	205	-	1,353	30,452
	% of Tot.	79.1%	8.3%	2.4%	3.3%	1.8%	0.7%	-	4.4%	100.0%

Notes: Based on data from Census 2000 Transportation Planning Package (CTPP 2-2 and 2-35), and the ACS 2005 (Tables B08406 and C08406).

- 2005 values unavailable due to too few samples.

Table D.1
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race
Household Population by Public Use Microdata Area of Residence: 2000 & 2005

	Not Hispanic or Latino												
Public Use Microdata Area	American Indian and Alaska Native					Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander			Total Not Hispanic or Latino	Hispanic or Latino	TOTAL	Diversity Index	
	White Alone	Black or African American Alone	Native Alone	Asian Alone	Islander Alone	Some Other Race Alone	Two or More Races						
Alameda-San Leandro	2000	71,234	13,411	874	36,610	1,526	391	7,529	131,575	24,310	155,885		
	% of Tot.	45.7%	8.6%	0.6%	23.5%	1.0%	0.3%	4.8%	84.4%	15.6%	100.0%	0.849	
	2005	65,521	15,782	213	41,793	1,049	749	5,493	130,600	29,007	159,607		
	% of Tot.	41.1%	9.9%	0.1%	26.2%	0.7%	0.5%	3.4%	81.8%	18.2%	100.0%	0.862	
Berkeley-Albany	2000	63,744	13,957	699	19,537	112	517	5,288	103,854	10,736	114,590		
	% of Tot.	55.6%	12.2%	0.6%	17.0%	0.1%	0.5%	4.6%	90.6%	9.4%	100.0%	0.789	
	2005	64,744	11,921	122	16,674	126	531	3,668	97,786	10,583	108,369		
	% of Tot.	59.7%	11.0%	0.1%	15.4%	0.1%	0.5%	3.4%	90.2%	9.8%	100.0%	0.743	
Castro Valley-San Lorenzo	2000	63,022	11,381	887	17,585	597	476	6,823	100,771	28,399	129,170		
	% of Tot.	48.8%	8.8%	0.7%	13.6%	0.5%	0.4%	5.3%	78.0%	22.0%	100.0%	0.838	
	2005	55,556	10,598	304	19,312	765	105	3,407	90,047	34,442	124,489		
	% of Tot.	44.6%	8.5%	0.2%	15.5%	0.6%	0.1%	2.7%	72.3%	27.7%	100.0%	0.824	
East Oakland	2000	7,417	55,624	871	12,533	1,042	113	2,500	80,100	49,361	129,461		
	% of Tot.	5.7%	43.0%	0.7%	9.7%	0.8%	0.1%	1.9%	61.9%	38.1%	100.0%	0.763	
	2005	7,098	49,069	266	12,220	1,053	1,456	2,112	73,274	55,263	128,537		
	% of Tot.	5.5%	38.2%	0.2%	9.5%	0.8%	1.1%	1.6%	57.0%	43.0%	100.0%	0.764	
Fremont	2000	77,398	4,742	619	61,258	614	505	8,732	153,868	25,828	179,696		
	% of Tot.	43.1%	2.6%	0.3%	34.1%	0.3%	0.3%	4.9%	85.6%	14.4%	100.0%	0.785	
	2005	58,201	4,094	748	89,397	1,607	1,375	3,667	159,089	28,196	187,285		
	% of Tot.	31.1%	2.2%	0.4%	47.7%	0.9%	0.7%	2.0%	84.9%	15.1%	100.0%	0.740	
Hayward	2000	40,118	12,979	524	26,912	2,035	794	6,060	89,422	46,170	135,592		
	% of Tot.	29.6%	9.6%	0.4%	19.8%	1.5%	0.6%	4.5%	65.9%	34.1%	100.0%	0.895	
	2005	30,442	18,058	1,124	29,837	2,074	1,745	4,169	87,449	47,307	134,756		
	% of Tot.	22.6%	13.4%	0.8%	22.1%	1.5%	1.3%	3.1%	64.9%	35.1%	100.0%	0.912	
Newark-Union City-Fremont	2000	34,808	5,977	102	51,562	866	138	6,306	99,759	30,020	129,779		
	% of Tot.	26.8%	4.6%	0.1%	39.7%	0.7%	0.1%	4.9%	76.9%	23.1%	100.0%	0.839	
	2005	28,603	7,003	52	54,809	793	615	3,444	95,319	29,427	124,746		
	% of Tot.	22.9%	5.6%	0.0%	43.9%	0.6%	0.5%	2.8%	76.4%	23.6%	100.0%	0.816	

Notes: Based on data from Census 2000 Public Use Microdata Sample (5% PUMS), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table C03002). See Page 13 for an explanation of the diversity index.

Table D.1 (continued)
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race
Household Population by Public Use Microdata Area of Residence: 2000 & 2005

	Not Hispanic or Latino										Hispanic or Latino	TOTAL	Diversity Index
Public Use Microdata Area													
Oakland Hills-Piedmont	2000	67,001	25,689	239	13,578	244	403	5,645	112,799	8,054	120,853	0.770	
	% of Tot.	55.4%	21.3%	0.2%	11.2%	0.2%	0.3%	4.7%	93.3%	6.7%	100.0%		
	2005	65,043	22,085	82	18,736	48	429	4,184	110,607	9,673	120,280		0.785
	% of Tot.	54.1%	18.4%	0.1%	15.6%	0.0%	0.4%	3.5%	92.0%	8.0%	100.0%		
Pleasanton-Livermore	2000	123,257	2,430	837	14,853	567	153	4,928	147,025	18,558	165,583	0.539	
	% of Tot.	74.4%	1.5%	0.5%	9.0%	0.3%	0.1%	3.0%	88.8%	11.2%	100.0%		
	2005	127,906	2,665	603	28,089	288	621	6,793	166,965	24,310	191,275		0.626
	% of Tot.	66.9%	1.4%	0.3%	14.7%	0.2%	0.3%	3.6%	87.3%	12.7%	100.0%		
West-North-Oakland-Emeryville	2000	27,760	55,463	382	35,722	307	624	5,967	126,225	28,691	154,916	0.912	
	% of Tot.	17.9%	35.8%	0.2%	23.1%	0.2%	0.4%	3.9%	81.5%	18.5%	100.0%		
	2005	29,951	41,521	1,559	33,193	1,645	1,128	3,963	112,960	29,004	141,964		0.933
	% of Tot.	21.1%	29.2%	1.1%	23.4%	1.2%	0.8%	2.8%	79.6%	20.4%	100.0%		
ALAMEDA COUNTY TOTAL	2000	575,759	201,653	6,034	290,150	7,910	4,114	59,778	1,145,398	270,127	1,415,525	0.893	
	% of Tot.	40.7%	14.2%	0.4%	20.5%	0.6%	0.3%	4.2%	80.9%	19.1%	100.0%		
	2005	533,065	182,796	5,073	344,060	9,448	8,754	40,900	1,124,096	297,212	1,421,308		0.889
	% of Tot.	37.5%	12.9%	0.4%	24.2%	0.7%	0.6%	2.9%	79.1%	20.9%	100.0%		
Antioch-Pittsburg	2000	41,061	12,879	380	9,900	1,631	538	4,468	70,857	34,285	105,142	0.860	
	% of Tot.	39.1%	12.2%	0.4%	9.4%	1.6%	0.5%	4.2%	67.4%	32.6%	100.0%		
	2005	33,055	18,023	431	10,898	647	714	2,271	66,039	45,709	111,748		0.846
	% of Tot.	29.6%	16.1%	0.4%	9.8%	0.6%	0.6%	2.0%	59.1%	40.9%	100.0%		
Brentwood-Byron	2000	71,588	5,914	811	6,100	53	108	4,250	88,824	23,612	112,436	0.665	
	% of Tot.	63.7%	5.3%	0.7%	5.4%	0.0%	0.1%	3.8%	79.0%	21.0%	100.0%		
	2005	77,355	10,469	0	6,542	691	0	4,867	99,924	41,216	141,140		0.715
	% of Tot.	54.8%	7.4%	0.0%	4.6%	0.5%	0.0%	3.4%	70.8%	29.2%	100.0%		
Concord-Clayton	2000	73,693	4,247	498	10,378	107	529	5,438	94,890	20,689	115,579	0.681	
	% of Tot.	63.8%	3.7%	0.4%	9.0%	0.1%	0.5%	4.7%	82.1%	17.9%	100.0%		
	2005	70,054	7,614	98	16,954	552	422	3,784	99,478	28,921	128,399		0.758
	% of Tot.	54.6%	5.9%	0.1%	13.2%	0.4%	0.3%	2.9%	77.5%	22.5%	100.0%		

Notes: Based on data from Census 2000 Public Use Microdata Sample (5% PUMS), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table C03002). See Page 13 for an explanation of the diversity index.

Table D.1 (continued)
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race
Household Population by Public Use Microdata Area of Residence: 2000 & 2005

	Not Hispanic or Latino										Hispanic or Latino	TOTAL	Diversity Index
	American Indian and Alaska Native							Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander		Total Not Hispanic or Latino			
Public Use Microdata Area	White Alone	Black or African American Alone	Asian Alone	Native American Alone	Other Race Alone	Some Other Race Alone	Two or More Races						
Danville-San Ramon	2000	85,238	1,909	328	11,825	16	263	2,996	102,575	6,585	109,160		
	% of Tot.	78.1%	1.7%	0.3%	10.8%	0.0%	0.2%	2.7%	94.0%	6.0%	100.0%	0.489	
	2005	87,591	1,612	0	19,875	538	116	2,770	112,502	9,666	122,168		
	% of Tot.	71.7%	1.3%	0.0%	16.3%	0.4%	0.1%	2.3%	92.1%	7.9%	100.0%	0.549	
El Cerrito-Hercules	2000	53,439	13,881	458	27,251	706	611	5,841	102,187	13,883	116,070		
	% of Tot.	46.0%	12.0%	0.4%	23.5%	0.6%	0.5%	5.0%	88.0%	12.0%	100.0%	0.855	
	2005	57,371	13,885	425	30,053	374	43	4,246	106,397	14,322	120,719		
	% of Tot.	47.5%	11.5%	0.4%	24.9%	0.3%	0.0%	3.5%	88.1%	11.9%	100.0%	0.826	
Martinez-Pleasant Hill	2000	72,786	2,592	555	8,778	214	237	3,823	88,985	15,644	104,629		
	% of Tot.	69.6%	2.5%	0.5%	8.4%	0.2%	0.2%	3.7%	85.0%	15.0%	100.0%	0.607	
	2005	66,133	3,756	545	13,404	503	542	3,657	88,540	12,629	101,169		
	% of Tot.	65.4%	3.7%	0.5%	13.2%	0.5%	0.5%	3.6%	87.5%	12.5%	100.0%	0.669	
Richmond-San Pablo	2000	22,433	41,441	516	15,248	428	120	4,315	84,501	39,810	124,311		
	% of Tot.	18.0%	33.3%	0.4%	12.3%	0.3%	0.1%	3.5%	68.0%	32.0%	100.0%	0.888	
	2005	18,746	35,384	528	15,960	0	656	2,314	73,588	52,751	126,339		
	% of Tot.	14.8%	28.0%	0.4%	12.6%	0.0%	0.5%	1.8%	58.2%	41.8%	100.0%	0.848	
Walnut Creek-Lamorinda	2000	119,788	901	419	14,094	16	376	4,565	140,159	7,193	147,352		
	% of Tot.	81.3%	0.6%	0.3%	9.6%	0.0%	0.3%	3.1%	95.1%	4.9%	100.0%	0.430	
	2005	121,975	1,342	644	17,960	143	229	4,498	146,791	8,013	154,804		
	% of Tot.	78.8%	0.9%	0.4%	11.6%	0.1%	0.1%	2.9%	94.8%	5.2%	100.0%	0.466	
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY TOTAL	2000	540,026	83,764	3,965	103,574	3,171	2,782	35,696	772,978	161,701	934,679		
	% of Tot.	57.8%	9.0%	0.4%	11.1%	0.3%	0.3%	3.8%	82.7%	17.3%	100.0%	0.743	
	2005	532,280	92,085	2,671	131,646	3,448	2,722	28,407	793,259	213,227	1,006,486		
	% of Tot.	52.9%	9.1%	0.3%	13.1%	0.3%	0.3%	2.8%	78.8%	21.2%	100.0%	0.788	
Novato-West Marin	2000	78,206	1,358	264	5,743	92	310	3,130	89,103	10,301	99,404		
	% of Tot.	78.7%	1.4%	0.3%	5.8%	0.1%	0.3%	3.1%	89.6%	10.4%	100.0%	0.479	
	2005	71,256	2,033	0	5,967	256	70	2,649	82,231	14,560	96,791		
	% of Tot.	73.6%	2.1%	0.0%	6.2%	0.3%	0.1%	2.7%	85.0%	15.0%	100.0%	0.540	

Notes: Based on data from Census 2000 Public Use Microdata Sample (5% PUMS), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table C03002). See Page 13 for an explanation of the diversity index.

Table D.1 (continued)
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race
Household Population by Public Use Microdata Area of Residence: 2000 & 2005

	Not Hispanic or Latino													
Public Use Microdata Area	American Indian and Alaska Native							Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander		Total Not Hispanic or Latino	Hispanic or Latino	TOTAL	Diversity Index	
	White Alone	Black or African American Alone	Asian Alone	Some Other Race Alone	Two or More Races									
Sausalito-San Rafael	2000	109,039	3,187	298	4,865	104	513	3,658	121,664	15,693	137,357	0.466		
	% of Tot.	79.4%	2.3%	0.2%	3.5%	0.1%	0.4%	2.7%	88.6%	11.4%	100.0%			
	2005	112,076	2,507	181	5,977	251	126	3,038	124,156	14,662	138,818	0.442		
% of Tot.	80.7%	1.8%	0.1%	4.3%	0.2%	0.1%	2.2%	89.4%	10.6%	100.0%				
MARIN COUNTY TOTAL	2000	187,245	4,545	562	10,608	196	823	6,788	210,767	25,994	236,761	0.473		
	% of Tot.	79.1%	1.9%	0.2%	4.5%	0.1%	0.3%	2.9%	89.0%	11.0%	100.0%			
	2005	183,332	4,540	181	11,944	507	196	5,687	206,387	29,222	235,609	0.485		
% of Tot.	77.8%	1.9%	0.1%	5.1%	0.2%	0.1%	2.4%	87.6%	12.4%	100.0%				
Napa County	2000	82,275	1,330	444	3,502	81	298	2,794	90,724	29,071	119,795	0.535		
	% of Tot.	68.7%	1.1%	0.4%	2.9%	0.1%	0.2%	2.3%	75.7%	24.3%	100.0%			
	2005	79,576	1,655	723	6,333	0	27	3,098	91,412	36,033	127,445	0.598		
% of Tot.	62.4%	1.3%	0.6%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	71.7%	28.3%	100.0%				
SF Bayview-Hunters Point	2000	11,288	20,822	275	42,954	1,385	0	1,991	78,715	23,016	101,731	0.840		
	% of Tot.	11.1%	20.5%	0.3%	42.2%	1.4%	0.0%	2.0%	77.4%	22.6%	100.0%			
	2005	10,321	15,211	67	41,170	899	130	2,280	70,078	25,729	95,807	0.834		
% of Tot.	10.8%	15.9%	0.1%	43.0%	0.9%	0.1%	2.4%	73.1%	26.9%	100.0%				
SF Inner Mission-Castro	2000	63,951	5,620	304	11,845	69	492	3,922	86,203	21,663	107,866	0.725		
	% of Tot.	59.3%	5.2%	0.3%	11.0%	0.1%	0.5%	3.6%	79.9%	20.1%	100.0%			
	2005	65,211	3,116	194	11,618	307	171	1,865	82,482	19,566	102,048	0.649		
% of Tot.	63.9%	3.1%	0.2%	11.4%	0.3%	0.2%	1.8%	80.8%	19.2%	100.0%				
SF North Beach-Chinatown	2000	62,795	1,160	176	35,088	244	250	2,229	101,942	4,271	106,213	0.589		
	% of Tot.	59.1%	1.1%	0.2%	33.0%	0.2%	0.2%	2.1%	96.0%	4.0%	100.0%			
	2005	59,805	2,675	361	31,974	145	453	572	95,985	4,981	100,966	0.608		
% of Tot.	59.2%	2.6%	0.4%	31.7%	0.1%	0.4%	0.6%	95.1%	4.9%	100.0%				
SF Richmond District	2000	67,849	11,256	402	41,203	156	695	4,509	126,070	8,066	134,136	0.756		
	% of Tot.	50.6%	8.4%	0.3%	30.7%	0.1%	0.5%	3.4%	94.0%	6.0%	100.0%			
	2005	60,461	11,019	324	40,990	0	361	2,252	115,407	6,571	121,978	0.733		
% of Tot.	49.6%	9.0%	0.3%	33.6%	0.0%	0.3%	1.8%	94.6%	5.4%	100.0%				

Notes: Based on data from Census 2000 Public Use Microdata Sample (5% PUMS), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table C03002). See Page 13 for an explanation of the diversity index.

Table D.1 (continued)
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race
Household Population by Public Use Microdata Area of Residence: 2000 & 2005

	Not Hispanic or Latino										TOTAL	Diversity Index
	American Indian and Alaska Native			Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander			Two or More Races		Total Not Hispanic or Latino			
Public Use Microdata Area	2000	5,494	588	21,048	993	270	3,406	68,522	31,405	99,927	0.845	
	% of Tot.	36.7%	0.6%	21.1%	1.0%	0.3%	3.4%	68.6%	31.4%	100.0%		
SF South Market - Potrero	2005	7,491	401	24,287	1,296	0	1,881	74,103	27,224	101,327	0.837	
	% of Tot.	38.2%	0.4%	24.0%	1.3%	0.0%	1.9%	73.1%	26.9%	100.0%		
SF Sunset District-North	2000	1,081	219	45,904	49	389	2,949	97,772	5,465	103,237	0.645	
	% of Tot.	45.7%	0.2%	44.5%	0.0%	0.4%	2.9%	94.7%	5.3%	100.0%		
SF Sunset District-South	2005	1,756	56	46,058	0	355	3,825	96,748	4,145	100,893	0.655	
	% of Tot.	44.3%	0.1%	45.7%	0.0%	0.4%	3.8%	95.9%	4.1%	100.0%		
SF Sunset District-South	2000	8,951	216	39,396	321	449	3,441	93,115	14,070	107,185	0.829	
	% of Tot.	37.6%	0.2%	36.8%	0.3%	0.4%	3.2%	86.9%	13.1%	100.0%		
SF Sunset District-South	2005	4,540	433	41,541	79	206	2,453	85,383	10,675	96,058	0.764	
	% of Tot.	37.6%	0.5%	43.2%	0.1%	0.2%	2.6%	88.9%	11.1%	100.0%		
SAN FRANCISCO	2000	54,384	2,180	237,438	3,217	2,545	22,447	652,339	107,956	760,295	0.815	
	% of Tot.	43.4%	0.3%	31.2%	0.4%	0.3%	3.0%	85.8%	14.2%	100.0%		
COUNTY TOTAL	2005	45,808	1,836	237,638	2,726	1,676	15,128	620,186	98,891	719,077	0.790	
	% of Tot.	43.9%	0.3%	33.0%	0.4%	0.2%	2.1%	86.2%	13.8%	100.0%		
Brisbane-San Bruno	2000	2,596	332	30,694	2,343	691	5,027	83,565	31,108	114,673	0.821	
	% of Tot.	36.5%	0.3%	26.8%	2.0%	0.6%	4.4%	72.9%	27.1%	100.0%		
Brisbane-San Bruno	2005	2,049	114	32,922	2,341	1,210	3,150	75,343	30,011	105,354	0.807	
	% of Tot.	31.9%	0.1%	31.2%	2.2%	1.1%	3.0%	71.5%	28.5%	100.0%		
Daly City-Pacifica	2000	4,862	194	55,970	981	364	6,597	111,623	27,990	139,613	0.820	
	% of Tot.	30.6%	0.1%	40.1%	0.7%	0.3%	4.7%	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%		
Daly City-Pacifica	2005	5,886	0	57,145	422	700	2,663	102,005	25,477	127,482	0.792	
	% of Tot.	27.6%	0.0%	44.8%	0.3%	0.5%	2.1%	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%		
Menlo Park-East Palo Alto	2000	9,096	360	4,680	1,857	162	2,884	72,993	36,744	109,737	0.744	
	% of Tot.	49.2%	0.3%	4.3%	1.7%	0.1%	2.6%	66.5%	33.5%	100.0%		
Menlo Park-East Palo Alto	2005	6,155	656	8,350	3,113	0	2,631	82,107	29,205	111,312	0.732	
	% of Tot.	55.0%	0.6%	7.5%	2.8%	0.0%	2.4%	73.8%	26.2%	100.0%		

Notes: Based on data from Census 2000 Public Use Microdata Sample (5% PUMS), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table C03002). See Page 13 for an explanation of the diversity index.

Table D.1 (continued)
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race
Household Population by Public Use Microdata Area of Residence: 2000 & 2005

	Not Hispanic or Latino												
Public Use Microdata Area	American Indian and Alaska Native							Total Not Hispanic or Latino	Hispanic or Latino	TOTAL	Diversity Index		
	White Alone	Black or African American Alone	Asian Alone	Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone	Some Other Race Alone	Two or More Races							
Millbrae-Burlingame	2000	57,893	1,628	415	17,487	1,342	244	2,952	81,961	15,198	97,159	0.688	
	% of Tot.	59.6%	1.7%	0.4%	18.0%	1.4%	0.3%	3.0%	84.4%	15.6%	100.0%		
	2005	57,069	2,588	0	25,331	927	1,973	2,467	90,355	16,678	107,033		
	% of Tot.	53.3%	2.4%	0.0%	23.7%	0.9%	1.8%	2.3%	84.4%	15.6%	100.0%	0.741	
Redwood City-San Carlos	2000	78,845	2,141	296	11,479	599	335	3,411	97,106	23,738	120,844	0.630	
	% of Tot.	65.2%	1.8%	0.2%	9.5%	0.5%	0.3%	2.8%	80.4%	19.6%	100.0%		
	2005	72,198	882	275	13,333	953	327	2,634	90,602	36,661	127,263		
	% of Tot.	56.7%	0.7%	0.2%	10.5%	0.7%	0.3%	2.1%	71.2%	28.8%	100.0%	0.655	
San Mateo-Coastside	2000	69,898	1,440	141	21,472	1,022	413	4,082	98,468	15,738	114,206	0.670	
	% of Tot.	61.2%	1.3%	0.1%	18.8%	0.9%	0.4%	3.6%	86.2%	13.8%	100.0%		
	2005	63,506	1,783	0	22,552	1,111	319	3,624	92,895	17,932	110,827		
	% of Tot.	57.3%	1.6%	0.0%	20.3%	1.0%	0.3%	3.3%	83.8%	16.2%	100.0%	0.701	
SAN MATEO COUNTY TOTAL	2000	345,127	21,763	1,738	141,782	8,144	2,209	24,953	545,716	150,516	696,232	0.777	
	% of Tot.	49.6%	3.1%	0.2%	20.4%	1.2%	0.3%	3.6%	78.4%	21.6%	100.0%		
	2005	322,721	19,343	1,045	159,633	8,867	4,529	17,169	533,307	155,964	689,271		
	% of Tot.	46.8%	2.8%	0.2%	23.2%	1.3%	0.7%	2.5%	77.4%	22.6%	100.0%	0.776	
Almaden Valley	2000	63,964	2,112	386	17,823	201	150	4,190	88,826	14,963	103,789	0.685	
	% of Tot.	61.6%	2.0%	0.4%	17.2%	0.2%	0.1%	4.0%	85.6%	14.4%	100.0%		
	2005	63,892	2,322	164	23,812	669	0	3,243	94,102	20,242	114,344		
	% of Tot.	55.9%	2.0%	0.1%	20.8%	0.6%	0.0%	2.8%	82.3%	17.7%	100.0%	0.712	
Campbell-West San Jose	2000	67,294	3,088	214	31,978	564	322	4,643	108,103	17,524	125,627	0.734	
	% of Tot.	53.6%	2.5%	0.2%	25.5%	0.4%	0.3%	3.7%	86.1%	13.9%	100.0%		
	2005	60,321	3,705	192	36,172	1,208	0	2,801	104,399	24,693	129,092		
	% of Tot.	46.7%	2.9%	0.1%	28.0%	0.9%	0.0%	2.2%	80.9%	19.1%	100.0%	0.758	
Central San Jose	2000	34,118	3,390	665	13,615	237	87	2,767	54,879	59,336	114,215	0.726	
	% of Tot.	29.9%	3.0%	0.6%	11.9%	0.2%	0.1%	2.4%	48.0%	52.0%	100.0%		
	2005	28,554	3,039	400	14,401	583	1,587	1,520	50,084	50,163	100,247		
	% of Tot.	28.5%	3.0%	0.4%	14.4%	0.6%	1.6%	1.5%	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	0.753	

Notes: Based on data from Census 2000 Public Use Microdata Sample (5% PUMS), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table C03002). See Page 13 for an explanation of the diversity index.

Table D.1 (continued)
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race
Household Population by Public Use Microdata Area of Residence: 2000 & 2005

	Not Hispanic or Latino													
	American Indian and Alaska Native				Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander				Total Not Hispanic or Latino			Hispanic or Latino	TOTAL	Diversity Index
	Black or African American	White	Asian Alone	Some Other Race Alone	Two or More Races									
Public Use Microdata Area	2000	77,108	431	511	36,734	253	150	2,945	118,132	4,839	122,971	0.562		
	% of Tot.	62.7%	0.4%	0.4%	29.9%	0.2%	0.1%	2.4%	96.1%	3.9%	100.0%			
	2005	76,527	927	466	49,088	346	680	2,241	130,275	4,014	134,289	0.572		
	% of Tot.	57.0%	0.7%	0.3%	36.6%	0.3%	0.5%	1.7%	97.0%	3.0%	100.0%			
East San Jose	2000	6,479	2,615	237	32,386	246	234	1,808	44,005	54,000	98,005	0.658		
	% of Tot.	6.6%	2.7%	0.2%	33.0%	0.3%	0.2%	1.8%	44.9%	55.1%	100.0%			
	2005	6,207	2,323	998	31,421	636	174	947	42,706	43,583	86,289	0.678		
	% of Tot.	7.2%	2.7%	1.2%	36.4%	0.7%	0.2%	1.1%	49.5%	50.5%	100.0%			
East San Jose-Alum Rock	2000	23,000	3,450	166	33,537	34	133	3,483	63,803	41,963	105,766	0.804		
	% of Tot.	21.7%	3.3%	0.2%	31.7%	0.0%	0.1%	3.3%	60.3%	39.7%	100.0%			
	2005	16,156	3,348	0	29,354	0	143	1,423	50,424	41,400	91,824	0.758		
	% of Tot.	17.6%	3.6%	0.0%	32.0%	0.0%	0.2%	1.5%	54.9%	45.1%	100.0%			
East San Jose-Evergreen	2000	27,783	4,390	562	38,276	512	103	2,596	74,222	28,854	103,076	0.821		
	% of Tot.	27.0%	4.3%	0.5%	37.1%	0.5%	0.1%	2.5%	72.0%	28.0%	100.0%			
	2005	18,795	4,401	65	46,766	288	1,623	2,192	74,130	42,265	116,395	0.787		
	% of Tot.	16.1%	3.8%	0.1%	40.2%	0.2%	1.4%	1.9%	63.7%	36.3%	100.0%			
Gilroy-Morgan Hill	2000	50,515	1,692	161	5,547	0	85	2,405	60,405	36,586	96,991	0.646		
	% of Tot.	52.1%	1.7%	0.2%	5.7%	0.0%	0.1%	2.5%	62.3%	37.7%	100.0%			
	2005	49,260	1,047	120	5,485	59	0	2,344	58,315	42,176	100,491	0.629		
	% of Tot.	49.0%	1.0%	0.1%	5.5%	0.1%	0.0%	2.3%	58.0%	42.0%	100.0%			
Milpitas	2000	26,927	3,722	454	63,543	582	225	3,455	98,908	18,125	117,033	0.736		
	% of Tot.	23.0%	3.2%	0.4%	54.3%	0.5%	0.2%	3.0%	84.5%	15.5%	100.0%			
	2005	21,907	3,136	566	68,534	63	566	1,823	96,595	16,332	112,927	0.681		
	% of Tot.	19.4%	2.8%	0.5%	60.7%	0.1%	0.5%	1.6%	85.5%	14.5%	100.0%			
Palo Alto-Mountain View	2000	114,325	3,375	555	32,907	144	642	5,449	157,397	16,935	174,332	0.634		
	% of Tot.	65.6%	1.9%	0.3%	18.9%	0.1%	0.4%	3.1%	90.3%	9.7%	100.0%			
	2005	110,051	2,802	1,539	43,990	454	1,552	5,135	165,523	17,636	183,159	0.670		
	% of Tot.	60.1%	1.5%	0.8%	24.0%	0.2%	0.8%	2.8%	90.4%	9.6%	100.0%			

Notes: Based on data from Census 2000 Public Use Microdata Sample (5% PUMS), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table C03002). See Page 13 for an explanation of the diversity index.

Table D.1 (continued)
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race
Household Population by Public Use Microdata Area of Residence: 2000 & 2005

	Not Hispanic or Latino												
Public Use Microdata Area	American Indian and Alaska Native								Total Not Hispanic or Latino	Hispanic or Latino	TOTAL	Diversity Index	
	White Alone	Black or African American Alone	Asian Alone	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone	Some Other Race Alone	Two or More Races							
San Jose-Cambrian Park	2000	61,963	2,969	305	16,044	279	367	5,628	87,555	15,936	103,491	0.720	
	% of Tot.	59.9%	2.9%	0.3%	15.5%	0.3%	0.4%	5.4%	84.6%	15.4%	100.0%		
	2005	56,623	3,747	295	20,228	62	1,303	2,610	84,868	18,640	103,508		
	% of Tot.	54.7%	3.6%	0.3%	19.5%	0.1%	1.3%	2.5%	82.0%	18.0%	100.0%	0.751	
Santa Clara	2000	51,901	3,082	605	29,271	216	131	4,514	89,720	18,483	108,203	0.781	
	% of Tot.	48.0%	2.8%	0.6%	27.1%	0.2%	0.1%	4.2%	82.9%	17.1%	100.0%		
	2005	42,063	1,662	122	41,591	556	629	1,956	88,579	24,765	113,344		
	% of Tot.	37.1%	1.5%	0.1%	36.7%	0.5%	0.6%	1.7%	78.2%	21.8%	100.0%	0.758	
South San Jose	2000	59,422	3,807	726	30,092	607	345	4,750	99,749	45,189	144,938	0.797	
	% of Tot.	41.0%	2.6%	0.5%	20.8%	0.4%	0.2%	3.3%	68.8%	31.2%	100.0%		
	2005	54,889	3,433	265	35,894	244	630	4,087	99,442	48,136	147,578		
	% of Tot.	37.2%	2.3%	0.2%	24.3%	0.2%	0.4%	2.8%	67.4%	32.6%	100.0%	0.795	
Sunnyvale	2000	62,968	2,869	287	45,576	160	159	4,531	116,550	22,881	139,431	0.758	
	% of Tot.	45.2%	2.1%	0.2%	32.7%	0.1%	0.1%	3.2%	83.6%	16.4%	100.0%		
	2005	52,110	4,093	212	52,397	1,053	484	3,439	113,788	22,615	136,403		
	% of Tot.	38.2%	3.0%	0.2%	38.4%	0.8%	0.4%	2.5%	83.4%	16.6%	100.0%	0.773	
SANTA CLARA COUNTY TOTAL	2000	727,767	40,992	5,834	427,329	4,035	3,133	53,164	1,262,254	395,614	1,657,868	0.788	
	% of Tot.	43.9%	2.5%	0.4%	25.8%	0.2%	0.2%	3.2%	76.1%	23.9%	100.0%		
	2005	657,355	39,985	5,404	499,133	6,221	9,371	35,761	1,253,230	416,660	1,669,890		
	% of Tot.	39.4%	2.4%	0.3%	29.9%	0.4%	0.6%	2.1%	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%	0.790	
Fairfield	2000	58,012	18,663	790	15,000	930	55	7,400	100,850	21,913	122,763	0.867	
	% of Tot.	47.3%	15.2%	0.6%	12.2%	0.8%	0.0%	6.0%	82.2%	17.8%	100.0%		
	2005	52,150	18,099	707	23,271	246	877	4,618	99,968	32,333	132,301		
	% of Tot.	39.4%	13.7%	0.5%	17.6%	0.2%	0.7%	3.5%	75.6%	24.4%	100.0%	0.891	
Vacaville-Dixon	2000	73,839	4,067	1,209	3,424	171	163	4,880	87,753	23,829	111,582	0.619	
	% of Tot.	66.2%	3.6%	1.1%	3.1%	0.2%	0.1%	4.4%	78.6%	21.4%	100.0%		
	2005	75,005	5,865	218	5,386	538	150	5,823	92,985	25,205	118,190		
	% of Tot.	63.5%	5.0%	0.2%	4.6%	0.5%	0.1%	4.9%	78.7%	21.3%	100.0%	0.666	

Notes: Based on data from Census 2000 Public Use Microdata Sample (5% PUMS), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table C03002). See Page 13 for an explanation of the diversity index.

Table D.1 (continued)
Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race
Household Population by Public Use Microdata Area of Residence: 2000 & 2005

	Not Hispanic or Latino														
	American Indian and Alaska Native							Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander		Some Other Race	Two or More Races	Total Not Hispanic or Latino			Diversity Index
Public Use Microdata Area	White Alone	Black or African American Alone	Asian Alone	Native American Alone	Alaska Native Alone	Hawaiian Alone	Islander Alone	Pacific Alone	Other Alone	More Alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino	TOTAL		Diversity Index
Vallejo-Benicia	2000	56,594	28,375	1,141	29,339	1,290	243	7,864				21,493	146,339		0.913
	% of Tot.	38.7%	19.4%	0.8%	20.0%	0.9%	0.2%	5.4%				14.7%	100.0%		
	2005	49,717	31,995	736	28,167	2,204	1,073	4,460				26,583	144,935		
	% of Tot.	34.3%	22.1%	0.5%	19.4%	1.5%	0.7%	3.1%				18.3%	100.0%		0.917
SOLANO COUNTY TOTAL	2000	188,445	51,105	3,140	47,763	2,391	461	20,144				67,235	380,684		0.848
	% of Tot.	49.5%	13.4%	0.8%	12.5%	0.6%	0.1%	5.3%				17.7%	100.0%		
	2005	176,872	55,959	1,661	56,824	2,988	2,100	14,901				84,121	395,426		
	% of Tot.	44.7%	14.2%	0.4%	14.4%	0.8%	0.5%	3.8%				21.3%	100.0%		0.867
Petaluma-Rohnert Park	2000	85,430	1,404	635	4,777	195	252	4,027				16,030	112,750		0.507
	% of Tot.	75.8%	1.2%	0.6%	4.2%	0.2%	0.2%	3.6%				14.2%	100.0%		
	2005	78,850	1,637	551	4,230	83	212	2,851				22,171	110,585		
	% of Tot.	71.3%	1.5%	0.5%	3.8%	0.1%	0.2%	2.6%				20.0%	100.0%		0.537
Santa Rosa	2000	112,523	3,808	1,778	6,368	179	418	5,075				32,132	162,281		0.579
	% of Tot.	69.3%	2.3%	1.1%	3.9%	0.1%	0.3%	3.1%				19.8%	100.0%		
	2005	104,176	3,462	1,838	8,660	807	566	5,055				44,006	168,570		
	% of Tot.	61.8%	2.1%	1.1%	5.1%	0.5%	0.3%	3.0%				26.1%	100.0%		0.639
Sebastopol-Healdsburg	2000	135,973	712	1,223	2,434	419	393	4,140				27,762	173,056		0.427
	% of Tot.	78.6%	0.4%	0.7%	1.4%	0.2%	0.2%	2.4%				16.0%	100.0%		
	2005	135,622	888	748	4,040	355	734	2,461				29,847	174,695		
	% of Tot.	77.6%	0.5%	0.4%	2.3%	0.2%	0.4%	1.4%				17.1%	100.0%		0.437
SONOMA COUNTY TOTAL	2000	333,926	5,924	3,636	13,579	793	1,063	13,242				75,924	448,087		0.507
	% of Tot.	74.5%	1.3%	0.8%	3.0%	0.2%	0.2%	3.0%				16.9%	100.0%		
	2005	318,648	5,987	3,137	16,930	1,245	1,512	10,367				96,024	453,850		
	% of Tot.	70.2%	1.3%	0.7%	3.7%	0.3%	0.3%	2.3%				21.2%	100.0%		0.544
BAY AREA TOTAL	2000	3,310,698	465,460	27,533	1,275,725	29,938	17,428	239,006				1,284,138	6,649,926		0.811
	% of Tot.	49.8%	7.0%	0.4%	19.2%	0.5%	0.3%	3.6%				19.3%	100.0%		
	2005	3,119,223	448,158	21,731	1,464,141	35,450	30,887	171,418				1,427,354	6,718,362		
	% of Tot.	46.4%	6.7%	0.3%	21.8%	0.5%	0.5%	2.6%				21.2%	100.0%		0.817

Notes: Based on data from Census 2000 Public Use Microdata Sample (5% PUMS), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table C03002). See Page 13 for an explanation of the diversity index.

Table D.2
Household Vehicle Availability by Public Use Microdata Area of Residence: 2000 & 2005

		Household Vehicle Availability						TOTAL
Public Use Microdata Area		No Vehicle	1 Vehicle	2 Vehicles	3 Vehicles	4 Vehicles	5-or-More Vehicles	
Alameda-San Leandro	2000	5,851	24,423	22,255	7,166	1,715	879	62,289
	% of Tot.	9.4%	39.2%	35.7%	11.5%	2.8%	1.4%	100.0%
	2005	5,216	20,841	23,541	7,144	2,655	881	60,278
	% of Tot.	8.7%	34.6%	39.1%	11.9%	4.4%	1.5%	100.0%
Berkeley-Albany	2000	8,178	23,705	15,623	3,392	711	357	51,966
	% of Tot.	15.7%	45.6%	30.1%	6.5%	1.4%	0.7%	100.0%
	2005	7,810	22,824	15,440	4,739	557	284	51,654
	% of Tot.	15.1%	44.2%	29.9%	9.2%	1.1%	0.5%	100.0%
Castro Valley-San Lorenzo	2000	3,078	15,023	19,075	6,871	2,146	878	47,071
	% of Tot.	6.5%	31.9%	40.5%	14.6%	4.6%	1.9%	100.0%
	2005	2,854	15,148	17,339	7,272	2,595	1,354	46,562
	% of Tot.	6.1%	32.5%	37.2%	15.6%	5.6%	2.9%	100.0%
East Oakland	2000	7,352	15,670	10,660	3,612	1,124	564	38,982
	% of Tot.	18.9%	40.2%	27.3%	9.3%	2.9%	1.4%	100.0%
	2005	5,322	14,528	10,460	4,461	3,149	388	38,308
	% of Tot.	13.9%	37.9%	27.3%	11.6%	8.2%	1.0%	100.0%
Fremont	2000	2,935	16,021	26,905	10,844	3,337	1,262	61,304
	% of Tot.	4.8%	26.1%	43.9%	17.7%	5.4%	2.1%	100.0%
	2005	2,048	15,670	27,732	11,075	3,418	1,028	60,971
	% of Tot.	3.4%	25.7%	45.5%	18.2%	5.6%	1.7%	100.0%
Hayward	2000	3,400	13,923	17,143	6,667	2,343	942	44,418
	% of Tot.	7.7%	31.3%	38.6%	15.0%	5.3%	2.1%	100.0%
	2005	1,800	11,656	17,570	8,153	3,012	1,113	43,304
	% of Tot.	4.2%	26.9%	40.6%	18.8%	7.0%	2.6%	100.0%
Newark-Union City-Fremont	2000	1,754	8,608	16,138	7,691	2,813	1,203	38,207
	% of Tot.	4.6%	22.5%	42.2%	20.1%	7.4%	3.1%	100.0%
	2005	1,324	7,307	16,442	8,965	2,773	1,164	37,975
	% of Tot.	3.5%	19.2%	43.3%	23.6%	7.3%	3.1%	100.0%
Oakland Hills-Piedmont	2000	4,830	20,270	20,799	6,424	1,618	602	54,543
	% of Tot.	8.9%	37.2%	38.1%	11.8%	3.0%	1.1%	100.0%
	2005	3,849	21,282	20,170	5,506	1,770	454	53,031
	% of Tot.	7.3%	40.1%	38.0%	10.4%	3.3%	0.9%	100.0%
Pleasanton-Livermore	2000	2,042	14,953	28,284	10,961	3,061	1,186	60,487
	% of Tot.	3.4%	24.7%	46.8%	18.1%	5.1%	2.0%	100.0%
	2005	1,313	16,652	29,295	13,996	4,446	1,394	67,096
	% of Tot.	2.0%	24.8%	43.7%	20.9%	6.6%	2.1%	100.0%
West-North-Oakland-Emeryville	2000	17,867	29,990	12,193	2,796	701	552	64,099
	% of Tot.	27.9%	46.8%	19.0%	4.4%	1.1%	0.9%	100.0%
	2005	15,058	27,975	15,150	2,596	766	656	62,201
	% of Tot.	24.2%	45.0%	24.4%	4.2%	1.2%	1.1%	100.0%
ALAMEDA COUNTY TOTAL	2000	57,287	182,586	189,075	66,424	19,569	8,425	523,366
	% of Tot.	10.9%	34.9%	36.1%	12.7%	3.7%	1.6%	100.0%
	2005	46,594	173,883	193,139	73,907	25,141	8,716	521,380
	% of Tot.	8.9%	33.4%	37.0%	14.2%	4.8%	1.7%	100.0%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Summary File 3 (Table H44), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table B08014).

Table D.2 (continued)

Household Vehicle Availability by Public Use Microdata Area of Residence: 2000 & 2005

		Household Vehicle Availability						TOTAL
Public Use Microdata Area		No Vehicle	1 Vehicle	2 Vehicles	3 Vehicles	4 Vehicles	5-or-More Vehicles	
Antioch-Pittsburg	2000	2,863	11,339	13,217	4,893	1,745	649	34,706
	% of Tot.	8.2%	32.7%	38.1%	14.1%	5.0%	1.9%	100.0%
	2005	2,827	10,037	14,993	6,022	1,930	588	36,397
	% of Tot.	7.8%	27.6%	41.2%	16.5%	5.3%	1.6%	100.0%
Brentwood-Byron	2000	1,343	7,825	16,863	7,370	2,086	899	36,386
	% of Tot.	3.7%	21.5%	46.3%	20.3%	5.7%	2.5%	100.0%
	2005	805	9,136	21,017	11,157	2,214	1,663	45,992
	% of Tot.	1.8%	19.9%	45.7%	24.3%	4.8%	3.6%	100.0%
Concord-Clayton	2000	2,724	12,452	18,013	6,509	2,428	684	42,810
	% of Tot.	6.4%	29.1%	42.1%	15.2%	5.7%	1.6%	100.0%
	2005	2,641	12,603	19,040	7,393	2,756	772	45,205
	% of Tot.	5.8%	27.9%	42.1%	16.4%	6.1%	1.7%	100.0%
Danville-San Ramon	2000	909	7,760	20,052	8,226	2,432	793	40,172
	% of Tot.	2.3%	19.3%	49.9%	20.5%	6.1%	2.0%	100.0%
	2005	841	7,849	19,214	11,470	2,085	643	42,102
	% of Tot.	2.0%	18.6%	45.6%	27.2%	5.0%	1.5%	100.0%
El Cerrito-Hercules	2000	2,045	13,533	16,918	7,339	2,414	899	43,148
	% of Tot.	4.7%	31.4%	39.2%	17.0%	5.6%	2.1%	100.0%
	2005	2,024	12,245	16,050	8,766	3,695	941	43,721
	% of Tot.	4.6%	28.0%	36.7%	20.0%	8.5%	2.2%	100.0%
Martinez-Pleasant Hill	2000	2,920	14,202	16,502	5,844	1,799	715	41,982
	% of Tot.	7.0%	33.8%	39.3%	13.9%	4.3%	1.7%	100.0%
	2005	2,841	12,657	16,903	6,981	2,383	399	42,164
	% of Tot.	6.7%	30.0%	40.1%	16.6%	5.7%	0.9%	100.0%
Richmond-San Pablo	2000	6,283	17,341	12,778	4,191	1,299	452	42,344
	% of Tot.	14.8%	41.0%	30.2%	9.9%	3.1%	1.1%	100.0%
	2005	5,371	16,208	15,154	5,026	820	413	42,992
	% of Tot.	12.5%	37.7%	35.2%	11.7%	1.9%	1.0%	100.0%
Walnut Creek-Lamorinda	2000	3,266	20,526	26,312	9,605	2,164	708	62,581
	% of Tot.	5.2%	32.8%	42.0%	15.3%	3.5%	1.1%	100.0%
	2005	2,767	19,731	27,597	10,098	2,350	986	63,529
	% of Tot.	4.4%	31.1%	43.4%	15.9%	3.7%	1.6%	100.0%
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY TOTAL	2000	22,353	104,978	140,655	53,977	16,367	5,799	344,129
	% of Tot.	6.5%	30.5%	40.9%	15.7%	4.8%	1.7%	100.0%
	2005	20,117	100,466	149,968	66,913	18,233	6,405	362,102
	% of Tot.	5.6%	27.7%	41.4%	18.5%	5.0%	1.8%	100.0%
Novato-West Marin	2000	1,916	12,696	17,317	6,020	1,752	520	40,221
	% of Tot.	4.8%	31.6%	43.1%	15.0%	4.4%	1.3%	100.0%
	2005	2,015	11,790	16,296	6,499	3,465	422	40,487
	% of Tot.	5.0%	29.1%	40.2%	16.1%	8.6%	1.0%	100.0%
Sausalito-San Rafael	2000	3,178	22,479	25,213	7,312	1,706	541	60,429
	% of Tot.	5.3%	37.2%	41.7%	12.1%	2.8%	0.9%	100.0%
	2005	2,968	21,803	26,373	8,147	2,058	557	61,906
	% of Tot.	4.8%	35.2%	42.6%	13.2%	3.3%	0.9%	100.0%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Summary File 3 (Table H44), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table B08014).

Table D.2 (continued)

Household Vehicle Availability by Public Use Microdata Area of Residence: 2000 & 2005

		Household Vehicle Availability						
		5-or-More						
Public Use Microdata Area		No Vehicle	1 Vehicle	2 Vehicles	3 Vehicles	4 Vehicles	Vehicles	TOTAL
MARIN COUNTY TOTAL	2000	5,094	35,175	42,530	13,332	3,458	1,061	100,650
	% of Tot.	5.1%	34.9%	42.3%	13.2%	3.4%	1.1%	100.0%
	2005	4,983	33,593	42,669	14,646	5,523	979	102,393
	% of Tot.	4.9%	32.8%	41.7%	14.3%	5.4%	1.0%	100.0%
Napa County	2000	2,803	14,688	18,052	6,747	2,204	908	45,402
	% of Tot.	6.2%	32.4%	39.8%	14.9%	4.9%	2.0%	100.0%
	2005	2,029	14,049	19,858	8,612	2,491	1,163	48,202
	% of Tot.	4.2%	29.1%	41.2%	17.9%	5.2%	2.4%	100.0%
SF Bayview-Hunters Point	2000	5,381	10,352	8,164	3,113	1,009	432	28,451
	% of Tot.	18.9%	36.4%	28.7%	10.9%	3.5%	1.5%	100.0%
	2005	5,839	9,730	9,241	3,428	1,405	106	29,749
	% of Tot.	19.6%	32.7%	31.1%	11.5%	4.7%	0.4%	100.0%
SF Inner Mission-Castro	2000	12,669	24,258	11,632	2,236	422	238	51,455
	% of Tot.	24.6%	47.1%	22.6%	4.3%	0.8%	0.5%	100.0%
	2005	12,516	22,950	12,074	987	362	52	48,941
	% of Tot.	25.6%	46.9%	24.7%	2.0%	0.7%	0.1%	100.0%
SF North Beach-Chinatown	2000	22,845	27,483	9,130	1,208	211	119	60,996
	% of Tot.	37.5%	45.1%	15.0%	2.0%	0.3%	0.2%	100.0%
	2005	25,262	23,917	6,638	983	255	211	57,266
	% of Tot.	44.1%	41.8%	11.6%	1.7%	0.4%	0.4%	100.0%
SF Richmond District	2000	16,050	28,487	13,725	2,989	729	327	62,307
	% of Tot.	25.8%	45.7%	22.0%	4.8%	1.2%	0.5%	100.0%
	2005	21,562	25,402	10,475	2,217	581	299	60,536
	% of Tot.	35.6%	42.0%	17.3%	3.7%	1.0%	0.5%	100.0%
SF South Market - Potrero	2000	27,783	14,433	4,718	939	180	272	48,325
	% of Tot.	57.5%	29.9%	9.8%	1.9%	0.4%	0.6%	100.0%
	2005	25,823	16,600	5,553	1,070	0	211	49,257
	% of Tot.	52.4%	33.7%	11.3%	2.2%	0.0%	0.4%	100.0%
SF Sunset District-North	2000	5,554	18,683	12,839	3,349	845	293	41,563
	% of Tot.	13.4%	45.0%	30.9%	8.1%	2.0%	0.7%	100.0%
	2005	6,363	17,752	13,038	2,724	846	368	41,091
	% of Tot.	15.5%	43.2%	31.7%	6.6%	2.1%	0.9%	100.0%
SF Sunset District-South	2000	3,896	14,830	12,809	3,748	886	434	36,603
	% of Tot.	10.6%	40.5%	35.0%	10.2%	2.4%	1.2%	100.0%
	2005	3,521	13,572	13,125	3,535	1,637	169	35,559
	% of Tot.	9.9%	38.2%	36.9%	9.9%	4.6%	0.5%	100.0%
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY TOTAL	2000	94,178	138,526	73,017	17,582	4,282	2,115	329,700
	% of Tot.	28.6%	42.0%	22.1%	5.3%	1.3%	0.6%	100.0%
	2005	100,886	129,923	70,144	14,944	5,086	1,416	322,399
	% of Tot.	31.3%	40.3%	21.8%	4.6%	1.6%	0.4%	100.0%
Brisbane-San Bruno	2000	2,565	12,028	14,511	6,180	1,949	913	38,146
	% of Tot.	6.7%	31.5%	38.0%	16.2%	5.1%	2.4%	100.0%
	2005	3,078	10,711	14,735	6,506	2,536	541	38,107
	% of Tot.	8.1%	28.1%	38.7%	17.1%	6.7%	1.4%	100.0%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Summary File 3 (Table H44), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table B08014).

Table D.2 (continued)

Household Vehicle Availability by Public Use Microdata Area of Residence: 2000 & 2005

		Household Vehicle Availability						TOTAL
Public Use Microdata Area		No Vehicle	1 Vehicle	2 Vehicles	3 Vehicles	4 Vehicles	5-or-More Vehicles	
Daly City-Pacifica	2000	2,979	14,262	16,928	6,867	2,656	1,018	44,710
	% of Tot.	6.7%	31.9%	37.9%	15.4%	5.9%	2.3%	100.0%
	2005	3,748	15,804	14,213	7,808	2,385	805	44,763
	% of Tot.	8.4%	35.3%	31.8%	17.4%	5.3%	1.8%	100.0%
Menlo Park-East Palo Alto	2000	2,130	11,123	14,360	6,285	2,299	1,204	37,401
	% of Tot.	5.7%	29.7%	38.4%	16.8%	6.1%	3.2%	100.0%
	2005	1,286	9,341	13,058	8,751	3,101	1,079	36,616
	% of Tot.	3.5%	25.5%	35.7%	23.9%	8.5%	2.9%	100.0%
Millbrae-Burlingame	2000	3,180	14,342	15,251	5,718	1,560	551	40,602
	% of Tot.	7.8%	35.3%	37.6%	14.1%	3.8%	1.4%	100.0%
	2005	3,000	17,018	15,581	4,843	2,057	713	43,212
	% of Tot.	6.9%	39.4%	36.1%	11.2%	4.8%	1.7%	100.0%
Redwood City-San Carlos	2000	3,118	15,584	20,480	6,806	2,092	741	48,821
	% of Tot.	6.4%	31.9%	41.9%	13.9%	4.3%	1.5%	100.0%
	2005	2,965	15,056	19,669	7,921	1,803	1,235	48,649
	% of Tot.	6.1%	30.9%	40.4%	16.3%	3.7%	2.5%	100.0%
San Mateo-Coastside	2000	1,535	13,540	19,948	6,690	1,967	743	44,423
	% of Tot.	3.5%	30.5%	44.9%	15.1%	4.4%	1.7%	100.0%
	2005	1,330	13,357	18,114	6,595	2,618	866	42,880
	% of Tot.	3.1%	31.1%	42.2%	15.4%	6.1%	2.0%	100.0%
SAN MATEO COUNTY TOTAL	2000	15,507	80,879	101,478	38,546	12,523	5,170	254,103
	% of Tot.	6.1%	31.8%	39.9%	15.2%	4.9%	2.0%	100.0%
	2005	15,407	81,287	95,370	42,424	14,500	5,239	254,227
	% of Tot.	6.1%	32.0%	37.5%	16.7%	5.7%	2.1%	100.0%
Almaden Valley	2000	1,325	6,901	15,900	7,094	2,313	934	34,467
	% of Tot.	3.8%	20.0%	46.1%	20.6%	6.7%	2.7%	100.0%
	2005	493	6,869	18,173	7,283	2,639	1,142	36,599
	% of Tot.	1.3%	18.8%	49.7%	19.9%	7.2%	3.1%	100.0%
Campbell-West San Jose	2000	2,493	16,047	20,325	6,364	2,195	740	48,164
	% of Tot.	5.2%	33.3%	42.2%	13.2%	4.6%	1.5%	100.0%
	2005	2,027	18,862	20,578	6,862	1,715	442	50,486
	% of Tot.	4.0%	37.4%	40.8%	13.6%	3.4%	0.9%	100.0%
Central San Jose	2000	4,881	13,873	12,757	4,164	1,336	933	37,944
	% of Tot.	12.9%	36.6%	33.6%	11.0%	3.5%	2.5%	100.0%
	2005	3,258	14,616	14,035	4,223	1,267	721	38,120
	% of Tot.	8.5%	38.3%	36.8%	11.1%	3.3%	1.9%	100.0%
Cupertino-Los Gatos	2000	1,544	10,691	21,629	8,865	3,082	1,104	46,915
	% of Tot.	3.3%	22.8%	46.1%	18.9%	6.6%	2.4%	100.0%
	2005	1,487	9,582	21,466	8,902	5,070	972	47,479
	% of Tot.	3.1%	20.2%	45.2%	18.7%	10.7%	2.0%	100.0%
East San Jose	2000	1,961	5,122	7,296	4,027	2,084	1,187	21,677
	% of Tot.	9.0%	23.6%	33.7%	18.6%	9.6%	5.5%	100.0%
	2005	2,804	6,396	8,249	3,306	1,993	1,362	24,110
	% of Tot.	11.6%	26.5%	34.2%	13.7%	8.3%	5.6%	100.0%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Summary File 3 (Table H44), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table B08014).

Table D.2 (continued)

Household Vehicle Availability by Public Use Microdata Area of Residence: 2000 & 2005

		Household Vehicle Availability						TOTAL
Public Use Microdata Area		No Vehicle	1 Vehicle	2 Vehicles	3 Vehicles	4 Vehicles	5-or-More Vehicles	
East San Jose-Alum Rock	2000	1,234	4,842	10,271	6,418	2,819	1,666	27,250
	% of Tot.	4.5%	17.8%	37.7%	23.6%	10.3%	6.1%	100.0%
	2005	730	4,412	8,805	7,139	2,111	1,177	24,374
	% of Tot.	3.0%	18.1%	36.1%	29.3%	8.7%	4.8%	100.0%
East San Jose-Evergreen	2000	1,096	5,831	12,209	6,049	2,389	1,214	28,788
	% of Tot.	3.8%	20.3%	42.4%	21.0%	8.3%	4.2%	100.0%
	2005	986	6,525	12,520	7,563	2,487	1,910	31,991
	% of Tot.	3.1%	20.4%	39.1%	23.6%	7.8%	6.0%	100.0%
Gilroy-Morgan Hill	2000	1,478	6,958	12,569	6,653	2,121	1,083	30,862
	% of Tot.	4.8%	22.5%	40.7%	21.6%	6.9%	3.5%	100.0%
	2005	1,286	7,127	11,764	5,864	3,748	1,424	31,213
	% of Tot.	4.1%	22.8%	37.7%	18.8%	12.0%	4.6%	100.0%
Milpitas	2000	1,667	7,220	14,686	6,784	3,061	1,629	35,047
	% of Tot.	4.8%	20.6%	41.9%	19.4%	8.7%	4.6%	100.0%
	2005	1,885	7,776	15,275	5,631	3,120	2,011	35,698
	% of Tot.	5.3%	21.8%	42.8%	15.8%	8.7%	5.6%	100.0%
Palo Alto-Mountain View	2000	4,195	26,135	30,937	9,966	2,702	1,152	75,087
	% of Tot.	5.6%	34.8%	41.2%	13.3%	3.6%	1.5%	100.0%
	2005	4,136	26,944	31,412	11,098	2,691	984	77,265
	% of Tot.	5.4%	34.9%	40.7%	14.4%	3.5%	1.3%	100.0%
San Jose-Cambrian Park	2000	1,596	9,212	15,847	6,092	2,102	915	35,764
	% of Tot.	4.5%	25.8%	44.3%	17.0%	5.9%	2.6%	100.0%
	2005	1,252	9,033	15,444	7,626	2,931	411	36,697
	% of Tot.	3.4%	24.6%	42.1%	20.8%	8.0%	1.1%	100.0%
Santa Clara	2000	2,452	14,928	15,943	4,981	1,619	755	40,678
	% of Tot.	6.0%	36.7%	39.2%	12.2%	4.0%	1.9%	100.0%
	2005	2,381	16,230	16,250	5,510	1,710	783	42,864
	% of Tot.	5.6%	37.9%	37.9%	12.9%	4.0%	1.8%	100.0%
South San Jose	2000	3,112	15,926	19,474	7,140	2,289	927	48,868
	% of Tot.	6.4%	32.6%	39.9%	14.6%	4.7%	1.9%	100.0%
	2005	3,110	15,938	19,913	7,163	1,795	1,221	49,140
	% of Tot.	6.3%	32.4%	40.5%	14.6%	3.7%	2.5%	100.0%
Sunnyvale	2000	2,944	20,526	21,963	6,196	1,979	744	54,352
	% of Tot.	5.4%	37.8%	40.4%	11.4%	3.6%	1.4%	100.0%
	2005	2,192	21,721	22,001	5,952	1,786	442	54,094
	% of Tot.	4.1%	40.2%	40.7%	11.0%	3.3%	0.8%	100.0%
SANTA CLARA COUNTY TOTAL	2000	31,978	164,212	231,806	90,793	32,091	14,983	565,863
	% of Tot.	5.7%	29.0%	41.0%	16.0%	5.7%	2.6%	100.0%
	2005	28,027	172,031	235,885	94,122	35,063	15,002	580,130
	% of Tot.	4.8%	29.7%	40.7%	16.2%	6.0%	2.6%	100.0%
Fairfield	2000	2,568	11,396	16,507	6,755	2,324	919	40,469
	% of Tot.	6.3%	28.2%	40.8%	16.7%	5.7%	2.3%	100.0%
	2005	1,961	9,379	15,319	10,698	3,443	1,152	41,952
	% of Tot.	4.7%	22.4%	36.5%	25.5%	8.2%	2.7%	100.0%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Summary File 3 (Table H44), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table B08014).

Table D.2 (continued)

Household Vehicle Availability by Public Use Microdata Area of Residence: 2000 & 2005

		Household Vehicle Availability						TOTAL
Public Use Microdata Area		No Vehicle	1 Vehicle	2 Vehicles	3 Vehicles	4 Vehicles	5-or-More Vehicles	
Vacaville-Dixon	2000	1,908	10,676	16,535	7,045	1,928	881	38,973
	% of Tot.	4.9%	27.4%	42.4%	18.1%	4.9%	2.3%	100.0%
	2005	2,565	9,624	18,049	6,831	2,708	1,239	41,016
	% of Tot.	6.3%	23.5%	44.0%	16.7%	6.6%	3.0%	100.0%
Vallejo-Benicia	2000	4,090	15,849	19,045	8,257	2,770	950	50,961
	% of Tot.	8.0%	31.1%	37.4%	16.2%	5.4%	1.9%	100.0%
	2005	3,996	14,089	19,703	9,205	3,413	1,250	51,656
	% of Tot.	7.7%	27.3%	38.1%	17.8%	6.6%	2.4%	100.0%
SOLANO COUNTY TOTAL	2000	8,566	37,921	52,087	22,057	7,022	2,750	130,403
	% of Tot.	6.6%	29.1%	39.9%	16.9%	5.4%	2.1%	100.0%
	2005	8,522	33,092	53,071	26,734	9,564	3,641	134,624
	% of Tot.	6.3%	24.6%	39.4%	19.9%	7.1%	2.7%	100.0%
Petaluma-Rohnert Park	2000	2,378	12,190	17,947	6,918	2,088	902	42,423
	% of Tot.	5.6%	28.7%	42.3%	16.3%	4.9%	2.1%	100.0%
	2005	2,865	11,624	16,803	6,929	2,800	886	41,907
	% of Tot.	6.8%	27.7%	40.1%	16.5%	6.7%	2.1%	100.0%
Santa Rosa	2000	4,307	20,757	23,394	8,615	2,545	1,183	60,801
	% of Tot.	7.1%	34.1%	38.5%	14.2%	4.2%	1.9%	100.0%
	2005	4,582	21,968	23,375	10,322	2,648	1,621	64,516
	% of Tot.	7.1%	34.1%	36.2%	16.0%	4.1%	2.5%	100.0%
Sebastopol-Healdsburg	2000	3,236	21,499	27,828	11,408	3,809	1,399	69,179
	% of Tot.	4.7%	31.1%	40.2%	16.5%	5.5%	2.0%	100.0%
	2005	3,096	20,552	27,523	12,199	5,397	2,022	70,789
	% of Tot.	4.4%	29.0%	38.9%	17.2%	7.6%	2.9%	100.0%
SONOMA COUNTY TOTAL	2000	9,921	54,446	69,169	26,941	8,442	3,484	172,403
	% of Tot.	5.8%	31.6%	40.1%	15.6%	4.9%	2.0%	100.0%
	2005	10,543	54,144	67,701	29,450	10,845	4,529	177,212
	% of Tot.	5.9%	30.6%	38.2%	16.6%	6.1%	2.6%	100.0%
BAY AREA TOTAL	2000	247,687	813,411	917,869	336,399	105,958	44,695	2,466,019
	% of Tot.	10.0%	33.0%	37.2%	13.6%	4.3%	1.8%	100.0%
	2005	237,108	792,468	927,805	371,752	126,446	47,090	2,502,669
	% of Tot.	9.5%	31.7%	37.1%	14.9%	5.1%	1.9%	100.0%

Notes: Tabulation prepared by MTC Staff based on data from Census 2000 Summary File 3 (Table H44), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table B08014).

Table D.3
Means of Transportation to Work for Household Workers
Public Use Microdata Area of Residence: 2000 & 2005

Public Use Microdata Area	Year	Drive Alone	Two- Person Carpool	Three-or- More Person Carpool	Transit	Bicycle	Walk	Other	At Home	TOTAL
Alameda- San Leandro	2000	50,395	7,170	2,460	9,802	739	1,576	996	2,330	75,468
	% of Tot.	66.8%	9.5%	3.3%	13.0%	1.0%	2.1%	1.3%	3.1%	100.0%
	2005	48,003	6,596	2,106	9,745	411	1,655	584	2,468	71,568
	% of Tot.	67.1%	9.2%	2.9%	13.6%	0.6%	2.3%	0.8%	3.4%	100.0%
Berkeley-Albany	2000	28,035	3,820	2,395	11,386	3,287	7,138	854	4,035	60,950
	% of Tot.	46.0%	6.3%	3.9%	18.7%	5.4%	11.7%	1.4%	6.6%	100.0%
	2005	28,125	3,770	1,157	11,220	1,864	6,512	627	3,165	56,440
	% of Tot.	49.8%	6.7%	2.0%	19.9%	3.3%	11.5%	1.1%	5.6%	100.0%
Castro Valley- San Lorenzo	2000	44,775	6,120	1,839	4,062	176	967	737	1,665	60,341
	% of Tot.	74.2%	10.1%	3.0%	6.7%	0.3%	1.6%	1.2%	2.8%	100.0%
	2005	40,327	5,389	1,886	4,119	164	983	737	1,363	54,968
	% of Tot.	73.4%	9.8%	3.4%	7.5%	0.3%	1.8%	1.3%	2.5%	100.0%
East Oakland	2000	24,070	5,770	3,684	6,347	193	924	904	947	42,839
	% of Tot.	56.2%	13.5%	8.6%	14.8%	0.5%	2.2%	2.1%	2.2%	100.0%
	2005	31,325	4,278	2,612	5,999	211	781	902	1,970	48,078
	% of Tot.	65.2%	8.9%	5.4%	12.5%	0.4%	1.6%	1.9%	4.1%	100.0%
Fremont	2000	69,740	8,840	1,730	4,229	541	1,057	889	2,420	89,446
	% of Tot.	78.0%	9.9%	1.9%	4.7%	0.6%	1.2%	1.0%	2.7%	100.0%
	2005	67,488	8,680	1,171	4,627	54	628	1,527	2,039	86,214
	% of Tot.	78.3%	10.1%	1.4%	5.4%	0.1%	0.7%	1.8%	2.4%	100.0%
Hayward	2000	43,050	8,100	3,334	4,131	225	1,069	938	1,204	62,051
	% of Tot.	69.4%	13.1%	5.4%	6.7%	0.4%	1.7%	1.5%	1.9%	100.0%
	2005	43,782	6,401	2,866	3,474	646	1,148	705	1,262	60,284
	% of Tot.	72.6%	10.6%	4.8%	5.8%	1.1%	1.9%	1.2%	2.1%	100.0%
Newark-Union City- Fremont	2000	44,675	7,980	2,084	3,097	325	584	630	1,135	60,510
	% of Tot.	73.8%	13.2%	3.4%	5.1%	0.5%	1.0%	1.0%	1.9%	100.0%
	2005	46,920	2,943	1,209	3,311	44	1,008	404	905	56,744
	% of Tot.	82.7%	5.2%	2.1%	5.8%	0.1%	1.8%	0.7%	1.6%	100.0%
Oakland Hills- Piedmont	2000	42,395	5,050	4,397	9,902	827	1,281	857	3,985	68,694
	% of Tot.	61.7%	7.4%	6.4%	14.4%	1.2%	1.9%	1.2%	5.8%	100.0%
	2005	40,554	3,852	2,772	7,951	629	1,748	912	3,368	61,786
	% of Tot.	65.6%	6.2%	4.5%	12.9%	1.0%	2.8%	1.5%	5.5%	100.0%
Pleasanton- Livermore	2000	69,990	6,525	1,662	3,682	741	1,264	695	3,330	87,889
	% of Tot.	79.6%	7.4%	1.9%	4.2%	0.8%	1.4%	0.8%	3.8%	100.0%
	2005	73,345	5,959	1,214	4,251	379	1,468	1,051	4,855	92,522
	% of Tot.	79.3%	6.4%	1.3%	4.6%	0.4%	1.6%	1.1%	5.2%	100.0%
West-North- Oakland-Emeryville	2000	32,735	6,564	3,776	14,150	1,104	4,003	1,232	2,541	66,105
	% of Tot.	49.5%	9.9%	5.7%	21.4%	1.7%	6.1%	1.9%	3.8%	100.0%
	2005	34,654	4,942	2,255	13,775	1,689	2,903	554	2,171	62,943
	% of Tot.	55.1%	7.9%	3.6%	21.9%	2.7%	4.6%	0.9%	3.4%	100.0%
ALAMEDA COUNTY TOTAL	2000	449,860	65,939	27,361	70,788	8,158	19,863	8,732	23,592	674,293
	% of Tot.	66.7%	9.8%	4.1%	10.5%	1.2%	2.9%	1.3%	3.5%	100.0%
	2005	454,523	52,810	19,248	68,472	6,091	18,834	8,003	23,566	651,547
	% of Tot.	69.8%	8.1%	3.0%	10.5%	0.9%	2.9%	1.2%	3.6%	100.0%

Notes: Based on data from Census 2000 Transportation Planning Package (CTPP 1-35), Census 2000 Public Use Microdata Sample (5% PUMS), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table C08006).

Table D.3 (continued)
Means of Transportation to Work for Household Workers
Public Use Microdata Area of Residence: 2000 & 2005

Public Use Microdata Area	Year	Drive Alone	Two- Person Carpool	Three-or- More Person Carpool	Transit	Bicycle	Walk	Other	At Home	TOTAL
Antioch-Pittsburg	2000	30,850	6,230	2,138	2,750	125	765	624	1,099	44,581
	% of Tot.	69.2%	14.0%	4.8%	6.2%	0.3%	1.7%	1.4%	2.5%	100.0%
	2005	29,649	5,598	5,656	2,311	383	774	1,561	669	46,601
Brentwood-Byron	% of Tot.	63.6%	12.0%	12.1%	5.0%	0.8%	1.7%	3.3%	1.4%	100.0%
	2000	37,665	5,735	1,744	1,492	89	801	455	1,915	49,896
	% of Tot.	75.5%	11.5%	3.5%	3.0%	0.2%	1.6%	0.9%	3.8%	100.0%
Concord-Clayton	2005	46,628	6,236	1,679	1,197	88	750	842	2,141	59,561
	% of Tot.	78.3%	10.5%	2.8%	2.0%	0.1%	1.3%	1.4%	3.6%	100.0%
	2000	41,610	5,830	1,779	5,579	377	838	765	1,949	58,727
Danville-San Ramon	% of Tot.	70.9%	9.9%	3.0%	9.5%	0.6%	1.4%	1.3%	3.3%	100.0%
	2005	41,006	7,779	3,041	4,650	367	1,640	772	1,389	60,644
	% of Tot.	67.6%	12.8%	5.0%	7.7%	0.6%	2.7%	1.3%	2.3%	100.0%
El Cerrito-Hercules	2000	45,395	3,550	1,007	2,692	128	481	471	3,685	57,409
	% of Tot.	79.1%	6.2%	1.8%	4.7%	0.2%	0.8%	0.8%	6.4%	100.0%
	2005	46,364	4,241	947	1,815	70	266	639	4,345	58,687
Martinez-Pleasant Hill	% of Tot.	79.0%	7.2%	1.6%	3.1%	0.1%	0.5%	1.1%	7.4%	100.0%
	2000	38,345	5,540	3,872	5,393	331	530	638	2,165	56,814
	% of Tot.	67.5%	9.8%	6.8%	9.5%	0.6%	0.9%	1.1%	3.8%	100.0%
Richmond-San Pablo	2005	39,488	5,043	3,713	6,403	279	694	597	3,018	59,235
	% of Tot.	66.7%	8.5%	6.3%	10.8%	0.5%	1.2%	1.0%	5.1%	100.0%
	2000	38,610	4,605	1,677	5,028	415	880	604	2,010	53,829
Walnut Creek-Lamorinda	% of Tot.	71.7%	8.6%	3.1%	9.3%	0.8%	1.6%	1.1%	3.7%	100.0%
	2005	37,994	4,196	2,235	4,553	310	340	443	958	51,029
	% of Tot.	74.5%	8.2%	4.4%	8.9%	0.6%	0.7%	0.9%	1.9%	100.0%
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY TOTAL	2000	28,730	6,715	3,649	6,844	352	958	773	1,124	49,145
	% of Tot.	58.5%	13.7%	7.4%	13.9%	0.7%	1.9%	1.6%	2.3%	100.0%
	2005	31,580	5,612	4,139	8,614	150	294	438	993	51,820
Novato-West Marin	% of Tot.	60.9%	10.8%	8.0%	16.6%	0.3%	0.6%	0.8%	1.9%	100.0%
	2000	48,655	3,755	1,720	9,208	235	1,038	593	4,940	70,144
	% of Tot.	69.4%	5.4%	2.5%	13.1%	0.3%	1.5%	0.8%	7.0%	100.0%
Sausalito-San Rafael	2005	50,683	3,275	1,491	8,575	510	1,797	428	3,781	70,540
	% of Tot.	71.9%	4.6%	2.1%	12.2%	0.7%	2.5%	0.6%	5.4%	100.0%
	2000	309,860	41,960	17,586	38,986	2,052	6,291	4,923	18,887	440,545
Novato-West Marin	% of Tot.	70.3%	9.5%	4.0%	8.8%	0.5%	1.4%	1.1%	4.3%	100.0%
	2005	323,392	41,980	22,901	38,118	2,157	6,555	5,720	17,294	458,117
	% of Tot.	70.6%	9.2%	5.0%	8.3%	0.5%	1.4%	1.2%	3.8%	100.0%
Sausalito-San Rafael	2000	35,785	5,070	993	3,728	353	1,156	498	3,875	51,458
	% of Tot.	69.5%	9.9%	1.9%	7.2%	0.7%	2.2%	1.0%	7.5%	100.0%
	2005	34,092	3,745	1,639	2,193	133	855	566	3,332	46,555
Sausalito-San Rafael	% of Tot.	73.2%	8.0%	3.5%	4.7%	0.3%	1.8%	1.2%	7.2%	100.0%
	2000	46,875	5,730	1,549	8,893	818	2,407	734	7,070	74,076
	% of Tot.	63.3%	7.7%	2.1%	12.0%	1.1%	3.2%	1.0%	9.5%	100.0%
	2005	42,948	4,931	751	6,539	1,075	2,563	633	8,741	68,181
	% of Tot.	63.0%	7.2%	1.1%	9.6%	1.6%	3.8%	0.9%	12.8%	100.0%

Notes: Based on data from Census 2000 Transportation Planning Package (CTPP 1-35), Census 2000 Public Use Microdata Sample (5% PUMS), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table C08006).

Table D.3 (continued)
Means of Transportation to Work for Household Workers
Public Use Microdata Area of Residence: 2000 & 2005

Public Use Microdata Area	Year	Drive Alone	Two- Person Carpool	Three-or- More Person Carpool	Transit	Bicycle	Walk	Other	At Home	TOTAL
MARIN COUNTY	2000	82,660	10,800	2,542	12,621	1,171	3,563	1,232	10,945	125,534
	% of Tot.	65.8%	8.6%	2.0%	10.1%	0.9%	2.8%	1.0%	8.7%	100.0%
	2005	77,040	8,676	2,390	8,732	1,208	3,418	1,199	12,073	114,736
TOTAL	% of Tot.	67.1%	7.6%	2.1%	7.6%	1.1%	3.0%	1.0%	10.5%	100.0%
Napa County	2000	41,534	6,139	2,316	711	464	1,901	603	2,885	56,553
	% of Tot.	73.4%	10.9%	4.1%	1.3%	0.8%	3.4%	1.1%	5.1%	100.0%
	2005	48,769	3,574	2,109	1,151	585	2,046	2,706	2,138	63,078
	% of Tot.	77.3%	5.7%	3.3%	1.8%	0.9%	3.2%	4.3%	3.4%	100.0%
SF Bayview- Hunters Point	2000	20,590	5,010	2,632	11,079	103	852	453	598	41,317
	% of Tot.	49.8%	12.1%	6.4%	26.8%	0.2%	2.1%	1.1%	1.4%	100.0%
	2005	19,893	3,552	1,183	12,890	237	692	238	797	39,482
	% of Tot.	50.4%	9.0%	3.0%	32.6%	0.6%	1.8%	0.6%	2.0%	100.0%
SF Inner Mission- Castro	2000	27,015	4,845	1,100	24,144	2,723	4,622	1,555	4,075	70,079
	% of Tot.	38.5%	6.9%	1.6%	34.5%	3.9%	6.6%	2.2%	5.8%	100.0%
	2005	26,624	2,376	709	25,059	2,596	3,156	1,201	4,378	66,099
	% of Tot.	40.3%	3.6%	1.1%	37.9%	3.9%	4.8%	1.8%	6.6%	100.0%
SF North Beach- Chinatown	2000	22,160	4,460	676	19,271	780	12,445	1,965	3,870	65,627
	% of Tot.	33.8%	6.8%	1.0%	29.4%	1.2%	19.0%	3.0%	5.9%	100.0%
	2005	19,204	3,377	603	16,227	559	12,378	983	6,121	59,452
	% of Tot.	32.3%	5.7%	1.0%	27.3%	0.9%	20.8%	1.7%	10.3%	100.0%
SF Richmond District	2000	31,550	6,200	1,711	24,981	1,627	5,082	1,680	3,575	76,406
	% of Tot.	41.3%	8.1%	2.2%	32.7%	2.1%	6.7%	2.2%	4.7%	100.0%
	2005	23,541	4,122	965	21,606	1,080	5,881	1,537	4,303	63,035
	% of Tot.	37.3%	6.5%	1.5%	34.3%	1.7%	9.3%	2.4%	6.8%	100.0%
SF South Market - Potrero	2000	13,600	3,154	1,101	17,629	2,063	11,237	1,115	2,420	52,319
	% of Tot.	26.0%	6.0%	2.1%	33.7%	3.9%	21.5%	2.1%	4.6%	100.0%
	2005	15,498	1,876	651	19,267	1,484	10,814	1,114	3,395	54,099
	% of Tot.	28.6%	3.5%	1.2%	35.6%	2.7%	20.0%	2.1%	6.3%	100.0%
SF Sunset District- North	2000	27,550	5,595	1,734	16,230	632	2,258	800	2,460	57,259
	% of Tot.	48.1%	9.8%	3.0%	28.3%	1.1%	3.9%	1.4%	4.3%	100.0%
	2005	23,872	5,130	1,691	14,323	713	1,412	563	3,215	50,919
	% of Tot.	46.9%	10.1%	3.3%	28.1%	1.4%	2.8%	1.1%	6.3%	100.0%
SF Sunset District- South	2000	26,640	4,980	1,805	13,732	174	1,096	638	2,050	51,115
	% of Tot.	52.1%	9.7%	3.5%	26.9%	0.3%	2.1%	1.2%	4.0%	100.0%
	2005	23,154	3,864	1,560	15,366	384	2,296	280	1,932	48,836
	% of Tot.	47.4%	7.9%	3.2%	31.5%	0.8%	4.7%	0.6%	4.0%	100.0%
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY TOTAL	2000	169,105	34,244	10,759	127,066	8,102	37,592	8,206	19,048	414,122
	% of Tot.	40.8%	8.3%	2.6%	30.7%	2.0%	9.1%	2.0%	4.6%	100.0%
	2005	151,786	24,297	7,362	124,738	7,053	36,629	5,916	24,141	381,922
	% of Tot.	39.7%	6.4%	1.9%	32.7%	1.8%	9.6%	1.5%	6.3%	100.0%
Brisbane- San Bruno	2000	38,460	7,180	1,798	4,933	248	1,301	532	1,150	55,602
	% of Tot.	69.2%	12.9%	3.2%	8.9%	0.4%	2.3%	1.0%	2.1%	100.0%
	2005	31,634	5,670	2,236	4,075	184	2,116	184	1,572	47,671
	% of Tot.	66.4%	11.9%	4.7%	8.5%	0.4%	4.4%	0.4%	3.3%	100.0%

Notes: Based on data from Census 2000 Transportation Planning Package (CTPP 1-35), Census 2000 Public Use Microdata Sample (5% PUMS), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table C08006).

Table D.3 (continued)
Means of Transportation to Work for Household Workers
Public Use Microdata Area of Residence: 2000 & 2005

Public Use Microdata Area	Year	Drive Alone	Two- Person Carpool	Three-or- More Person Carpool	Transit	Bicycle	Walk	Other	At Home	TOTAL
Daly City-Pacifica	2000	44,025	9,385	3,052	10,338	78	881	869	1,309	69,937
	% of Tot.	62.9%	13.4%	4.4%	14.8%	0.1%	1.3%	1.2%	1.9%	100.0%
	2005	38,805	7,943	2,016	9,412	53	542	922	1,892	61,585
	% of Tot.	63.0%	12.9%	3.3%	15.3%	0.1%	0.9%	1.5%	3.1%	100.0%
Menlo Park- East Palo Alto	2000	37,750	4,530	1,550	1,967	1,085	1,299	654	2,925	51,760
	% of Tot.	72.9%	8.8%	3.0%	3.8%	2.1%	2.5%	1.3%	5.7%	100.0%
	2005	36,634	2,361	1,166	1,561	845	1,120	571	3,826	48,084
	% of Tot.	76.2%	4.9%	2.4%	3.2%	1.8%	2.3%	1.2%	8.0%	100.0%
Millbrae- Burlingame	2000	36,835	3,880	1,010	2,981	293	1,181	499	2,280	48,959
	% of Tot.	75.2%	7.9%	2.1%	6.1%	0.6%	2.4%	1.0%	4.7%	100.0%
	2005	32,635	5,064	1,448	3,883	360	1,412	211	2,823	47,836
	% of Tot.	68.2%	10.6%	3.0%	8.1%	0.8%	3.0%	0.4%	5.9%	100.0%
Redwood City- San Carlos	2000	51,340	5,275	1,355	2,744	775	1,408	512	2,284	65,693
	% of Tot.	78.2%	8.0%	2.1%	4.2%	1.2%	2.1%	0.8%	3.5%	100.0%
	2005	43,932	5,900	1,695	2,050	713	1,062	959	3,945	60,256
	% of Tot.	72.9%	9.8%	2.8%	3.4%	1.2%	1.8%	1.6%	6.5%	100.0%
San Mateo- Coastside	2000	47,440	4,955	1,230	2,502	364	1,255	400	2,735	60,881
	% of Tot.	77.9%	8.1%	2.0%	4.1%	0.6%	2.1%	0.7%	4.5%	100.0%
	2005	41,626	4,189	492	2,912	117	2,976	889	3,630	56,831
	% of Tot.	73.2%	7.4%	0.9%	5.1%	0.2%	5.2%	1.6%	6.4%	100.0%
SAN MATEO COUNTY TOTAL	2000	255,850	35,205	9,995	25,465	2,843	7,325	3,466	12,683	352,832
	% of Tot.	72.5%	10.0%	2.8%	7.2%	0.8%	2.1%	1.0%	3.6%	100.0%
	2005	225,266	31,127	9,053	23,893	2,272	9,228	3,736	17,688	322,263
	% of Tot.	69.9%	9.7%	2.8%	7.4%	0.7%	2.9%	1.2%	5.5%	100.0%
Almaden Valley	2000	42,325	4,805	1,093	1,389	166	531	304	1,980	52,593
	% of Tot.	80.5%	9.1%	2.1%	2.6%	0.3%	1.0%	0.6%	3.8%	100.0%
	2005	41,992	6,008	1,339	986	54	709	546	2,605	54,239
	% of Tot.	77.4%	11.1%	2.5%	1.8%	0.1%	1.3%	1.0%	4.8%	100.0%
Campbell- West San Jose	2000	53,275	5,915	1,227	1,809	534	900	474	1,820	65,954
	% of Tot.	80.8%	9.0%	1.9%	2.7%	0.8%	1.4%	0.7%	2.8%	100.0%
	2005	52,933	3,960	537	1,981	631	940	409	1,251	62,642
	% of Tot.	84.5%	6.3%	0.9%	3.2%	1.0%	1.5%	0.7%	2.0%	100.0%
Central San Jose	2000	35,890	5,830	2,005	4,764	747	1,848	634	1,238	52,956
	% of Tot.	67.8%	11.0%	3.8%	9.0%	1.4%	3.5%	1.2%	2.3%	100.0%
	2005	36,992	5,916	687	2,733	287	2,553	1,051	1,425	51,644
	% of Tot.	71.6%	11.5%	1.3%	5.3%	0.6%	4.9%	2.0%	2.8%	100.0%
Cupertino- Los Gatos	2000	51,215	3,980	620	543	274	863	351	3,215	61,061
	% of Tot.	83.9%	6.5%	1.0%	0.9%	0.4%	1.4%	0.6%	5.3%	100.0%
	2005	47,950	2,725	697	440	306	1,570	228	4,353	58,269
	% of Tot.	82.3%	4.7%	1.2%	0.8%	0.5%	2.7%	0.4%	7.5%	100.0%
East San Jose	2000	25,830	6,375	2,048	2,302	201	687	620	530	38,593
	% of Tot.	66.9%	16.5%	5.3%	6.0%	0.5%	1.8%	1.6%	1.4%	100.0%
	2005	23,508	5,805	463	834	54	550	334	1,624	33,172
	% of Tot.	70.9%	17.5%	1.4%	2.5%	0.2%	1.7%	1.0%	4.9%	100.0%

Notes: Based on data from Census 2000 Transportation Planning Package (CTPP 1-35), Census 2000 Public Use Microdata Sample (5% PUMS), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table C08006).

Table D.3 (continued)
Means of Transportation to Work for Household Workers
Public Use Microdata Area of Residence: 2000 & 2005

Public Use Microdata Area	Year	Drive Alone	Two- Person Carpool	Three-or- More Person Carpool	Transit	Bicycle	Walk	Other	At Home	TOTAL
East San Jose- Alum Rock	2000	37,085	5,450	1,562	1,166	149	280	377	1,203	47,272
	% of Tot.	78.5%	11.5%	3.3%	2.5%	0.3%	0.6%	0.8%	2.5%	100.0%
	2005	34,995	2,696	492	1,146	202	0	523	1,149	41,203
East San Jose- Evergreen	% of Tot.	84.9%	6.5%	1.2%	2.8%	0.5%	0.0%	1.3%	2.8%	100.0%
	2000	37,080	6,090	1,528	1,365	88	306	574	1,064	48,095
	% of Tot.	77.1%	12.7%	3.2%	2.8%	0.2%	0.6%	1.2%	2.2%	100.0%
Gilroy- Morgan Hill	2005	39,696	3,781	1,205	1,875	93	246	823	1,171	48,890
	% of Tot.	81.2%	7.7%	2.5%	3.8%	0.2%	0.5%	1.7%	2.4%	100.0%
	2000	34,435	5,960	2,245	1,651	313	891	548	1,735	47,778
Milpitas	% of Tot.	72.1%	12.5%	4.7%	3.5%	0.7%	1.9%	1.1%	3.6%	100.0%
	2005	36,608	5,248	1,778	956	147	919	427	1,946	48,029
	% of Tot.	76.2%	10.9%	3.7%	2.0%	0.3%	1.9%	0.9%	4.1%	100.0%
Palo Alto- Mountain View	2000	50,485	6,110	1,274	1,088	235	282	406	1,024	60,904
	% of Tot.	82.9%	10.0%	2.1%	1.8%	0.4%	0.5%	0.7%	1.7%	100.0%
	2005	43,611	2,977	1,411	1,191	614	763	508	780	51,855
San Jose- Cambrian Park	% of Tot.	84.1%	5.7%	2.7%	2.3%	1.2%	1.5%	1.0%	1.5%	100.0%
	2000	71,530	5,390	984	3,280	4,129	2,484	711	4,885	93,393
	% of Tot.	76.6%	5.8%	1.1%	3.5%	4.4%	2.7%	0.8%	5.2%	100.0%
Santa Clara	2005	70,683	3,787	713	4,185	4,959	3,706	317	5,177	93,527
	% of Tot.	75.6%	4.0%	0.8%	4.5%	5.3%	4.0%	0.3%	5.5%	100.0%
	2000	43,105	5,050	1,387	1,513	306	474	423	1,424	53,682
South San Jose	% of Tot.	80.3%	9.4%	2.6%	2.8%	0.6%	0.9%	0.8%	2.7%	100.0%
	2005	38,895	5,684	909	1,500	95	157	277	1,871	49,388
	% of Tot.	78.8%	11.5%	1.8%	3.0%	0.2%	0.3%	0.6%	3.8%	100.0%
Sunnyvale	2000	45,365	5,320	1,210	1,714	674	1,251	346	1,215	57,095
	% of Tot.	79.5%	9.3%	2.1%	3.0%	1.2%	2.2%	0.6%	2.1%	100.0%
	2005	43,340	2,660	824	1,579	412	1,825	1,305	1,433	53,378
Fairfield	% of Tot.	81.2%	5.0%	1.5%	3.0%	0.8%	3.4%	2.4%	2.7%	100.0%
	2000	52,860	7,110	2,165	2,925	565	828	513	2,024	68,990
	% of Tot.	76.6%	10.3%	3.1%	4.2%	0.8%	1.2%	0.7%	2.9%	100.0%
SANTA CLARA COUNTY TOTAL	2005	48,314	5,978	2,203	1,618	193	983	900	3,086	63,275
	% of Tot.	76.4%	9.4%	3.5%	2.6%	0.3%	1.6%	1.4%	4.9%	100.0%
	2000	59,210	6,490	1,220	2,782	568	1,155	602	1,925	73,952
Fairfield	% of Tot.	80.1%	8.8%	1.6%	3.8%	0.8%	1.6%	0.8%	2.6%	100.0%
	2005	50,749	5,469	1,138	3,448	262	2,359	699	3,624	67,748
	% of Tot.	74.9%	8.1%	1.7%	5.1%	0.4%	3.5%	1.0%	5.3%	100.0%
Fairfield	2000	40,590	6,060	3,379	1,072	215	844	728	1,610	54,498
	% of Tot.	74.5%	11.1%	6.2%	2.0%	0.4%	1.5%	1.3%	3.0%	100.0%
	2005	42,741	5,720	3,586	919	139	441	578	2,987	57,111
	% of Tot.	74.8%	10.0%	6.3%	1.6%	0.2%	0.8%	1.0%	5.2%	100.0%

Notes: Based on data from Census 2000 Transportation Planning Package (CTPP 1-35), Census 2000 Public Use Microdata Sample (5% PUMS), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table C08006).

Table D.3 (continued)
Means of Transportation to Work for Household Workers
Public Use Microdata Area of Residence: 2000 & 2005

Public Use Microdata Area	Year	Drive Alone	Two- Person Carpool	Three-or- More Person Carpool	Transit	Bicycle	Walk	Other	At Home	TOTAL
Vacaville-Dixon	2000	41,105	5,020	2,500	346	271	1,098	674	1,725	52,739
	% of Tot.	77.9%	9.5%	4.7%	0.7%	0.5%	2.1%	1.3%	3.3%	100.0%
	2005	43,221	4,584	2,668	438	131	1,316	469	1,347	54,174
	% of Tot.	79.8%	8.5%	4.9%	0.8%	0.2%	2.4%	0.9%	2.5%	100.0%
Vallejo-Benicia	2000	44,945	8,145	5,142	3,062	256	742	678	2,028	64,998
	% of Tot.	69.1%	12.5%	7.9%	4.7%	0.4%	1.1%	1.0%	3.1%	100.0%
	2005	47,837	5,094	4,517	2,061	295	581	686	1,783	62,854
	% of Tot.	76.1%	8.1%	7.2%	3.3%	0.5%	0.9%	1.1%	2.8%	100.0%
SOLANO COUNTY TOTAL	2000	126,640	19,225	11,021	4,480	742	2,684	2,080	5,363	172,235
	% of Tot.	73.5%	11.2%	6.4%	2.6%	0.4%	1.6%	1.2%	3.1%	100.0%
	2005	133,799	15,398	10,771	3,418	565	2,338	1,733	6,117	174,139
	% of Tot.	76.8%	8.8%	6.2%	2.0%	0.3%	1.3%	1.0%	3.5%	100.0%
Petaluma- Rohnert Park	2000	44,175	6,000	1,472	2,363	477	1,258	470	2,520	58,735
	% of Tot.	75.2%	10.2%	2.5%	4.0%	0.8%	2.1%	0.8%	4.3%	100.0%
	2005	40,063	5,003	1,040	1,463	991	1,647	476	1,927	52,610
	% of Tot.	76.2%	9.5%	2.0%	2.8%	1.9%	3.1%	0.9%	3.7%	100.0%
Santa Rosa	2000	61,355	7,770	2,664	1,673	674	1,891	729	3,500	80,256
	% of Tot.	76.4%	9.7%	3.3%	2.1%	0.8%	2.4%	0.9%	4.4%	100.0%
	2005	58,666	9,453	1,626	2,478	234	2,860	1,153	2,997	79,467
	% of Tot.	73.8%	11.9%	2.0%	3.1%	0.3%	3.6%	1.5%	3.8%	100.0%
Sebastopol- Healdsburg	2000	62,090	7,495	2,179	1,110	502	2,973	843	6,165	83,357
	% of Tot.	74.5%	9.0%	2.6%	1.3%	0.6%	3.6%	1.0%	7.4%	100.0%
	2005	63,216	5,557	1,356	625	304	3,742	1,115	8,216	84,131
	% of Tot.	75.1%	6.6%	1.6%	0.7%	0.4%	4.4%	1.3%	9.8%	100.0%
SONOMA COUNTY TOTAL	2000	167,620	21,265	6,315	5,146	1,653	6,122	2,042	12,185	222,348
	% of Tot.	75.4%	9.6%	2.8%	2.3%	0.7%	2.8%	0.9%	5.5%	100.0%
	2005	161,945	20,013	4,022	4,566	1,529	8,249	2,744	13,140	216,208
	% of Tot.	74.9%	9.3%	1.9%	2.1%	0.7%	3.8%	1.3%	6.1%	100.0%
BAY AREA TOTAL	2000	2,242,819	314,652	108,463	313,554	34,134	98,121	38,167	130,870	3,280,780
	% of Tot.	68.4%	9.6%	3.3%	9.6%	1.0%	3.0%	1.2%	4.0%	100.0%
	2005	2,186,786	260,569	92,252	297,560	29,769	104,577	40,104	147,652	3,159,269
	% of Tot.	69.2%	8.2%	2.9%	9.4%	0.9%	3.3%	1.3%	4.7%	100.0%

Notes: Based on data from Census 2000 Transportation Planning Package (CTPP 1-35), Census 2000 Public Use Microdata Sample (5% PUMS), and the American Community Survey 2005 (Table C08006).

Appendix E: Instructions for Applying Statistical Testing to ACS Data

The 2004 ACS estimate for the percent of grandparents living with and responsible for grandchildren is 65.1 for Arkansas and 64.8 for Nebraska. The 2003 estimate for Arkansas for the same characteristic is 61.2. When reporting these results, what comparisons can be stated as facts? Can you say “The ACS found a larger percent of grandparents living with and responsible for grandchildren in Arkansas than in Nebraska in 2004”? What about “for Arkansas, the 2004 estimate is higher than the 2003 estimate”? Census policy is to make these statements only if the comparisons are “statistically significant” at the 90 percent confidence level (or to note that the apparent difference is not statistically significant). This means that there is less than a 10 percent chance that the difference between the two estimates would be as large or larger by random chance alone. The determination of statistical significance takes into account the difference between the two estimates as well as the standard errors of both estimates.

Users including ACS estimates in written reports and other documents need to be careful when making comparisons between two (or more) ACS estimates, between an ACS estimate and a fixed value, or between an ACS estimate and a value from another survey or other source. If you want to say

- “Estimate X is bigger than estimate Y.”
- “Estimate X this year is larger than estimate X last year.”
- “Estimate X is smaller than that value in Census 2000.”
- “State Z has the highest value of this characteristic.”

or any similar statement, you need to first do the appropriate statistical test and determine whether the difference is statistically significant.

This document provides some basic instructions for performing the statistical testing , as well as obtaining the ACS standard errors needed to do statistical tests.

Obtaining Standard Errors

Where your standard errors come from, and whether they are readily available or you have to calculate them yourself, depends on where your ACS data is coming from. If the estimate of interest is published on American FactFinder (AFF), then AFF should also be the source of your standard errors. Possible sources for your data, and where to get standard errors:

1. ACS data from published tables on American FactFinder

All ACS estimates from tables on AFF include either 90 percent confidence bounds a 90 percent margin of error. The margin of error is the maximum difference between the estimate and the upper and lower confidence bounds. If confidence bounds are provided, calculate the margin of error first:

$$\text{Margin of Error} = \max(\text{upper bound} - \text{estimate}, \text{estimate} - \text{lower bound})$$

If the margin of error is already available, just use the value without the “+/-“ prefix (i.e., the “absolute value”).

Next:

$$\text{Standard Error} = \text{Margin of Error} / 1.65$$

2. ACS tabulations made especially for you by the Data Products Branch in ACSO

Standard errors are included in tabulation files provided by ACSO.

3. ACS tabulations (of the ACS person-level or housing unit-level data files) that you created

In this case, you should calculate the standard errors directly using available replicate weight files. Recalculate the desired estimates using the ACS sample weight, and at the same time calculate 80 replicate estimates using the “replicate weights”. These replicate estimates are then used to calculate the variance. This is the method used to calculate the ACS standard errors used to create the margins of error and confidence bounds on AFF.

Until an official replicate standard error program is designated, users are urged to contact the ACS Design Branch in DSSD for further assistance in calculating the standard errors if you are creating your ACS estimates this way.

4. ACS public-use microdata sample (PUMS) tabulations

Standard errors for tabulations from the ACS PUMS files should be computed using the parameters and methods described in the 2004 Accuracy of the PUMS documentation, available at <http://www.census.gov/acs/www/Downloads/2004/AccuracyPUMS.pdf>.

NOTE: ACS PUMS design factors *should not* be used to calculate standard errors of full ACS sample estimates, such as those on AFF. Census 2000 design factors *should not* be used to calculate standard errors for *any* ACS estimate.

Obtaining Standard Errors for Derived Estimates

Once you have obtained standard errors for your basic estimates, there may be situations where you create derived estimates, such as percentages or differences, that also require standard errors.

If you're doing your own tabulations and calculating the standard errors using replicate estimates (#3, above), the standard errors for these derived estimates should be computed using replicate estimates as well.

- Sum or Difference of Estimates

$$SE(A + B + K) = SE(A - B - K) = \sqrt{SE(A)^2 + SE(B)^2 + K}$$

- Proportions and Percents

Here we define a proportion as a ratio where the numerator is a subset of the denominator, for example the proportion of persons 25 and over with a high school diploma or higher.

$$\text{Let } P = \frac{A}{B}.$$

$$SE(P) = \frac{1}{B} \sqrt{SE(A)^2 - P^2 \times SE(B)^2}$$

If the value under the square root sign is negative, then instead use

$$SE(P) = \frac{1}{B} \sqrt{SE(A)^2 + P^2 \times SE(B)^2}$$

If $P=1$ then use

$$SE(P) = \frac{SE(A)}{B}$$

If $Q = 100\% \times P$ (a percent instead of a proportion), then $SE(Q) = 100\% \times SE(P)$.

- Means and Other Ratios

If the estimate is a ratio but the numerator is not a subset of the denominator, such as persons per household or per capita income, then

$$SE\left(\frac{A}{B}\right) = \frac{1}{B} \sqrt{SE(A)^2 + \left(\frac{A}{B}\right)^2 \times SE(B)^2}$$

Users may combine these procedures for complicated estimates. For example, if the desired estimate is $P = \frac{A + B + C}{D + E}$, then $SE(A+B+C)$ and $SE(D+E)$ can be estimated first, and then those results used to calculate $SE(P)$.

Users should be cautioned that all methods in this section are approximations. They may be overestimates or underestimates of the estimate's standard error, and may not match direct calculations of standard errors or calculations obtained through other methods.

Instructions for Statistical Testing

Once standard errors have been obtained, doing the statistical test to determine significance is not difficult. For two estimates, A and B, with standard errors SE(A) and SE(B), let

$$Z = \frac{A - B}{\sqrt{(SE(A))^2 + (SE(B))^2}}$$

If $Z < -1.65$ or $Z > 1.65$, then the difference between A and B is significant at the 90 percent confidence level. Otherwise, the difference is not significant.

This method can be used for any types of estimates: counts, percentages, proportions, means, medians, etc. It can be used for comparing across years, or across surveys. If one of the estimates is a fixed value or comes from a source without sampling error (such as the Census 2000 SF1), use zero for the standard error for that estimate in the above equation for Z.

This is the method used in determining statistical significance for the ACS Multi-Year Profiles published on AFF. Note that, especially if you're using method #1 above for obtaining your standard errors, your determination of statistical significance may not match the Multi-Year Profile's result for the same pair of estimates, because the significance tests for the Multi-Year Profiles are made using unrounded standard errors. Standard errors obtained from the rounded confidence bounds and margins of error are unlikely to match the unrounded standard error, and so statistical tests may differ.

Using the rule of thumb of overlapping confidence intervals does not constitute a valid significance test and users are discouraged from using that method.

SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA



Figure 1: Bay Area Counties

American Community Survey 2005

SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA




Figure 2: Bay Area Places - Population Over 65,000
American Community Survey 2005

SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA





Figure 3: Bay Area Places - Population Over 20,000, Less than 65,000

American Community Survey 2005

SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA



**Figure 4: Bay Area Public Use Microdata Areas
Census 2000**